

# Development of an oral nanovaccine for dogs against Echinococcus granulosus

Marine Leroux, Uruguaysito Benavides, Imène Hellel-Bourtal, Cecilia Silvarrey, Nadège Milhau, Thierry Marchal, Sandrine Bourgeois, Philippe Lawton, Stephanie Briançon, Anne-Françoise Petavy, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Marine Leroux, Uruguaysito Benavides, Imène Hellel-Bourtal, Cecilia Silvarrey, Nadège Milhau, et al.. Development of an oral nanovaccine for dogs against Echinococcus granulosus. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 2023, 192, pp.185-195. 10.1016/j.ejpb.2023.09.012 . hal-04504162

# HAL Id: hal-04504162 https://univ-lyon1.hal.science/hal-04504162

Submitted on 18 Mar 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Running header: Echinococcus nanovaccine development

25

26

27

28

29

2	Development of an oral nanovaccine for dogs against Echinococcus granulosus
3	Marine Leroux <sup>1</sup> , Uruguaysito Benavides <sup>2</sup> , Imène Hellel-Bourtal <sup>1</sup> , Cecilia Silvarrey <sup>4</sup> , Nadège Milhau <sup>5</sup> ,
4	Thierry Marchal <sup>5</sup> , Sandrine Bourgeois <sup>1,3</sup> , Philippe Lawton <sup>1,3</sup> , Stephanie Briançon <sup>1,3</sup> , Anne-Françoise
5	Petavy <sup>3</sup> , Samia Lahmar <sup>6</sup> , Adriana Esteves <sup>4</sup> , Eyad Almouazen <sup>1,3</sup> and Samira Azzouz-Maache <sup>1,3*</sup>
6	1. Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, LAGEPP UMR 5007, F-69100,
7	Villeurbanne, France
8	2. Academic Unit of Immunology and Immunotherapy, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
9	Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay
10	3. Univ Lyon, Université Claude-Bernard Lyon I, ISPB-Faculté de Pharmacie, F-69008, Lyon,
11	France
12	4. Biochemistry Section, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay
13	5. UPSP ICE, VetAgro Sup, Campus vétérinaire de Lyon, 1 avenue Bourgelat, F-69280 Marcy
14	L'Etoile, France
15	6. National School of Veterinary Medicine, Sidi Thabet, Tunisia
16	*Corresponding author: Samira AZZOUZ <samira.azzouz-maache@univ-lyon1.fr></samira.azzouz-maache@univ-lyon1.fr>
17	
18	Key words
19	Polymeric nanoparticles; Chitosan, Echinococcus granulosus; Oral vaccine, Dog
20	
21	Abstract
22	Dogs are the main source of animal and human cystic echinococcosis caused by the Cestode
23	parasite Echinococcus granulosus. Dog vaccination seems to be a good strategy to control this
24	parasitic disease. Here we present the development of a polymeric nanoparticle-based oral

a nanoparticle size less than 200 nm with more than 80% antigen encapsulation efficiency and 1

vaccine for dogs against Echinococcus granulosus delivered in enteric-coated capsules. To

achieve our target, we encapsulated two recombinant antigens into biodegradable polymeric

nanoparticles in the presence of Monophosphoryl lipid A as an adjuvant to ensure efficient delivery

and activation of a protective mucosal immune response. The formulated delivery system showed

30 conserved integrity and immunogenicity. The nanoparticle surface was coated with chitosan to 31 enhance adhesion to the gut mucosa and a subsequent antigen delivery. Chitosan-coated 32 nanoparticles showed a higher cell internalization in murine macrophages and dendritic cells as 33 well as a higher penetration into Caco-2 cells in vitro. Antigen-loaded nanoparticles were freeze-34 dried and enteric-coated capsules were filled with the obtained powder. The obtained results show 35 a promising nanoparticles delivery system for oral vaccination.

36

# 37 **1. Introduction**

Hydatid infection caused by the cestode Echinococcus granulosus (E. granulosus) is still one of the 38 major zoonoses, affecting humans as well as domestic and wild animals worldwide (Thompson & 39 Jenkins, 2014). The parasite requires two mammalian hosts to complete its life cycle. The adult 40 worm develops in the intestine of the definitive host (dogs), and the eggs are shed with the host's 41 feces. They are able to infect a wide variety of intermediate hosts (herbivorous and omnivorous 42 animals) including humans that are accidentally infected. The development of the eggs into larval 43 stages throughout the internal organs, especially the liver and lungs, causes Hydatid Disease. E. 44 granulosus is distributed worldwide and the WHO has estimated that more than 1 million people 45 are affected by echinococcosis at any one time for around US\$ 3 billion of annual costs for treating 46 47 cases and losses to the livestock industry. Control programs developed in several countries using 48 antiparasitic drugs in sheep or dogs gave limited results in stopping the infection and the disease's frequency (Torgerson & Heath, 2003). 49

Vaccination could be the most effective strategy to control this infection. Vaccination of dogs, the main definitive hosts, may provide significant clinical and epidemical outcomes by arresting the development of adult tapeworms (Pourceif et al., 2018). Several authors have demonstrated that dogs can develop a protective immunity against *E. granulosus* through repetitive natural infections (Gemmell, 1962; Movsesijan et al., 1968) or by immunization with native proteins (Zhang et al., 2006) suggesting that it is possible to develop a vaccine using parasite recombinant antigens to develop protective immunity.

57 Vaccination at the site of infection is highly desirable to obtain a local mucosal defense at the entry pathways of pathogens. Indeed, mucosal delivery is the only vaccination route that induces both 58 mucosal and systemic immune responses, especially if the vaccine contains adjuvants, 59 60 immunostimulators or carriers. Locally produced secretory IgA constitute over 80% of all antibodies produced in mucosa-associated tissues and are considered to be among the most important 61 protective humoral immune factors. However, induction of a robust protective immune response by 62 oral immunization requires successful delivery of the intact and active antigen to Antigen 63 64 Presenting Cells (APCs) of the intestine mucosa (Vela Ramirez et al., 2017). To be effective, the antigen delivery system must: (i) protect the antigen from the highly acidic environment of the 65 stomach (pH 1-3) and from the presence in the duodenum and jejunum of proteolytic enzymes 66 responsible for protein degradation, (ii) ensure transport of the antigen across the mucosal barrier 67 to APCs, and (iii), enhance via adjuvants the elicited immune responses. 68

In previous works, our team identified two antigens EqTrp (E. granulosus tropomyosin) and EqA31 69 70 (E. granulosus paramyosin) as potential vaccine candidates (Esteves et al., 2003; Fu et al., 1999). 71 These recombinants antigens were tested for their immunogenic properties confirming the interest 72 to use them for future vaccine development (Saboulard et al., 2003; Pétavy et al., 2008). Furthermore, our first vaccination trials in dogs using these recombinant proteins administered 73 orally induced a high level of protection with high immunogenicity and decreased the parasite 74 75 burden by more than 70% (Pétavy et al., 2008). This vaccine system using an attenuated 76 Salmonella delivery system expressing both recombinant antigens could be considered as an environmental problem (Karch & Burkhard, 2016). For these reasons, developing a safer 77 78 alternative vaccine delivery system for these recombinant antigens, suitable for dog oral 79 administration could be an interesting strategy in the control plans against *E. granulosus*.

Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles (NPs) have been described as a potential antigen delivery system for systemic and mucosal vaccine development (Zhao et al., 2014) and hence, we decided to develop a robust antigen delivery system based on NPs. As a matter of fact, polymeric particles are promising vehicles for orally antigen delivery because their physicochemical properties can be adapted to the particular environment of the gastro-intestinal tract. We previously reported the

feasibility to encapsulate *Eg*Trp as antigen and the monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA) as adjuvant in polymeric NPs. The developed system was evaluated *in vitro* on canine monocyte-derived dendritic cells (cMoDC) confirming the ability of such a system to deliver the antigen and induce cMoDC maturation and autologous T CD4+ proliferation more efficiently than the antigen alone (Milhau et al., 2020).

In the present work, we report the design of an oral vaccine delivery system using MPLA-NPs coated with chitosan (CS-MPLA-NPs) loaded with the two recombinant antigens (*Eg*Trp and *Eg*A31) included in enteric-coated capsules to protect the freeze-dried antigen-loaded CS-MPLA-NPs. The interactions of this nanoparticle delivery system were evaluated on Caco-2 cells (a human intestinal cell line), mouse macrophages (J774A cell line) and mouse dendritic cells to observe the effect of chitosan.

Chitosan is a cationic polysaccharide with advantageous properties for oral delivery, including 96 97 enhanced mucoadhesion through interactions between the positive charges of the polymer and the negatively charged intestinal cells membrane, and an ability to facilitate antigen transport across 98 99 the mucosal barrier either through a paracellular route by a reversible disruption of epithelial tight 100 junctions or via transcytosis through enterocytes (Xia et al., 2015). However, chitosan coating is limited by its high solubility in acidic conditions and must be protected from gastric hyper-acidity. 101 Consequently, we tested different enteric-coated capsules to complete this formulation. Enteric-102 103 coated capsules must protect NPs from the highly acidic conditions of the stomach but must be 104 rapidly dissolved in the less acidic condition of the duodenum to release NPs and allow chitosan to exert its effects. 105

106

#### 107 **2. Materials and methods**

#### 108 **2.1. Vaccine formulation**

# 109 **2.1.1. Antigen production and purification**

110 The recombinant *Eg*Trp antigen was produced as previously described (Milhau et al., 2020). 111 *pQIA-Eg*Trp was expressed in M15 (pREP4) (Qiagen) *Escherichia coli* strain. Bacterial cells 112 containing the relevant expression plasmid were cultured at 37°C in yeast extract and tryptone 113 media supplemented with ampicillin/kanamycin, up to 600 nm. *Eg*Trp expression was induced by

the addition of 1.5 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside and incubated for further 3 h. After
centrifugation at 5,000 rpm for 25 min, the cells were collected and re-suspended in cell lysis
buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole) and ruptured by sonication.
Cellular debris were removed by centrifugation at 15,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C, and the
supernatant was loaded onto His-Trap column (GE Healthcare, Pasching, Austria). Elution was
performed in the same lysis buffer with increasing concentrations of imidazole (100 mM, 200 mM,
300 mM, 500mM).

The *Eg*A31 coding region cloned into the vector pET22b (NEB) was expressed in the *Escherichia coli* BL21 strain and the antigen was subsequently purified according to the same protocol as the one previously described for *Eg*Trp, except that 8 M urea was used for the lysis and elution buffers. The eluted fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE using the PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder (0 to 170 kDa - Thermo Scientific) as molecular weight markers and analysed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

127

#### 128 **2.1.2.** Preparation of recombinant antigen-loaded nanoparticles

129 The two recombinant antigens were encapsulated separately into polymeric nanoparticles using the emulsion-diffusion-solvent evaporation method as described in our previous article 130 (Milhau et al., 2020). First, poly (D,L) lactic acid (PLA) (Mw 20000, Evonik Biomaterials) was 131 132 dissolved in 20 mL ethyl acetate at a final concentration of 5 %. The adjuvant MPLA 133 (Monophosphoryl-lipid A from Salmonella enterica serotype Minnesota Re 595, Sigma-Aldrich, St-Quentin Fallavier, France) previously solubilized in a methanol-chloroform mixture (1:4 v/v) was 134 then introduced into the organic phase with magnetic stirring. The first emulsion was made by 135 adding dropwise the internal aqueous phase (about 4 mg of EqTrp or EqA31 in 2 mL of their 136 elution buffers) to the organic phase under magnetic stirring combined with sonication at a 25 % 137 138 amplitude (S-4000, Misonix) for 2 minutes. The second emulsion was made by dropping the first emulsion in 40 ml of a polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, 31000 MW, Sigma-Aldrich, St-Quentin Fallavier, 139 France) aqueous solution (2%) in the same conditions for another 2 min on ice. The final 140 preparation was stirred for 20 minutes. Finally, the organic solvent was evaporated at 40°C and 141 200 mBar using a rotary evaporator (Rotavapor<sup>®</sup> RE-140, Büchi, Switzerland). The final 142

nanoparticle suspension was adjusted to 40 ml final volume with demineralized water and was
stored at 4°C for further use. Blank-MPLA-NPs were prepared in similar conditions by replacing the
antigen and the elution buffer by 2 mL of distilled water. For the evaluation of NPs internalization,
Nile red (Sigma-Aldrich, St-Quentin Fallavier, France), a fluorescent marker, was added (4 mg of
Nile red per gram of PLA) to the organic phase and these Nile red marked nanoparticles (NRMPLA-NPs) were prepared as described above.

149

### 150 **2.1.3. Preparation of Chitosan-coated nanoparticles**

In order to prepare Chitosan-coated nanoparticles (CS-MPLA-NPs), following organic 151 solvent evaporation, NPs were treated as described by Wang et al., (2013) with a modification of 152 the operative conditions. The NP suspension was first centrifuged at 28000 g for 15 min and the 153 supernatant was decanted to eliminate the PVA. NPs were then suspended in a hydrochloride acid 154 aqueous solution of CS (deacetylation  $\geq$  75%, Sigma-Aldrich) and kept under magnetic stirring for 155 different incubation periods. The suspension was then centrifuged at 28000 g for 15 min, the 156 supernatant was decanted to eliminate excess of CS and finally CS-MPLA-NPs were suspended in 157 158 deionized water. Different chitosan concentrations (0.025 - 0.1%) and incubation periods (1, 4 or 24 h) were tested in order to obtain the optimal positive charge of NPs. The NPs used for in vitro 159 and in vivo evaluations were prepared with the selected conditions using 0.1% of CS solution and 160 161 4 h of incubation.

162

#### 163 **2.1.4. NP freeze-drying and capsule filling**

To ensure long term stability, CS-EgTrp-MPLA-NPs and CS-EgA31-MPLA-NPs were 164 lyophilized and capsule-filled separately in order to avoid stability problems. Mannitol was added to 165 the NP suspension at a final concentration of 2% as a lyoprotectant and a bulking agent. The NP 166 167 suspension was frozen for 3 hours at -80°C before the sublimation step. Lyophilization was performed in laboratory-scale freeze-dryer (Alpha, Christ) at a shelf-temperature of -15 °C and a 168 pressure of 500 µBar for 20 h. Hard capsules were then filled with the lyophilized NP containing 169 250 µg of antigen per capsule and kept at room temperature. For this step two types of hard 170 (Capsugel<sup>®</sup>) capsules gelatin capsules hypromellose 171 were tested: hard and

(hydroxypropylmethylcellulose) capsules containing gellan gum (DRcaps, Capsugel<sup>®</sup>). Finally,
enteric-coating was perfomed by immersing the capsules in ethanol containing 6% of an anionic
copolymer based on methacrylic acid and ethyl acrylate (EUDRAGIT<sup>®</sup> L100-55). The disintegration
and dissolution assays were performed as described in the European Pharmacopeia at acidic (pH
1.2) and alkaline (pH 6.8) conditions.

177

#### 178 **2.2. Nanoparticle characterization**

# 179 **2.2.1.** Average size, zeta potential and morphology

The NPs average size, size distribution and zeta potential in an aqueous environment were investigated by dynamic light scattering and laser microelectrophoresis using the Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern Instruments, UK) at 25°C. Nanoparticles' morphology was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a CM 120 microscope (Philips, Eindhoven, Netherlands) operating at an accelerating voltage of 80 KV. A drop of NP suspension was placed on a copper grid and stained by 1% of sodium silicotungstate in deionized water.

186

### 187 **2.2.2. Antigen encapsulation efficiency**

To evaluate the encapsulation efficiency, the NP suspension was centrifuged (28000 g for 188 30 min) in order to separate the free antigen from the encapsulated one. Afterwards, the total 189 190 amount of protein in the total formulation (T), the unencapsulated-protein in the supernatant (SN) 191 and the encapsulated-protein in the pellet (P) were compared. Acetonitrile was used to dissolve the NP polymer and to extract the antigen. The protein obtained after this procedure was quantified 192 with the Bradford reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St-Quentin Fallavier, France). The encapsulation 193 efficiency (EE) was then calculated as the percentage of the protein amount found in the pellet 194 195 compared to the protein amount added to the process.

196

#### 197 **2.2.3 Antigen integrity**

198 The extracts from the NP suspension, the supernatant and the pellet were also analyzed by 199 12.5 % SDS-PAGE stained with Coomassie Blue to assess for their integrity. Recombinant *Eg*Trp

and *Eg*A31 treated or not with acetonitrile were used as controls. For Western Blot analysis, an
 anti-*Eg*Trp rabbit immune serum was used.

202

203 2.3. In vitro evaluation of interactions between nanoparticles and the gastro-intestinal
 204 environment

#### 205 **2.3.1.** *In vitro* tests in gastric and intestinal pH conditions

The encapsulated-antigen integrity in gastrointestinal fluids, was evaluated on antigenloaded MPLA-NP suspensions. Nanoparticles were incubated in an aqueous solution at pH 1.6 and pH 6.8 simulating the gastric and intestinal conditions for 2 and 6 hours, respectively. MPLA-NPs, were also incubated for 2 hours in an artificial gastric environment containing pepsin (SGF) prepared according to the European Pharmacopeia recommendations. As described in paragraph 2.2.2, the NPs were analyzed by 12.5 % SDS-PAGE stained with Coomassie Blue to assess for their integrity.

213

#### 214 **2.3.2. Interactions with Caco-2 cells**

Caco-2 cells were seeded in a 6-well microplate at a density of 6.10<sup>5</sup> cells/well in 215 Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium – high glucose containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum 216 217 (Sigma, St-Quentin Fallavier, France), 1% MycoKill AB (GE healthcare, Pasching, Austria) and 2 % 218 Penicillin / Streptomycin (Dutcher, France) and incubated overnight at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 24 hours, they were incubated with NR-MPLA-NPs and CS-NR-MPLA-NPs at a final 1:100 dilution 219 and observed by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Zeiss Axiovert 200M). For transmission 220 221 electron microscopy, the cells were fixed with 4% glutaraldehyde in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide and 0.8% potassium ferrocyanide in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, 222 223 dehydrated in a graded series of acetone and embedded in Epon resin. Ultrathin sections were 224 stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and observed under a Jeol 1400 JEM transmission electron microscope (Tokyo, Japan) set at 120 kV. 225

#### 227 2.3.3. Interactions with mouse APC

#### 228 **2.3.3.1. Murine macrophages**

The cytotoxicity of NP suspensions was tested on mouse J774A macrophages using the 229 Cell-Titer 96 non-radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (MTT) kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The 230 BALB/c mice cell line J774A.1 (European Collection of Cell Culture) was maintained at 37°C with 231 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in RPMI-1640 (Dutscher, France) containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Sigma, St-232 Quentin Fallavier, France), 1% MycoKill AB (GE healthcare, Pasching, Austria) and 1.5% Penicillin 233 / Streptomycin (Dutscher, France). They were seeded in 96-well microplates in 50 µL of Minimal 234 Essential Medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine 235 Serum (Life Technologies) and incubated overnight at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> until the cells covered 80 236 237 to 90 % of the bottom of the well. After that, the macrophages were incubated for 24 hours with several formulation dilutions ranging from 1:10 to 1:1000. 238

For uptake studies, NR-MPLA-NPs and CS-NR-MPLA-NPs were used to track their internalization inside macrophages with a final dilution of 1:100. The macrophages were incubated for 2h with NPs and the medium was replaced. After 24h, the samples were counterstained with the nucleus-specific Hoechst 33342 fluorescent dye and observed by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Zeiss Axiovert 200M).

244

245 **2.3.3.2. Murine bone marrow derived dendritic cells** 

Bone marrow cells were obtained from femurs and tibias of C57BL/6 mice and treated with 246 red blood cells lysis buffer. All mice were raised in SPF environment with free access to standard 247 food and water. This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University Claude 248 249 Bernard of Lyon. The bone marrow cells were differentiated into DCs as described previously [Gosavi, 2018] by resuspension in RPMI-1640 culture medium containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine 250 serum (Sigma, St-Quentin Fallavier, France), 1% Mycokill AB (GE healthcare, Pasching, Austria) 251 and 1% Penicillin / Streptomycin (Dutscher) with 20 ng/ml granulocyte-macrophage colony-252 253 stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (Sigma, St-Quentin Fallavier, France)) and 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol.

254	They were cultured for 5 days at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$ to ensure differentiation and obtain CD11c+
255	cells. DCs were seeded on Lab-Tek II Chamber Slides™ 4-well Glass slides overnight at 37°C with
256	5% $CO_2$ . After 24 hrs, cells were incubated with NR-MPLA-NPs and CS-NR-MPLA-NPs at a 1:100
257	dilution for 24hrs, after which the medium was replaced. The cells were observed by fluorescent
258	microscopy (LEICA DM400 B LED).
259	
260	3. Results
261	
262	3.1. Characterization of antigens
263	The recombinants proteins EgA31 and EgTrp, previously studied and characterized by our
264	team (Esteves et al., 2003; Pétavy et al., 2008), were purified by Adriana Esteves' team. SDS-
265	PAGE and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry are shown in figure 2 and supplementary data (S1),
266	respectively.
267	
268	3.2. Characterization of the vaccine nanoparticles
269	
270	Both blank and antigen-loaded MPLA-NPs were obtained with a mean size around 200 nm
271	(Table 1). All NP preparations showed a slight negative surface charge of about -3 mV. The
272	encapsulation efficiencies for the two antigens were near 85% (Table 1). Moreover, scanning
273	microscopy images confirmed the spherical shape and the measured size of the NPs (Fig. 1A, B
274	and C).
275	SDS-PAGE analysis was carried out on the antigen extracts from total NP suspension, the
276	supernatant and the pellet. The extraction method didn't alter the antigens' integrity since the
277	antigen had similar bands whether acetonitrile-treated or not (Fig. 1D and E). The molecular mass
278	of the antigens eluted from the NPs were consistent with their apparent molecular mass in the
279	EgTrp (27 kDa) and EgA31 (54 kDa) controls, evidencing a lack of impact of the encapsulation
280	process on the integrity of the two encapsulated antigens (Fig. 1D and E). Accordingly, a high

281 percentage of the antigens was encapsulated into NPs without alteration of their integrity.

The capacity of the nanoparticle delivery system to protect the antigens' integrity in gastric and intestinal condition was also assessed. The *Eg*Trp-MPLA-NPs and *Eg*A31-MPLA-NPs were exposed to gastric (pH 1.6) and intestinal (pH 6.8) conditions for 2 and 6 hours and no alteration on antigen integrity was observed (Fig 2 A and B). The test was done also in simulated gastric fluid supplemented with pepsin and the antigen integrity was conserved (Fig 2C). These observations indicate the potential of the nanoparticles in antigen protection against enzymatic degradation and the suitability of such system for oral delivery of these antigens.

289 Different chitosan concentrations and incubation periods were tested regarding positive Zeta potential to find out the optimal parameters for high CS coating. Figure 3A shows that incubation of 290 blank-MPLA-NPs with 0.1% CS for 4 hours was the most appropriate condition for surface 291 292 modification with a highest positive value (+ 30 mV) of the Zeta potential. Under these conditions, 293 CS-coated blank-MPLA-NPs displayed a positive surface charge until pH 7 with 8.6 mV measured 294 at pH 5.5 (Fig 3B) and kept their spherical shape as showed by transmission electron microscopy (Fig 3C). The chitosan-coating of EqTrp-MPLA-NPs and EqA31-MPLA-NPs was then successfully 295 performed using the conditions selected above and the properties of these CS-MPLA-NPs 296 297 containing EgTrp and EgA31 are shown in Table 2. Their positive surface charge and the conserved antigen integrity are shown in Table 2 and Figures 3D and E. The encapsulation 298 efficiency was slightly decreased during this step because of NPs loss during the centrifugation 299 300 step.

301

# **302 3.3. Elaboration and characterization of an oral vaccine form**

In order to confirm the oral vaccine efficiency, it was important to verify that NP size 303 distribution, positive surface charges of NPs and the integrity of the entrapped antigen were 304 maintained after freeze-drying and enteric capsule filling. As shown in figure 4A, freeze-drying did 305 306 not alter NP size since a similar size distribution was observed after reconstitution of the lyophilizate in water. Different types of hard capsule were tested (gelatin and hypromellose/gelan 307 gum) and the gelatin-based capsules altered NP size distribution after the dissolution test, due to 308 their high moisture content not adapted for storage of the freeze-dried NP (data not shown). 309 Conversely, the hypromellose-based capsules containing gellan gum were more adapted and were 310

selected for their low moisture content and their delayed release that ensure long-term storage of
lyophilized NPs and an enhanced gastric resistance coating film, respectively.

Eudragit<sup>®</sup> L100-55-coated capsules displayed good gastric resistance at pH 1.2 for 2 hours 313 314 evidenced by disintegration and dissolution tests followed by rapid disintegration in 20 minutes at pH 6.8. The NP size distribution was measured in dissolution medium showing that most of the 315 NPs' sizes were around 200 nm and that a small part of them formed 5 µm aggregates (Fig. 4A). 316 Furthermore, the antigen kept its integrity and immunogenicity as shown by SDS-PAGE analysis 317 (Fig. 4B and 4C) and western blot analysis (Fig 4D) of NPs recovered from the dissolution medium. 318 Thus, a suitable oral form, consisting of enteric capsule filling by freeze-dryed CS-EgTrp-MPLA-319 NPs and CS-EqA31-MPLA-NPs, was obtained by preserving all NP characteristics to ensure an 320 efficient delivery of vaccine antigens to the target region of the dog's intestine. 321

322

#### 323 **3.4. Effect of chitosan coating on NPs transport through Caco-2 cells**

The transport of NPs through intestinal epithelial cells was tracked using Caco-2 cell 324 325 monolayers and assessed by Nile red fluorescence tracking. Figure 5 shows the fluorescence of the cells incubated with NR-MPLA-NPs and CS-NR-MPLA-NPs and illustrates the impact of 326 chitosan coating on NPs interaction with them. Without surface modification, NPs seemed to 327 accumulate in the intercellular region, with the red fluorescence mainly detected in extracellular 328 329 spaces with limited intracellular fluorescence (Fig. 5A). Conversely, a higher fluorescence was observed after a 2 h of incubation with CS-MPLA-NPs that localized in intercellular and intracellular 330 regions (Fig 5B). Electron microscopy performed after 24-h incubation showed the presence of CS-331 MPLA-NPs clusters attached to the cell membrane and in the cytoplasm (Fig 5C and D). These 332 observations indicate the intercellular transport of CS-MPLA-NPs across Caco-2 cells and the 333 suitability of surface modification for optimal antigen intestinal delivery. 334

335

# **336 3.5. Effect of surface modification on NP uptake by antigen presenting cells**

The cytotoxicity of MPLA-NPs and CS-MPLA-NPs was evaluated on J774 macrophages after 24 hours of incubation showing good tolerance of these formulations (more than 95% of viability) for all the dilutions tested. Uptake experiments using NR-MPLA-NPs and CS-NR-MPLA-NPs showed

that they were rapidly internalized inside macrophages during the first hour of incubation with
massive red fluorescence observed inside the cells (supplementary S2). After 1 h, red fluorescent
spots appeared indicating that NPs localized inside cell cytoplasm lysosomes (supplementary S2).
These observations were confirmed by confocal microscopy performed after the end of incubation
(Fig. 6A and B). The remarkable red fluorescent spots observed inside macrophages for the two
formulations were more numerous with CS-MPLA-NPs.

Similar observations were made with bone marrow-derived DCs (Fig. 6C and D), where internalization of NPs was clearly increased after chitosan coating. These results suggest the compatibility of MPLA and CS and the effectiveness of using them together to increase NP internalization and hence antigen delivery to antigen presenting cells.

350

#### 351 **4. Discussion**

The aim of the present work was to develop a new delivery system for the two recombinant 352 antigens EqTrp and EqA31 that would ensure an oral vaccination of dogs against Echinococcus 353 granulosus. In a previous pilot study, recombinant EgTrp and EgA31 were used in vaccination 354 355 trials using attenuated Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium as a delivery system and a high level of protection had been induced (Pétavy et al., 2008). However, the use and the administration 356 of genetically modified bacteria could be a drawback for environmental considerations as well as 357 358 for the development of infectious diseases (Karch & Burkhard, 2016). In this context, an alternative 359 vaccine delivery system should meet the following criteria: (i) to ensure good encapsulation and protection of recombinant antigens, (ii) to enhance antigen delivery to antigen-presenting cells at 360 the canine Peyer's patches level, (iii) to induce a significant stimulation inducing a strong immune 361 response and counterbalancing tolerance and (iv) to be safe, biodegradable and easily 362 administrable to dogs. 363

For this goal, polymeric-based nanoparticles have been selected since they are widely used for the development of new vaccines and particularly for oral vaccination and their advantage in antigen protection, antigen delivery and immune system stimulation has been well demonstrated, (Zhao et al., 2014). For this purpose, poly(D,L) lactic acid (PLA) was used to entrap the two recombinant antigens as this biodegradable polymer was previously used for antigen-loaded nanoparticles

displaying interesting physicochemical properties for interaction with APCs (Tyler et al., 2016). 369 Monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA) is a lipopolysaccharide derivative and a potent Toll-like receptor 4 370 371 (TLR-4) ligand that has been approved in the European Community for HBV and HPV vaccines 372 (Tritto et al., 2009). Its efficacy when included in polymeric nanoparticles was demonstrated with in vitro and in vivo models and especially for mucosal and oral vaccination (Elamanchili et al., 2007; 373 Sarti et al., 2011). Moreover, stimulation effects of MPLA in polymeric NPs were observed on 374 canine macrophages by Guldner et al. (Guldner et al., 2016) and on canine dendritic cells even at 375 376 low loading ratio (0.025% MPLA/PLA), as demonstrated in our previous work (Milhau et al., 2020). For this study, we used MPLA-NPs formulation to encapsulate *Eg*Trp and *Eg*A31. The MPLA was 377 added at a 0.1% loading ratio in order to ensure a good stimulation for the *in vivo* study and this 378 percentage could be reduced in accordance with our previous results (Milhau et al., 2020). 379

Characterization of antigen-loaded NPs proved that this delivery system was able to efficiently 380 encapsulate, conserve and protect these antigens. The obtained antigen-loaded NPs had a mean 381 size about 200 nm considering that M cells internalize particles less than 1 µm, as demonstrated 382 for the uptake of PLGA-NP by murine Peyer's patches (Shakweh et al., 2005). The high 383 384 encapsulation efficiency (around 85%) and antigen protection against gastric and intestinal conditions (Fig 2) by nanoparticles evidence the suitability of this nanosystem for oral antigen 385 delivery. The observed protective capacity against enzymatic degradation was in accordance with 386 387 previously demonstrated results for insulin-loaded polymeric nanoparticle where polylactide-co-388 glycolide (PLGA) nanoparticles induced more than 60% of conserved insulin after similar incubation conditions (Jain et al., 2012). 389

390

The main goal of our developed nanoparticle-based vaccine was to ensure an efficient antigen delivery to mucosal immune cells present in the dog duodenum and jejunum where the pH is higher than 5.5 whatever the diet (Grayzel & Miller, 1928; HogenEsch & Felsburg, 1992). The delivery system should be pH sensitive and have enough mucoadhesivity in these target parts to be internalized by M cells and hence by APCs (des Rieux et al., 2006). The NPs' size and surface charge are important parameters in the design of such a system.

To increase the intestinal exposure time and mucodiffusion of our NP system, chitosan, a natural 397 cationic polysaccharide obtained by chitin deacetylation widely applied for mucosal drug delivery 398 399 and vaccines (Xia et al., 2015), was selected to coat their surface. Chitosan is well known to 400 increase the adhesion and permeability of nanoparticle delivery systems at the intestinal level, thus enhancing antigen delivery to APCs due to its positive charge and its ability to open the tight 401 junctions at the epithelial intestinal barrier allowing paracellular transport (Sonaje et al., 2012). 402 Chitosan is known to modulate immune response. Cole et al. (2018) studied the effect on Caco-2 403 404 cells of Chitosan-based NPs containing ovalbumin as antigen on cell viability, epithelial tight junctions and transepithelial permeation. However, the capacity of this system to produce immune 405 responses in vivo after oral administration, was limited to local mucosal but not systemic immune 406 responses. A recent study by Shim et al. (2020) focused on the induction of the Th2 response by 407 Chitosan-based NPs containing Mdh (Brucella abortus malate desydrogenase) as active ingredient 408 in order to evaluate the impact on internalization and immunogenicity on M cells, Caco-2 and Raji 409 B cells. They found that M cells expressed a significant increase in the production of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ 410 and IL-6 and transcellular transport in the samples incubated with the NPs- Chitosan-Mdh 411

# 412 compared to Mdh alone.

413 In this work, an optimized protocol was used to obtain positively charged CS-MPLA-NPs at a pH higher than 5.5 (Fig 2A and B), promoting electrostatic interactions of CS-MPLA-NPs with the 414 415 mucosa and hence their adhesion and retention on the dog intestinal epithelium. NP 416 characterizations after chitosan coating confirmed the presence of a chitosan layer on their surface in accordance with the results obtained for chitosan-coated PLGA NPs designed for intranasal 417 delivery regarding nanoparticle surface charge (Wang et al., 2013; Dou et al., 2019). Our protocol 418 was successfully applied on EgTrp and EgA31-loaded MPLA-NPs without noticeable antigen 419 alteration (Fig 3D and 3E). 420

To ensure efficient targeting to the dog duodenum and jejunum by antigen-loaded NPs, the pH sensitivity of the delivery system is an important feature. In addition, it has to be easy to administrate and stable during long storage. For these reasons, the developed NPs were freezedried and inserted into hard capsules to ensure a good storage and to facilitate oral administration to dogs. Eudragit<sup>®</sup> L100-55, an enteric coating polymer soluble above pH 5.5 was used to coat the

426 capsules, thus enhancing the gastric protection of our system and allowing to focus their activity to the target region of the canine intestine where the pH is higher than 5.5. A similar approach for oral 427 428 vaccination has been developed for a *H. pylori* recombinant antigen by including hydroxypropyl 429 methylcellulose phthalate, a pH sensitive polymer, into PLGA-NPs and mice orally immunized with this formulation showed a significant protection (Tan et al., 2017). However, including a pH-430 sensitive polymer into the formulation of NPs was an interesting approach to protect the antigen 431 from degradation, but had a limited efficacy for targeting a specific area of the intestine. In the 432 present study, the antigens were protected against the degradation in the gastric and intestinal 433 tract by the enteric coating of the capsule and by the nano-encapsulation of the antigens. Our 434 results confirm that the antigen integrity was conserved in the simulated conditions (Fig 2). 435

The compatibility of MPLA and chitosan was verified on NP internalization by J774 macrophages 436 and Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells showing higher uptake profile in the case of CS-MPLA-437 NPs (Fig 6). Moreover, the interaction with the intestinal epithelium could be promoted, since in 438 vitro, CS-MPLA-NPs preferentially accumulated in inter- and intracellular regions in Caco-2 cell 439 monolayers compared to uncoated nanoparticles (Fig 5). Our observations are in accordance with 440 441 the ones obtained with fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled chitosan formulated into nanoparticles as reported by Jia et al. (2009). They reported the transcellular transport of chitosan nanoparticles 442 through Caco-2 monolayers which was initiated by electrostatic force between the positive charged 443 444 nanoparticles and the negatively charged cell membrane. Similar results were reported by Dou et 445 al. (2019) for chitosan-coated PLGA nanoparticles and their interaction with Caco-2 monolayers 446 which showed that chitosan coating increases the particle attachment and then the internalization 447 by Caco-2 cells. These chitosan-coated PLGA nanoparticles showed a significantly improved cellular uptake and transcellular transport and therefore exhibited a better transcellular permeability 448 of poor-soluble drugs. The enhancement of nanoparticle adhesion and retention at the mucosal 449 450 epithelium by chitosan coating allowing better antigen delivery and hence the induction of mucosal immune response was highlighted by previous reports of nano-based mucosal vaccination. In the 451 case of a Hepatitis B surface antigen-loaded PLGA-NPs, the intranasal administration induced 452 higher level of serum IgG and secretory IgA for the chitosan-coated particles (Pawar et al., 2013). 453 In addition, Cole et al. (2018) showed that ovalbumin-loaded chitosan nanoparticles had an 454

enhanced permeability through Caco-2 monolayers triggering a higher mucosal IgA responsefollowing oral immunization of mice.

457 Our developed oral vaccine in the form of freeze-dried CS-*Eg*Trp MPLA-NPs and CS-*EgA31*-458 MPLA-NPs, filled into enteric-coated capsules, was used for a preliminary *in vivo* study carried out 459 on a limited number of dogs and gave encouraging results (data not shown).

460 Oral vaccines against Echinococcosis and especially those based on nanoparticles as vectors are very scarce. In addition, the majority of dog vaccination trials used injectable formulations, oral 461 vaccination trials have been carried out, but none have been conclusive so far. Gottstein et al. 462 developed a vaccine using the II/3-10 gene fragment from Echinococcus multilocularis coding for a 463 species-specific antigen expressed in live attenuated Salmonella typhimurium vaccine strain LT2 464 465 M1C (Gottstein et al., 1990). Two vaccinated (subcutaneous and peroral) dogs showed a strong 466 humoral immune response to S. typhimurium antigens and to the recombinant E. multilocularis antigen. Later Carol et al. (1998) demonstrated that nasal immunization using immunostimulating 467 complexes made from a *E. granulosus* tegumental antigen from protoscoleces induced secretory 468 469 IgA in the saliva and the serum of immunized dogs. In both reports, neither challenge infection was carried out nor effect of the vaccination on the number of worms were described. Li et al. (2018) 470 tested the EG14-3-3 antigen loaded into MPLA-liposomes on Echinococcus-infected mice and 471 even if a systemic response with a high protective immunity was obtained after subcutaneously 472 immunization, the work remains limited to mice. Zhang et al. (2006) studied the protective effect of 473 3 injections of EgM4, EgM9 and EgM123 using Freund's adjuvant and their vaccine induced a high 474 level of protective immunity against E. granulosus infection in dogs, especially in terms of 475 suppression of worm growth and of egg development and embryogenesis. They later used Quil A 476 477 and ISCOM as an adjuvant to confirm the vaccine efficacy of the EqM proteins (Zhang et al., 2018) and also observed a systemic response with IgG production. These experiments show that the use 478 of adjuvants is not probably sufficient to change the immune response and the protective level. 479

Kouguchi *et al.* (2013) reported mucosal vaccination experiments against *E. multilocularis*. Dogs were vaccinated using 500 µg of crude SRf1 antigens mixed with 1 cholera toxin (CT) subunit B administered nasally followed by 3 boosters of SRf1 administered orally. They observed a great

483 reduction in the number of worms confirming the interest of mucosal immunization. Recently Vogt et al. (2018) developed a method for oral immunization displaying heterologous proteins on the 484 485 surface of *B. subtilis* biofilms by introducing the coding sequences of *Eg*A31 and *Eg*Trp. The 486 application of the recombinant B. subtilis spores led to the colonization of the gut and the induction 487 of specific IgG and IgA antibodies, but no challenge infection has been reported. More recently, in 2021 Pourceif et al. tested on dogs and sheep in a pilot study, a multi-antigen composed of EG95, 488 Eg14-3-3 and EgEnolase. The vaccine has been administered subcutaneously, the authors 489 assayed IgG and IgE antibodies and the cytokine IL-4 in the sera of immunized dogs and sheep. 490 The authors showed a significant protective effect in dogs but less significant in sheep. 491

492

#### 493 **5. Conclusion**

In the present work we considered for the first time the use of a nanovaccine for mucosal immunization. Surely, the vaccination of dogs could be effective for controlling Echinococcosis and we think that our system, is a promising one. As for the *Eg*A31 and *Eg*Trp antigens, their protective effect have been shown and published previously (Fu et al., 1999; Fraize et al., 2005).

A nanoparticle delivery system encapsulating *E. granulosus* antigens was developed allowing the successful activating effect on canine immunological cells. The addition of chitosan increased the cell internalization of nanoparticles and promoted their adhesion to the mucosa. A preliminary *in vivo* test on dogs gave a good protection rate and experiments will be performed in the future to confirm the protective effect of our vaccine.

503 Our work answers some considerations defined as priority domains according to the One Health 504 strategy in so far as it deals with an important zoonosis disease. Hydatidosis, as mentioned in the 505 introduction to this article, includes different hosts with repercussions on human and animal health 506 and on the agricultural economy. Vaccination of dogs is a perfect way to control the disease and 507 stop its spread. Our nonvaccine also integrates environmental aspects, since the polymer used for 508 the formulation is biodegradable and has no impact either on dogs, nor on the environment.

509

#### 510 Funding

- 511 This work was financed by the European Union (project number: PARAVAC FP7-KBBE-2010-4).
- 512 Uruguaysito Benavides, Imène Hellel-Bourtal and Cecilia Silvarrey were recipient of a grant from
- the same project. Marine Leroux was financed from our own funds.
- 514 Ethics
- 515 This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University Claude Bernard of
- 516 Lyon:
- 517 Ethics committee: CEIIA
- 518 Institution : SCAR
- 519 Approval number and date: DR2015-09
- 520 Consent
- 521 All participants provided written informed consent to publish this study.
- 522 Conflicts of Interest
- 523 All the authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal 524 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
- 525 Marine Leroux, Uruguaysito Benavides, Imène Hellel-Bourtal, and Cecilia Silvarrey carried out the
- 526 experimental work and also the tables and figures
- 527 Nadège Milhau, Thierry Marchal and Philippe Lawton participated in the experimental work and in
- 528 the drafting of the manuscript
- 529 Samia Lahmar supervised the in vivo experimental part and also proofread the manuscript
- 530 Adriana Esteves, Anne-Françoise Pétavy, Stephanie Briançon, Sandrine Bourgeois, Eyad
- 531 Almouazen and Samira Azzouz-Maache supervised all the work, wrote and corrected the
- 532 manuscript.
- 533 And all authors reviewed the manuscript
- 534 Tables and figures captions
- 535
- 536 **Table 1** Characterization of antigen-loaded MPLA-NP prepared by the double emulsion technique.
- 537 **Table 2.** Properties of CS-MPLA-NPs containing *Eg*Trp and *Eg*A31

538

Fig 1. Scanning electron microscopy observations and SDS-PAGE analysis of the prepared 539 nanoparticles: (A) Blank-MPLA-NPs, (B) EgTrp-MPLA-NPs and C) EgA31-MPLA-NPs. The 540 images show the spherical shape and homogenized size near to 200 nm for the three formulations. 541 542 SDS-PAGE analysis after Coomassie Blue staining of EgTrp (D) and EgA31 (E) extracted from the NPs. Lane 1: molecular weight markers, lane 2: antigen (positive control), lane 3: antigen treated 543 with acetonitrile (control of the extraction step), lane 4: total antigen-loaded NP suspension after 544 treatment with acetonitrile, lane 5: supernatant, lane 6: pellet of NPs after treatment with 545 546 acetonitrile.

Fig 2. Protection of the EgTrp-NPs against gastric and intestinal degradation. A) SDS-PAGE analysis of EgTrp extracted from EgTrp-MPLA-NPs after incubation in water or buffer solution at pH 1.6 (simulating gastric conditions) for 2 and 6 h. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis of EgTrp extracted from EgTrp-MPLA-NPs after incubation in water or buffer solution at pH 6.8 (simulating intestinal conditions) for 2 and 6 h. (C) EgTrp-MPLA-NPs incubated for 2 h with pepsin supplemented simulated gastric fluid (SGF). NPs without treatment were used as control.

553 Fig 3. Characterization of CS-MPLA-NPs. (A) Zeta potential measurements of the CS-MPLA-NP suspension after incubation with various concentrations of chitosan for various time intervals, (B) 554 Zeta potential measurements of CS-MPLA-NPs as function of the pH. NPs were previously 555 incubated with 0,1% of chitosan for 4 hrs. (C) Transmission electron microscopy of CS-MPA-NPs. 556 (D and E) Integrity of the encapsulated antigens before and after chitosan coating assessed by 557 SDS-PAGE analysis compared to non-encapsulated antigens. (D) CS-EgTrp-MPLA-NP and (E) 558 CS-EqA31-MPLA-NP. Lane 1: antigen, lane 2: antigen treated similarly to the NP extraction 559 method, lane 3: antigen extracted from the whole NP suspension before chitosan treatment, lane 4: 560 from the supernatant, lane 5: from the pellet, lane 6: the total after chitosan treatment, lane 7: the 561 corresponding supernatant, lane 8: the corresponding pellet. 562

Fig 4. Antigen loaded CS-MPLA-NPs characterization after freeze-drying and capsule filling.
(A) Size distribution of CS-MPLA-NPs after freeze-drying and redispersion in water (green) and
after capsule filling and dissolution in pH 6.8 (blue) compared to the CS-MPLA-NPs original

suspension (red). (B and C) SDS-PAGE analysis of protein extracted from CS-MPLA-NPs loaded with *Eg*A31 (B) and *Eg*Trp (C) after freeze-drying and capsule filling. Free antigens were used as controls (lane 1). Proteins were extracted from lyophilized NP (lane 2) or from the total suspension (lane 3), the supernatant (lane 4) and the pellet (lane 5) of capsule-filled NP after dissolution in intestinal medium. (D) Western blot analysis of *Eg*Trp extracted from CS-MPLA-NPs before (lane 1) and after lyophilization (lane 2).

**Fig 5. Nanoparticles transport through Caco-2 cells.** Fluorescent microscopy images of Caco-2 cells 2 h after incubation with NR-MPLA-NPs (A) and CS-NR-MPLA-NPs (B). Transmission electron microscopy of Caco-2 cells after 24 h incubation with CS-MPLA-NPs (C and D).

Fig 6. Nanoparticles uptake by antigen presenting cells. (A,B): Confocal microscopy images of
J774 macrophages after incubation for 2 h with NR-MPLA-NPs (A) and CS-NR-MPLA-NPs (B).
The samples were counterstained with the Hoechst 33342 fluorescent dye (blue). (C,D):
fluorescence microscopy images of DCs after incubation for 24 h with NR-MPLA-NPs (C) and CSNR-MPLA-NPs (D).

580

#### 581 **References**

582

583 Carol H, Nieto A. A mucosal IgA response, but no systemic antibody response, is evoked by 584 intranasal immunisation of dogs with Echinococcus granulosus surface antigens iscoms. Vet 585 Immunol Immunopathol. 1998;65: 29–41. doi:10.1016/s0165-2427(98)00171-8

- 586 Cole H, Bryan D, Lancaster L, Mawas F, Vllasaliu D. Chitosan nanoparticle antigen uptake in 587 epithelial monolayers can predict mucosal but not systemic in vivo immune response by oral 588 delivery. Carbohydr Polym. 2018;190: 248–254. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.02.084
- des Rieux A, Fievez V, Garinot M, Schneider Y-J, Préat V. Nanoparticles as potential oral delivery
  systems of proteins and vaccines: A mechanistic approach. J Controlled Release. 2006;116: 1–27.
  doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2006.08.013
- 592 Dou T, Wang J, Han C, Shao X, Zhang J, Lu W. Cellular uptake and transport characteristics of 593 chitosan modified nanoparticles in Caco-2 cell monolayers. Int J Biol Macromol. 2019;138: 791– 594 799. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.07.168

595 Echinococcosis fact sheet. [cited 23 May 2020]. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-596 sheets/detail/echinococcosis

- 597 Elamanchili P, Lutsiak CME, Hamdy S, Diwan M, Samuel J. "Pathogen-mimicking" nanoparticles 598 for vaccine delivery to dendritic cells. J Immunother 1997. 2007;30: 378–395. 599 doi:10.1097/CJI.0b013e31802cf3e3
- Esteves A, Señorale M, Ehrlich R. A tropomyosin gene is differentially expressed in the larval
  stage of Echinococcus granulosus. Parasitol Res. 2003;89: 501–502. doi:10.1007/s00436-0020791-4
- Fraize M, Sarciron ME, Azzouz S, Issaadi N, Bosquet G, Pétavy AF, et al. Immunogenicity of two
  Echinococcus granulosus antigens EgA31 and EgTrp in mice. Parasitol Res. 2005;96: 113–120.
  doi:10.1007/s00436-005-1322-x
- Fu Y, Martinez C, Chalar C, Craig PS, Ehrlich R, Pétavy A-F, et al. A new potent antigen from
  Echinococcus granulosus associated with muscles and tegument. Mol Biochem Parasitol.
  1999;102: 43–52. doi:10.1016/S0166-6851(99)00084-5
- 609 Gemmell MA. Natural and acquired immunity factors interfering with development during the rapid 610 growth phase of Echinococcus granulosus in dogs. Immunology. 1962;5: 496–503.
- Gottstein B, Müller N, Cryz SJ, Vogel M, Tanner I, Seebeck T. Humoral and cellular immune
  response in mice and dogs induced by a recombinant Echinococcus multilocularis antigen
  produced by a Salmonella typhimurium vaccine strain. Parasite Immunol. 1990;12: 163–174.
  doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.1990.tb00945.x
- 615 Grayzel DM, Miller EG. The pH of the contents of the gastrointestinal tract in dogs, in relation to 616 diet and rickets. J Biol Chem. 1928;76: 423–436.
- Guldner D, Hwang JK, Cardieri MCD, Eren M, Ziaei P, Norton MG, et al. In Vitro Evaluation of the
   Biological Responses of Canine Macrophages Challenged with PLGA Nanoparticles Containing
   Monophosphoryl Lipid A. PLoS ONE. 2016;11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165477
- HogenEsch H, Felsburg PJ. Immunohistology of Peyer's patches in the dog. Vet Immunol
  Immunopathol. 1992;30: 147–160. doi:10.1016/0165-2427(92)90135-D
- Jain S, Rathi VV, Jain AK, Das M, Godugu C. Folate-decorated PLGA nanoparticles as a rationally
  designed vehicle for the oral delivery of insulin. Nanomed. 2012;7: 1311–1337.
  doi:10.2217/nnm.12.31
- Jia X, Chen X, Xu Y, Han X, Xu Z. Tracing transport of chitosan nanoparticles and molecules in
  Caco-2 cells by fluorescent labeling. Carbohydr Polym. 2009;78: 323–329.
  doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2009.04.020
- Karch CP, Burkhard P. Vaccine technologies: From whole organisms to rationally designed protein
   assemblies. Biochem Pharmacol. 2016;120: 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2016.05.001
- Kouguchi H, Matsumoto J, Nakao R, Yamano K, Oku Y, Yagi K. Characterization of a Surface
   Glycoprotein from Echinococcus multilocularis and Its Mucosal Vaccine Potential in Dogs. PLOS
   ONE. 2013;8: e69821. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069821
- Li Z, Ding S, Li Y. Study on the immunity protection of 14-3-3–MPLA–liposome vaccine against cystic echinococcosis in mice. Front Lab Med. 2018;2: 146–151. doi:10.1016/j.flm.2019.05.002
- Milhau N, Almouazen E, Bouteille S, Hellel-Bourtal I, Azzouz-Maache S, Benavides U, et al. In vitro
  evaluations on canine monocyte-derived dendritic cells of a nanoparticles delivery system for
  vaccine antigen against Echinococcus granulosus. PLOS ONE. 2020;15: e0229121.
  doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229121

- 639 Movsesijan M, Sokolić A, Mladenović Z. Studies on the immunological potentiality of irradiated 640 Echinococcus granulosus forms: immunization experiments in dogs. Br Vet J. 1968;124: 425–432.
- Pawar D, Mangal S, Goswami R, Jaganathan KS. Development and characterization of surface
  modified PLGA nanoparticles for nasal vaccine delivery: Effect of mucoadhesive coating on
  antigen uptake and immune adjuvant activity. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2013;85: 550–559.
  doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2013.06.017
- Pétavy A-F, Hormaeche C, Lahmar S, Ouhelli H, Chabalgoity A, Marchal T, et al. An Oral
  Recombinant Vaccine in Dogs against Echinococcus granulosus, the Causative Agent of Human
  Hydatid Disease: A Pilot Study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2008;2. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000125
- Pourceif MM, Moghaddam G, Saeedi N, Barzegari A, Dehghani J, Omidi Y. Current status and
  future prospective of vaccine development against Echinococcus granulosus. Biologicals. 2018;51:
  1–11. doi:10.1016/j.biologicals.2017.10.003
- Pourceif MM, Moghaddam G, Nematollahi A, Khordadmehr M, Naghili B, Dehghani J, Omidi Y.
  Vaccination with rEGVac elicits immunoprotection against different stages of Echinococcus
  granulosus life cycle: A pilot study. Acta Trop. 2021;218:105883. doi: 10.1016
- Saboulard D, Lahmar S, Pétavy A-F, Bosquet G. The Echinococcus granulosus antigen EgA31:
  localization during development and immunogenic properties. Parasite Immunol. 2003;25: 489–
  501. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.2003.00663.x
- Sarti F, Perera G, Hintzen F, Kotti K, Karageorgiou V, Kammona O, et al. In vivo evidence of oral
  vaccination with PLGA nanoparticles containing the immunostimulant monophosphoryl lipid A.
  Biomaterials. 2011;32: 4052–4057. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.02.011
- Shakweh M, Besnard M, Nicolas V, Fattal E. Poly (lactide-co-glycolide) particles of different
  physicochemical properties and their uptake by peyer's patches in mice. Eur J Pharm Biopharm.
  2005;61: 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2005.04.006
- 663 Shim S, Park HE, Soh SH, Im YB, Yoo HS. Induction of Th2 response through TLR2-mediated 664 MyD88-dependent pathway in human microfold cells stimulated with chitosan nanoparticles loaded 665 with Brucella abortus Mdh. Microb Pathog. 2020;142:104040. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104040.
- Sonaje K, Chuang E-Y, Lin K-J, Yen T-C, Su F-Y, Tseng MT, et al. Opening of epithelial tight
   junctions and enhancement of paracellular permeation by chitosan: microscopic, ultrastructural,
   and computed-tomographic observations. Mol Pharm. 2012;9: 1271–1279. doi:10.1021/mp200572t
- Tan Z, Liu W, Liu H, Li C, Zhang Y, Meng X, et al. Oral Helicobacter pylori vaccine-encapsulated
   acid-resistant HP55/PLGA nanoparticles promote immune protection. Eur J Pharm Biopharm.
   2017;111: 33–43. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2016.11.007
- Thompson RCA, Jenkins DJ. Echinococcus as a model system: biology and epidemiology. Int J
  Parasitol. 2014;44: 865–877. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2014.07.005
- Torgerson PR, Heath DD. Transmission dynamics and control options for Echinococcus granulosus. Parasitology. 2003;127 Suppl: S143-158.
- Tritto E, Mosca F, De Gregorio E. Mechanism of action of licensed vaccine adjuvants. Vaccine.
  2009;27: 3331–3334. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.01.084
- Tyler B, Gullotti D, Mangraviti A, Utsuki T, Brem H. Polylactic acid (PLA) controlled delivery carriers
  for biomedical applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2016;107: 163–175.
  doi:10.1016/j.addr.2016.06.018

- Vela Ramirez JE, Sharpe LA, Peppas NA. Current state and challenges in developing oral vaccines. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2017;114: 116–131. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2017.04.008
- Vogt CM, Armúa-Fernández MT, Tobler K, Hilbe M, Aguilar C, Ackermann M, et al. Oral
  Application of Recombinant Bacillus subtilis Spores to Dogs Results in a Humoral Response
  against Specific Echinococcus granulosus Paramyosin and Tropomyosin Antigens. Infect Immun.
  2018;86. doi:10.1128/IAI.00495-17
- Wang M, Zhang Y, Feng J, Gu T, Dong Q, Yang X, et al. Preparation, characterization, and in vitro and in vivo investigation of chitosan-coated poly (d,l-lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles for intestinal delivery of exendin-4. Int J Nanomedicine. 2013;8: 1141–1154. doi:10.2147/IJN.S41457
- Xia Y, Fan Q, Hao D, Wu J, Ma G, Su Z. Chitosan-based mucosal adjuvants: Sunrise on the
   ocean. Vaccine. 2015;33: 5997–6010. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.07.101
- Zhang W, Zhang Z, Shi B, Li J, You H, Tulson G, et al. Vaccination of dogs against Echinococcus
  granulosus, the cause of cystic hydatid disease in humans. J Infect Dis. 2006;194: 966–974.
  doi:10.1086/506622
- Zhang Z-Z, Guo G, Li J, Shi B-X, Zhao L, Guo B-P, et al. Dog vaccination with EgM proteins
  against Echinococcus granulosus. Infect Dis Poverty. 2018;7: 61. doi:10.1186/s40249-018-0425-4
- Zhao L, Seth A, Wibowo N, Zhao C-X, Mitter N, Yu C, et al. Nanoparticle vaccines. Vaccine.
  2014;32: 327–337.
- 699
- 700 Supporting information
- 701 S2 Video. CS-NR-MPLA-NPs internalization by J774 macrophages during 2h of incubation
- 702 monitored by Nikon Biostation IM