

# Determinants of genetic diversity and species richness of North American amphibians

Chloé Schmidt, Jason Munshi-South, Stéphane Dray, Colin J Garroway

## ► To cite this version:

Chloé Schmidt, Jason Munshi-South, Stéphane Dray, Colin J Garroway. Determinants of genetic diversity and species richness of North American amphibians. Journal of Biogeography, 2021, 49 (11), pp.2005-2015. 10.1101/2021.01.04.425301. hal-03847577

# HAL Id: hal-03847577 https://univ-lyon1.hal.science/hal-03847577v1

Submitted on 10 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1 Title: Determinants of genetic diversity and species richness of North

## 2 American amphibians

- 3 **Running title:**
- 4 Authors: Chloé Schmidt<sup>1\*</sup>, Jason Munshi-South<sup>2</sup>, Stéphane Dray<sup>3</sup>, Colin J Garroway<sup>1\*</sup>
- 5 Affiliations:
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences and Louis Calder Center, Fordham University, Armonk, NY,
   <sup>8</sup> USA
- 8 USA
- 9 <sup>3</sup>Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie
- 10 Evolutive, F-69100, Villeurbanne, France
- 11

### 12 \*Correspondence to:

- 13 Chloé Schmidt
- 14 Department of Biological Sciences
- 15 50 Sifton Rd
- 16 University of Manitoba
- 17 Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2
- 18 email: schmid46@myumanitoba.ca
- 19
- 20 Colin J Garroway
- 21 Department of Biological Sciences
- 22 50 Sifton Rd
- 23 University of Manitoba
- 24 Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2
- 25 email: colin.garroway@umanitoba.ca

26 Abstract: Ecological limits on the population sizes and number of species a region is capable of supporting are thought to simultaneously produce spatial patterns in genetic diversity and species 27 28 richness. However, we do not know the extent to which resource-based environmental limits jointly determine these patterns of biodiversity in ectotherms because of their low energy 29 requirements compared to endotherms. Here, we adapt a framework for the ways ecological 30 31 limits may shape genetic diversity and species richness previously tested in mammals for 32 amphibians to determine whether similar processes produce continental patterns of biodiversity 33 across both taxa. Repurposing open, raw microsatellite data from 19 species sampled at 554 sites 34 in North America we found that spatial patterns of genetic diversity run opposite to patterns of species richness and genetic differentiation. However, while measures of resource availability 35 and niche heterogeneity predict 89% of the variation in species richness, these landscape metrics 36 are poor predictors of genetic diversity. Although heterogeneity appears to be an important driver 37 of genetic and species biodiversity patterns in both amphibians and mammals, our results suggest 38 39 that variation in genetic diversity both within and across species makes it difficult to infer general processes producing spatial patterns of amphibian genetic diversity. 40

41

42 Keywords: latitudinal diversity gradient, biogeography, heterozygosity, frogs, salamanders,
43 more individuals hypothesis, macrogenetics

#### 3

#### 45 Introduction

Although species richness is higher in the tropics for most taxa, the details of diversity patterns 46 differ among species groups. In North America for instance, vertebrate richness generally 47 increases with resource availability, but mammals and birds tend to have higher species richness 48 in dry, mountainous areas, and reptiles and amphibians are more diverse in wet, lower elevation 49 regions (Currie 1991). This pattern suggests that while richness increases with resource 50 availability, taxon-specific traits may cause richness patterns to diverge from a strictly latitudinal 51 52 gradient. Broad-scale patterns of biodiversity at the genetic level have only recently begun to be 53 mapped thanks to the accumulation of open data in public repositories (Miraldo et al. 2016; Manel et al. 2020; Schmidt et al. 2020; Theodoridis et al. 2020). Genetic diversity is typically 54 thought of as the most fundamental level of biodiversity because it bears on populations' 55 56 capacities to evolve adaptively in response to environmental change (Frankham 1995). Recent 57 analyses of mammals suggest that environments can simultaneously shape species richness and genetic diversity on continental scales (Schmidt et al. 2020). Whether this is also true in 58 59 ectothermic taxa is unknown. Understanding whether common processes underlie variation in 60 biogeographic patterns of diversity across taxa with different environmental requirements can 61 help us move toward a general understanding of the drivers of biodiversity at multiple levels. In mammals, continental scale multi-species patterns of nuclear genetic diversity and species 62 richness could be inferred with estimates of resource and niche availability, or ecological 63 opportunity (Schmidt et al. 2020). This suggested that ecological limits placed on the number of 64 individuals and species an environment can support are important drivers of broad-scale 65 biodiversity patterns. Resource-rich environments supported larger populations with higher 66 67 genetic diversity and species richness, while niche availability in heterogeneous habitats

68	promoted species coexistence but reduced population sizes and genetic diversity because
69	specialization restricts available resources. These processes are related to two well-supported
70	hypotheses for the production of biogeographic patterns of species richness: the more-individuals
71	hypothesis (Wright 1983), and the effects of environmental and resource heterogeneity (Allouche
72	et al. 2012; Stein et al. 2014). The more individuals hypothesis posits that resource-rich regions
73	near the equator are capable of supporting larger populations and communities, and thus more
74	species than temperate regions. Heterogeneity is thought to increase species richness due to
75	greater niche availability in more complex heterogeneous environments which allows more
76	species to coexist, but with smaller population sizes because resources are partitioned.
77	The effects of resource heterogeneity on species richness and genetic diversity seem likely to be
78	generally applicable across taxa (Stein et al. 2014; Schmidt et al. 2020), however the general
79	relevance of the more-individuals hypothesis for ectotherms is uncertain (Buckley and Jetz
80	2010). This is because compared to endotherms, ectotherms have lower energy requirements and
81	can behaviorally thermoregulate, meaning their abundances are less likely to be limited by
82	resource-related ecological limits (Pough 1980; Buckley and Jetz 2010). Instead, ectotherm
83	distributions, and therefore species richness, seem more directly constrained by environmental
84	temperature because fewer species have evolved thermal adaptations required for expanding into
85	cooler regions (Buckley and Jetz 2007, 2010). Further, the evolution of traits associated with
86	better survival in temperate regions may have additional effects on speciation dynamics. For
87	example, species turnover tends to be higher among viviparous squamate reptiles, which
88	typically occupy cooler regions (Pyron and Burbrink 2014). Reaching a more comprehensive
89	understanding of how biodiversity patterns emerge requires knowledge about whether

90 relationships between genetic diversity, species richness, and environments are consistent across
91 endothermic and ectothermic taxa.

92	The determinants of species richness across all terrestrial vertebrates are generally related to
93	resource availability as estimated by energy (e.g., potential evapotranspiration, primary
94	productivity), water-energy balance (e.g., actual evapotranspiration, precipitation), and
95	heterogeneity (e.g., elevation variability, land cover diversity) (Currie 1991; Kerr and Packer
96	1997; Hawkins et al. 2003; Rodríguez et al. 2005; Buckley and Jetz 2007; Stein et al. 2014;
97	Jiménez-Alfaro et al. 2016). Amphibians are interesting because they are constrained both by
98	water availability and temperature and water availability is consistently identified as an
99	important driver of diversity in amphibians (Rodríguez et al. 2005; Buckley and Jetz 2007).
100	Indeed in Europe, the best predictors of species richness in mammals and birds shift from energy
101	to water availability at decreasing latitudes, but amphibian species richness remains strongly
102	related to water-energy balance regardless of latitude (Whittaker et al. 2007).
103	The causes of population genetic diversity are rarely studied at the same time or scale as patterns
104	of species richness (but see Marshall and Camp 2006; Schmidt et al. 2020), yet the presumed
105	mechanisms related to the more-individuals hypothesis and heterogeneity are closely related to
106	carrying capacity and population-level processes (Schmidt et al. 2020). The more-individuals
107	mechanism predicts a positive relationship between species richness and population genetic
108	diversity because bigger populations and communities tend to have higher levels of genetic and
109	species diversity (Kimura 1983; Hubbell 2001; Schmidt et al. 2020). With higher carrying
110	capacities, more species persist because they can reach minimal viable population sizes. On the
111	other hand, heterogeneity is predicted to cause negative correlations between genetic diversity
112	and species richness by increasing the number of species a given area can support which in turn

reduces population size and limits gene flow due to increased niche specialization.

Heterogeneous environments also facilitate population differentiation due to spatially varying selection. In mammals, evolutionary processes acting on the population level scaled up and interacted with resource availability and heterogeneity to produce genetic diversity and species

117 richness patterns (Schmidt et al. 2020).

118 Whether mechanisms related to ecological limits and niche availability predict patterns of 119 species richness and genetic diversity in ectotherms is unclear. To test this prediction, we 120 analyzed previously-published microsatellite genotype data from 19 North American amphibian 121 species (8 frogs, 11 salamanders), with >13000 individuals sampled at 554 sites. Our first 122 objective was to identify existing spatial patterns in genetic diversity and differentiation and quantify the extent to which genetic diversity and species richness covary. We then tested 123 whether limits on resources and niche availability jointly determined genetic diversity and 124 125 species richness using structural equation models, which allowed us to evaluate multiple 126 hypotheses at genetic and species level biodiversity simultaneously. We based our conceptual framework on previous findings in mammals (Schmidt et al. 2020) and adapted it for 127 128 amphibians. First, we excluded human presence because previous investigation shows it did not 129 have a clear effect on amphibian genetic diversity (Schmidt and Garroway 2021a). Second, we included a measure of water availability as an additional indicator of resource availability. Third, 130 131 body size was used as a proxy for whole species census size in mammals, however we do not know the extent to which body size is correlated with effective population size or genetic 132 133 diversity within amphibians. We therefore first tested for a relationship between body size and genetic diversity for species in our sample to determine whether it should be incorporated into 134 the model. Finally, we compare our results to previous results in mammals (Schmidt et al. 2020) 135

7

to infer whether similar environmental features contribute to diversity gradients across

137 endothermic and ectothermic taxa in North America.

- 138
- 139 Methods

#### 140 **Biodiversity data**

141 *Genetic diversity and differentiation.* We used raw genotypes (i.e. called allele sizes) of North

American amphibians compiled by (Schmidt and Garroway 2021a). This data set was assembled

143 from raw microsatellite datasets publicly archived in Dryad (DataDryad.org). To identify data

sets we conducted a systematic search of the Dryad data repository with the following keywords:

species name (e.g. *Plethodon cinereus*), "microsat\*", "short tandem\*", and "single tandem\*".

146 We used the IUCN Red List database to obtain a list of amphibian species native to North

147 America for the search. We excluded datasets that lacked spatial reference, were not located in

148 North America, did not sample neutral microsatellite loci, or had study designs that may have

149 affected genetic diversity (including sampling island populations, or captive or managed

150 populations). In total the data sets include genotypes for 13680 individuals spanning 19 species

sampled at 554 locations in the contiguous United States and Canada. We used gene diversity as

a measure of genetic diversity because it is minimally affected by sample size (Nei 1973;

153 Charlesworth and Charlesworth 2010). Our measure of differentiation was population-specific

154  $F_{ST}$  (Weir and Goudet 2017). Population-specific  $F_{ST}$  differs from pairwise  $F_{ST}$  in that it

155 measures how far single populations in a sample have diverged from a common ancestor—this

means it is comparable across studies and species. Population-specific  $F_{ST}$  was not estimable at 2

sites because it requires a minimum of 2 sample sites in the original dataset (n = 552).

8

Species richness. We estimated species richness at each of our genetic diversity sample sites using amphibian range extent data from the IUCN RedList (IUCN 2019), applying filters for native, extant species ranges. We measured species richness as the number of species' ranges overlapping each genetic sample site.

162

#### 163 Diversity maps and spatial variation partitioning

164 We used distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps (MEMs) to detect spatial patterns in genetic 165 diversity and differentiation and compare these to patterns of species richness. MEMs are orthogonal spatial eigenvectors with eigenvalues that are directly proportional to Moran's I. 166 167 They measure spatial autocorrelation at all scales present in the data. We computed MEMs in the 168 R package adespatial (Dray et al. 2017). We used the forward selection procedure described in Blanchet et al. (2008) to select two sets of MEMs describing important patterns in genetic 169 170 diversity, differentiation, and species richness. We then selected MEMs which explained the broadest spatial patterns in these data (Moran's I > 0.25). To create maps of genetic diversity, 171 differentiation, and species richness (Fig. 1), we used the fitted values for gene diversity, 172 173 population-specific F<sub>ST</sub>, and species richness regressed on broad-scale MEMs. This approach allowed us to visualize broad purely spatial patterns in genetic diversity, differentiation, and 174 175 species richness without variation due to other sources, such as local environments, species 176 identity, or population history. Maps of raw values are presented in Fig. S1. Next, we determined the extent to which spatial patterns in genetic diversity and species richness 177 178 were shared using variation partitioning. Because our MEM analysis for both levels of

biodiversity had the same input distance matrix, the resulting spatial MEMs were directly

9

comparable. This was not the case for genetic differentiation, which had fewer sample sites. We
therefore did not partition variation in genetic differentiation because these MEMs are not the
same as those computed for genetic diversity and species richness.

183 We determined the fraction of total variation explained by spatial structure, shared spatial

structure, and non-spatial variation using variation partitioning as follows. We ran a series of

linear regressions with either species richness  $(y_{SR})$  or gene diversity  $(y_{GD})$  as the response

variable using all MEMs selected for that variable (Equations 1 and 2), or only MEMs shared by

187 both variables as predictors (Equations 3 and 4):

188 
$$y_{SR} \sim \alpha + \beta_{1S} (\text{MEM}_{1S}) + \beta_{2S} (\text{MEM}_{2S}) + \dots + \beta_{8S} (\text{MEM}_{8S}) + \epsilon \qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

189 
$$y_{GD} \sim \alpha + \beta_{1G}(\text{MEM}_{1G}) + \beta_{2G}(\text{MEM}_{2G}) + \dots + \beta_{5G}(\text{MEM}_{5G}) + \epsilon \qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

190 
$$y_{SR} \sim \alpha + \beta_{1SG} (MEM_{1SG}) + \beta_{2SG} (MEM_{2SG}) + \dots + \beta_{5SG} (MEM_{5SG}) + \epsilon \qquad \text{Eq. 3}$$

191 
$$y_{GD} \sim \alpha + \beta_{1SG}(\text{MEM}_{1SG}) + \beta_{2SG}(\text{MEM}_{2SG}) + \dots + \beta_{5SG}(\text{MEM}_{5SG}) + \epsilon \qquad \text{Eq. 4}$$

192

193 where  $\alpha$  is the grand mean, and MEM<sub>iS</sub> and MEM<sub>iG</sub> are the set of MEMs selected for species richness (8 MEMs) and genetic diversity (5 MEMs), respectively. The coefficients of variation 194  $(\mathbf{R}^2)$  from Eqs. 1 and 2 give the total amount of variation explained by spatial patterns for species 195 richness and genetic diversity. Subtracting these values from 1 gives the amount of non-spatial 196 197 variation. MEM<sub>iSG</sub> represents the set of MEMs shared by both species richness and genetic diversity (5 MEMs). R<sup>2</sup> values from Eqs. 3 and 4 tell us the amount of variation in each response 198 199 variable which can be explained by spatial variation shared at both levels of diversity. When subtracted from the total spatial variation in genetic diversity or species richness (Eqs. 1 and 2), 200 201 we get the proportion of non-shared spatial variation.

10

202

#### 203 Structural equation modeling

Environmental data. We measured resource and niche heterogeneity by computing Simpson's 204 Diversity Index for landcover categories within buffers at each site. We obtained a 30 m 205 resolution map of North American landcover data from the Commission for Environmental 206 207 Cooperation (CEC et al. 2015). This map is based on 2015 satellite imagery and has 19 standard land cover classifications which includes forests, shrubland, grassland, wetlands, cropland, 208 209 barren land, and built-up land. Because this variable is scale-dependent, we recorded heterogeneity within 4 buffer sizes (10, 25, 40, and 80 km) around each site. 210 211 We used two measures of resource availability because amphibians are habitat-limited by both 212 temperature and water availability. Water availability can be measured by evapotranspiration, or the amount of water removed from the Earth's surface through soil or open water evaporation 213 and plant transpiration processes. Potential evapotranspiration (PET) measures the atmospheric 214 demand for water, depending on factors such as temperature and wind (Peng et al. 2019). It is 215 216 strongly correlated with temperature. PET is the maximum amount of water that would be 217 removed in the absence of biophysical limitations (Peng et al. 2019). The amount of water actually removed, actual evapotranspiration (AET), reflects water availability and soil moisture 218 219 levels. Actual evapotranspiration has also been shown to be one of the strongest predictors of 220 amphibian species richness (Buckley and Jetz 2007). PET can be viewed as a measure of energy 221 availability, and AET one of water-energy balance (see Currie 1991; Buckley and Jetz 2007; 222 Kreft and Jetz 2007). Together these variables represent total ecosystem resource availability at 223 sites. We measured mean PET and AET (mm/yr) at sites within 10, 25, 40, and 80 km buffers 224 using data from the CGIAR Consortium for Spatial Information (Trabucco and Zomer 2019).

11

*Population size.* Body size is a readily measured trait that is typically negatively correlated with 225 long-term effective population size across a diversity of species (Frankham 1996; Romiguier et 226 al. 2014; Mackintosh et al. 2019). To explore whether body size was a useful substitute for long-227 term effective population size in amphibians, we obtained body length data from the AmphiBIO 228 229 v1 database (Oliveira et al. 2017). We then regressed it on gene diversity in a hierarchical model 230 with species as a random effect allowing intercepts to vary and predicted a negative relationship. 231 We found no relationship between body size and genetic diversity in our data (Fig. S2), thus we 232 excluded population size from our proposed causal framework. Without population size as an intermediate variable, we predicted that resource availability would have direct positive effects 233 on both genetic diversity and species richness, and that heterogeneity would have direct negative 234 and positive effects on genetic diversity and species richness, respectively. We hypothesize that 235 these direct effects would act through population size were such data available. 236 Analysis. We used structural equation modeling (SEM) to determine whether genetic diversity 237 238 and species richness are shaped by differential ecological limits due to limits on resources and niche availability. Structural equation modeling begins with a causal diagram, or conceptual 239 model, where paths between variables represent hypothesized causal relationships (Fig. 2a). 240 241 Hypotheses are envisioned as a network where variables are nodes, and paths connecting them represent causal relationships. In SEM, the effects of multiple predictors are simultaneously 242 243 assessed for multiple response variables (Shipley 2016). We implemented structural equation

244 models using the piecewiseSEM package (version 2.0.2), which uses a local estimation approach
245 for models in the hypothesis network allowing for the incorporation of more complex model

types (Lefcheck et al. 2019). Model fit is evaluated using tests of directed separation (Shipley

247 2016), which determine whether an association exists between two variables in the network

conditional on each of their causes. If two variables are not conditionally independent, the model 248 is updated by adding a path between them to make the model more consistent with the data. P-249 250 values from tests of directed separation are used to calculate Fisher's C, which is then used to calculate an overall p-value for the model network. Models are a good fit to the data when p > p251 0.05, indicating the null hypothesis—the proposed hypothesis network—is not rejected. 252 253 Our model network consisted of two models with genetic diversity and species richness as 254 response variables. Our genetic data is hierarchical with multiple sites nested within species. To 255 account for variation in mean genetic diversity across species we modeled random intercepts for 256 species. Additionally, we allowed the responses of genetic diversity to resource availability and heterogeneity to vary across species by including a random slope term for each environmental 257 258 predictor (PET, AET, and land cover diversity). With this random effect structure, we thus allow relationships to vary among species and do not assume effects will be of the same magnitude or 259 260 direction for all species. We fit the hierarchical model for genetic diversity using the lme4 261 package (Bates et al. 2015) within piecewiseSEM. We scaled and centered all variables before analysis so path coefficients were comparable. Finally, we checked model residuals for spatial 262 autocorrelation using Moran tests. We conducted SEM analyses in parallel for each of our 4 263 264 buffer sizes.

265

#### 266 Effect of heterogeneity on population differentiation

Finally, we tested whether landscape heterogeneity was related to increased population
differentiation. We regressed heterogeneity on population-specific F<sub>ST</sub> using a hierarchical model
with a random effect for species accounting for differences in mean F<sub>ST</sub> (intercepts) while

13

allowing the strength and direction of the effect of heterogeneity (slopes) to vary across species.

271 To account for spatial variation we included MEMs describing spatial patterns in  $F_{ST}$  as

covariates. We performed these analyses in parallel across all four heterogeneity buffers.

273

#### 274 **Results**

275 Spatial patterns in genetic variation. We detected spatial patterns across genetic diversity,

276 genetic differentiation, and species richness (Fig. 1). The major axis of broad-scale variation is

277 longitudinal, and across all three biodiversity metrics the western sample sites show little

variation whereas spatial patterns were more complex in the east and appear to vary latitudinally.

279 This broad longitudinal pattern is consistent with environmental variation in North America (Fig.

1) as well as genetic diversity and species richness patterns in mammals (Schmidt et al. 2020).

281 We recovered known patterns of amphibian species richness with MEMs, where richness was

highest in the southeastern United States (Fig. 1) (Currie 1991). Western USA, which is hotter

and drier, had a comparatively low number of species. In eastern sample sites, genetic diversity

increased with latitude, while differentiation and species richness increase towards the tropics.

Genetic diversity and differentiation in the western samples were in the mid-range of valuesacross sample sites (Fig. 1).

In general, species richness was more spatially structured than genetic diversity, with 85% and 23% of variation explained by spatial patterns, respectively (Fig. 1). We detected shared spatial patterns between both levels of biodiversity, however, while shared patterns accounted for the entirety of the spatial variation in genetic diversity, they explained less of the variation in species richness (18%).

14

292	Common causes of genetic diversity and species richness. Our conceptual model (Fig 2a) fit the
293	data well (Fisher's C = 0.49, $p = 0.78$ , 2 degrees of freedom) with no additional links suggested
294	at any scale. Note that for SEM, $p > 0.05$ means that our conceptual model is not rejected. We
295	present results from the 40 km buffer in the main text; results from all models can be found in
296	tables S1 – 4. Species richness was well explained ( $R^2 = 0.89$ ) and increased with water
297	availability, environmental heterogeneity, and species body size (Fig 2, Table S3). Water
298	availability had the strongest effect on species richness. Genetic diversity was not well predicted
299	by any variables in our model ( $R^2 = 0.04$ ; Fig. 2). Residuals from genetic diversity models did
300	not exhibit spatial autocorrelation. Species richness residuals were spatially autocorrelated at
301	local scales (Moran's $I = 0.06$ ). In general, the environmental covariates in our models captured
302	broad spatial patterns well, and we did not incorporate fine-scale spatial structure into our
303	models as this was likely due to clustered sampling of some species. Lastly, genetic
304	differentiation within species decreased with heterogeneity ( $\beta = -0.32 \pm 0.10$ SE) at the most
305	local spatial scale we tested (10 km buffer), but this relationship disappeared at larger buffer
306	sizes.

307

#### 308 **Discussion**

We found spatial variation shared across genetic diversity, differentiation, and species richness at
broad spatial scales (Fig. 1). In general, areas with high species richness tended to have
genetically differentiated populations with relatively low genetic diversity. There was a
latitudinal gradient in genetic diversity across eastern sites which varied in the opposite direction
of the gradient in species richness and genetic differentiation. These patterns are consistent with
our predicted effects of heterogeneity, however, these relationships were not well-reflected by

our structural equation model (Fig. 2). Our variation partitioning suggests that all spatial
variation in genetic diversity was shared with species richness, but the environments that
predicted species richness did not predict genetic diversity well. This finding suggests that other
processes drive the genetic diversity patterns we detect. These processes are likely speciesspecific, and not generalizable at continental scales as they were in mammals (Schmidt et al.
2020).

We suspect the general lack of relationship between genetic diversity and species richness and 321 climate we find in our structural equation model may come down to amphibian population 322 323 dynamics. Ecological limits hypotheses assume that communities are in equilibrium with respect 324 to speciation, colonization, and extinction dynamics (Storch et al. 2018)— extending this to the 325 genetic level, we also assume populations are in an equilibrium state with regards to gene flow, mutation, and genetic drift. However, amphibians have variable local population sizes which can 326 327 sometimes fluctuate by orders of magnitude from year to year (Collins et al. 2009), high rates of 328 species turnover at local and regional scales (Werner et al. 2007; Buckley and Jetz 2008), and 329 relatively low occupancy within potential distributions (Munguía et al. 2012). Species turnover at 330 sites is associated with environmental heterogeneity in freshwater habitats, especially 331 hydroperiod variation in temporary ponds (Urban 2004). Varying population dynamics could obscure general relationships between population genetic diversity and population size, species 332 333 richness, and the climatic factors we explore here.

Interestingly, although genetic diversity was not affected by environmental heterogeneity at any
scale, genetic differentiation decreased with heterogeneity at the most local scale we tested;
genetic differentiation was relatively low in the northeast where landscape heterogeneity was
higher (Fig. 1). If heterogeneity increases niche availability and creates opportunities for

specialization and divergence, we predicted that it would increase genetic differentiation. 338 However, the pattern we detect here may be expected if species that were capable of recolonizing 339 northern regions following glaciation tend to be widely distributed generalists that maintain 340 population connectivity over relatively large geographic distances (Smith et al. 2005; Zeisset and 341 Beebee 2008). Niche partitioning in amphibians may also occur at finer scales within suitable 342 343 habitats (Karlin et al. 1984; Cloved and Eason 2017)—for example by modifying microhabitat usage, diets, foraging strategies, or behaviors across species. Thus, the landscape level metric we 344 345 use here may not capture the varying ways that environmental heterogeneity affects genetic 346 diversity and population differentiation if species respond to environments in different ways. These patterns point to the importance of species-specific responses to environmental conditions 347 and environmental instability in generating biogeographic patterns of genetic diversity and 348 species richness in amphibians (Urban 2004). 349 Previous exploration of the relationships between nuclear genetic diversity, species richness, and 350 351 environments in plethodontid salamanders produced similarly mixed results (Marshall and Camp 2006). Genetic diversity was positively associated with temperature and rainfall across all eight 352 species studied, but topographic heterogeneity had both positive and negative effects on genetic 353 354 diversity depending on species. Allelic richness was only correlated with species richness for Desmognathus species and Plethodon jordani, but relationships were positive and negative 355 356 (Marshall and Camp 2006). In another example, Karlin et al. (1984) report a negative relationship between species richness and genetic diversity across populations of *D. fuscus*. 357 Similar to our findings, it appears resource availability and heterogeneity simultaneously affect 358

359 biodiversity on genetic and species levels, but genetic diversity in general is less well predicted

by environments alone. Variation between species may reduce our ability to detect generalrelationships across species.

362 Although we did not detect clear latitudinal or longitudinal gradients in genetic diversity across 363 North America, previous findings suggest mitochondrial genetic diversity in amphibians and other ectotherms varies latitudinally and mirrors species richness patterns (Miraldo et al. 2016; 364 365 Manel et al. 2020). Miraldo et al. (2016) found that amphibian mitochondrial genetic diversity in North America was highest in the species-rich southeastern United States. They suggested this 366 pattern may be related to the evolutionary speed hypothesis, where presumably high 367 environmental temperature increases rates of population divergence and speciation through its 368 369 effects on mutation rate and generation time (Miraldo et al. 2016). In addition to a negative correlation between patterns of nuclear genetic diversity and species richness, we detected no 370 effect of temperature on nuclear genetic diversity in our SEM, casting doubt on this hypothesis 371 372 for amphibians. Furthermore, a lack of latitudinal gradient indicates that nuclear genetic diversity 373 is not related to temperature in a straightforward way. Environmental temperature has varied and complex effects on metabolism and mitochondrial processes (Munro and Treberg 2017; Zhang 374 375 and Wong 2021), making relationships with nuclear and mitochondrial mutation rates unlikely to 376 be generalizable (Lanfear et al. 2007; Schmidt and Garroway 2021b). More generally mitochondrial DNA alone is not a reliable marker for detecting intraspecific demographic 377 patterns (Bazin et al. 2006; Galtier et al. 2009; Schmidt and Garroway 2021b). Thus, marker 378 choice is very likely responsible for the diverging patterns we find here. This also appears to be 379 380 true in mammals, where patterns of genetic diversity measured using mitochondrial DNA and nuclear DNA also trended in opposite directions (Schmidt et al. 2020). 381

Despite limitations modeling population size, it appears that spatial patterns in genetic diversity 382 and species richness in amphibians are driven by processes similar to mammals. Interestingly, 383 similar proportions of variation in genetic diversity (~ 25%) could be attributed to spatial 384 processes across both taxa. Additionally, it was the case in both groups that spatial variation in 385 genetic diversity was primarily due to factors that also shaped species richness, but other factors 386 387 contribute to unique spatial variation in species richness. The overall negative correlation between spatial patterns of species richness and genetic diversity in amphibians and mammals 388 389 (Schmidt et al. 2020) suggests heterogeneity and niche partitioning are major contributors to 390 diversity across genetic and species levels in endo- and ectothermic vertebrates. Heterogeneity has previously been put forth as a universal driver of species richness (Stein et al. 2014). In 391 general, similar environmental factors seem capable of generating an overall species richness 392 gradient across taxa, but slight deviations from this general pattern are mediated by differential 393 394 interactions between environments, species traits, and population processes. 395 Genetic diversity and species richness are two important metrics for biodiversity conservation because they contribute to the resilience of populations and communities in rapidly changing 396 environments (Oliver et al. 2015). Neutral genetic diversity is indicative of population mean 397 398 fitness and the efficiency of selection in response to environmental change-although it is only weakly correlated with additive genetic diversity, it is nevertheless informative for conservation 399 400 purposes because it reflects levels of inbreeding and the efficiency of selection due to its relationship to the effective population size (Frankham 1995; Mittell et al. 2015). Amphibians 401

403 environmental change. Macrogenetics approaches mapping multispecies patterns of genetic

are among the most imperiled vertebrates (Stuart et al. 2004) and are especially susceptible to

404 diversity at broad scales have great potential for incorporation into conservation policies

19

405	targeting regional conservation of genetic diversity. However, complex ecophysiological
406	requirements, life histories, and population dynamics may render this approach impractical for
407	amphibians because the environmental factors affecting genetic diversity may differ depending
408	on species (Schmidt and Garroway 2021a). Species-specific measures of environmental
409	heterogeneity, resource availability, and habitat suitability may prove to be more reliable
410	predictors of genetic diversity, but may be less relevant for species richness. We are only
411	beginning to explore broad scale patterns of intraspecific genetic diversity across several species.
412	but it is already apparent that they are not as consistently clear as gradients in species richness
413	(Miraldo et al. 2016; Manel et al. 2020; Schmidt et al. 2020; Theodoridis et al. 2020). We look
414	forward to the continued exploration of these patterns in other taxonomic groups to help build a
415	comprehensive picture of the distribution of genetic biodiversity across the globe.
416	

Author contributions: C.J.G., and C.S. conceived of the study. C.S., J.M.S, S.D. and C.J.G.
designed the study and C.S. conducted the analyses with input from S.D. and C.J.G. All authors
contributed to data interpretation. C.S. wrote the first draft of the manuscript and all authors
participated in editing subsequent manuscript drafts.

421

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Mitchell Green for assistance with data
acquisition, as well as the authors of the original datasets for making their data public. C.S. and
C.J.G. were supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
Discovery Grant to C.J.G. C.S. was also supported by a U. Manitoba Graduate Fellowship, and a
U. Manitoba Graduate Enhancement of Tri-council funding grant to C.J.G.

20

- 427 **Data availability:** Synthesized genetic data is available from the Dryad Data Repository (DOI:
- 428 10.5061/dryad.qv9s4mwf0). Species range boundary files and environmental data are available
- 429 from open online sources (see Methods).
- 430

#### 431 **References**

- Allouche, O., Kalyuzhny, M., Moreno-Rueda, G., Pizarro, M., and Kadmon, R. 2012. Areaheterogeneity tradeoff and the diversity of ecological communities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
  U. S. A. 109(43): 17495–17500. doi:10.1073/pnas.1208652109.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
  using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 67(1). doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- Bazin, E., Glémin, S., and Galtier, N. 2006. Population size does not influence mitochondrial
  genetic diversity in animals. Science 312(5773): 570–572. doi:10.1126/science.1122033.
- Blanchet, G.F., Legendre, P., and Borcard. 2008. Forward selection of explanatory variables.
  Ecology 89(9): 2623–2632.
- Buckley, L.B., and Jetz, W. 2007. Environmental and historical constraints on global patterns of
  amphibian richness. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 274(1614): 1167–1173.
  doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.0436.
- Buckley, L.B., and Jetz, W. 2008. Linking global turnover of species and environments. Proc.
  Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105(46): 17836–17841. doi:10.1073/pnas.0803524105.
- Buckley, L.B., and Jetz, W. 2010. Lizard community structure along environmental gradients. J.
  Anim. Ecol. **79**(2): 358–365. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01612.x.
- 448 CEC, NRCan/CCMEO, USGS, INEGI, CONABIO, and CONAFOR. 2015. 2015 North
   449 American Land Cover at 30 m spatial resolution. Available from http://www.cec.org/north 450 american-environmental-atlas/land-cover-30m-2015-landsat-and-rapideye/.
- Charlesworth, B., and Charlesworth, D. 2010. Elements of evolutionary genetics. Roberts &
   Company Publishers, Greenwood Village, Colorado, USA.
- Cloyed, C.S., and Eason, P.K. 2017. Niche partitioning and the role of intraspecific niche
  variation in structuring a guild of generalist anurans. R. Soc. Open Sci. 4(3).
  doi:10.1098/rsos.170060.
- Collins, J.P., Crump, M.L., and Lovejoy III, T.E. 2009. Extinction in Our Times: Global
   Amphibian Decline. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 458 Currie, D.J. 1991. Energy and large-scale patterns of animal- and plant-species richness. Am.
  459 Nat. 137(1): 27–49.
- Dray, S., Blanchet, G., Borcard, D., Clappe, S., Guenard, G., Jombart, T., Larocque, G.,
  Legendre, P., Madi, N., and Wagner, H.H. 2017. adespatial: Multivariate Multiscale Spatial

- 462 Analysis. Available from https://cran.r-project.org/package=adespatial.
- 463 Frankham, R. 1995. Conservation Genetics. Annu. Rev. Genet. 29: 305–332.
- 464 Frankham, R. 1996. Relationship of Genetic Variation to Population Size in Wildlife. Conserv.
  465 Biol. 10(6): 1500–1508. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10061500.x.
- Galtier, N., Nabholz, B., Glémin, S., and Hurst, G.D.D. 2009. Mitochondrial DNA as a marker
  of molecular diversity: A reappraisal. Mol. Ecol. 18(22): 4541–4550. doi:10.1111/j.1365294X.2009.04380.x.
- Hawkins, B.A., Field, R., Cornell, H. V., Currie, D.J., Guégan, J.-F., Kaufman, D.M., Kerr, J.T.,
  Mittelbach, G.G., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E.M., Porter, E.E., and Turner, J.R.G. 2003.
  Energy, water, and broad-scale geographic patterns of species richness. Ecology 84(12):
  3105–3117. doi:10.1890/03-8006.
- Hubbell, S.P. 2001. The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography. Princeton
  University Press, Princeton NJ.
- IUCN. 2019. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019-1. Available from
   https://www.iucnredlist.org.
- Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Chytrý, M., Mucina, L., Grace, J.B., and Rejmánek, M. 2016. Disentangling
  vegetation diversity from climate-energy and habitat heterogeneity for explaining animal
  geographic patterns. Ecol. Evol. 6(5): 1515–1526. doi:10.1002/ece3.1972.
- Karlin, A.A., Guttman, S.I., Rathbun, S.L., and Rathbun, S.L. 1984. Spatial autocorrelation
  analysis of heterozygosity and geographic distribution in populations of Desmognathus
  fuscus (Amphibia: Plethodontidae). Copeia 1984(2): 343–356.
- Kerr, J.T., and Packer, L. 1997. Habitat heterogeneity as a determinant of mammal species
  richness. Nature 385: 253–254.
- Kimura, M. 1983. The Neutral Theory of Molecular Evolution. Cambridge University Press,
   Cambridge.
- 487 Kreft, H., and Jetz, W. 2007. Global patterns and determinants of vascular plant diversity. Proc.
  488 Natl. Acad. Sci. 104(14): 5925–5930. doi:10.1073/pnas.0608361104.
- Lanfear, R., Thomas, J.A., Welch, J.J., Brey, T., and Bromham, L. 2007. Metabolic rate does not calibrate the molecular clock. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104(39): 15388–15393.
  doi:10.1073/pnas.0703359104.
- Lefcheck, J., Byrnes, J., and Grace, J. 2019. piecewiseSEM: Piecewise Structural Equation
   Modeling. Available from https://cran.r-project.org/package=piecewiseSEM.
- Mackintosh, A., Laetsch, D.R., Hayward, A., Charlesworth, B., Waterfall, M., Vila, R., and
  Lohse, K. 2019. The determinants of genetic diversity in butterflies. Nat. Commun. 10(1):
  1–9. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11308-4.
- Manel, S., Guerin, P.E., Mouillot, D., Blanchet, S., Velez, L., Albouy, C., and Pellissier, L. 2020.
  Global determinants of freshwater and marine fish genetic diversity. Nat. Commun. 11(1):
  1–9. Springer US. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14409-7.

- Marshall, J.L., and Camp, C.D. 2006. Environmental correlates of species and genetic richness in
   lungless salamanders (family plethodontidae). Acta Oecologica 29(1): 33–44.
   doi:10.1016/j.actao.2005.07.008.
- 503 Miraldo, A., Li, S., Borregaard, M.K., Florez-Rodriguez, A., Gopalakrishnan, S., Rizvanovic,
- 504 M., Wang, Z., Rahbek, C., Marske, K.A., and Nogues-Bravo, D. 2016. An Anthropocene 505 map of genetic diversity. Science **353**(6307): 1532–1535. doi:10.1126/science.aaf4381.
- Mittell, E.A., Nakagawa, S., and Hadfield, J.D. 2015. Are molecular markers useful predictors of
   adaptive potential? Ecol. Lett. 18(8): 772–778. doi:10.1111/ele.12454.
- Munguía, M., Rahbek, C., Rangel, T.F., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., and Araújo, M.B. 2012. Equilibrium
  of global amphibian species distributions with climate. PLoS One 7(4): e34420.
  doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034420.
- Munro, D., and Treberg, J.R. 2017. A radical shift in perspective: Mitochondria as regulators of
  reactive oxygen species. J. Exp. Biol. 220(7): 1170–1180. doi:10.1242/jeb.132142.
- Nei, M. 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
  A. 70(12): 3321–3323. doi:10.1073/pnas.70.12.3321.
- Oliveira, B.F., São-Pedro, V.A., Santos-Barrera, G., Penone, C., and Costa, G.C. 2017.
  AmphiBIO, a global database for amphibian ecological traits. Sci. Data 4: 1–7.
  doi:10.1038/sdata.2017.123.
- Oliver, T.H., Heard, M.S., Isaac, N.J.B., Roy, D.B., Procter, D., Eigenbrod, F., Freckleton, R.,
  Hector, A., Orme, C.D.L., Petchey, O.L., Proença, V., Raffaelli, D., Suttle, K.B., Mace,
  G.M., Martín-López, B., Woodcock, B.A., and Bullock, J.M. 2015. Biodiversity and
  resilience of ecosystem functions. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30(11): 673–684.
- 522 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2015.08.009.
- Peng, L., Zeng, Z., Wei, Z., Chen, A., Wood, E.F., and Sheffield, J. 2019. Determinants of the
  ratio of actual to potential evapotranspiration. Glob. Chang. Biol. 25(4): 1326–1343.
  doi:10.1111/gcb.14577.
- 526 Pough, F.H. 1980. The Advantages of Ectothermy for Tetrapods. Am. Nat. **115**(1): 92–112.
- Pyron, R.A., and Burbrink, F.T. 2014. Early origin of viviparity and multiple reversions to oviparity in squamate reptiles. Ecol. Lett. 17(1): 13–21. doi:10.1111/ele.12168.
- Rodríguez, M.Á., Belmontes, J.A., and Hawkins, B.A. 2005. Energy, water and large-scale
  patterns of reptile and amphibian species richness in Europe. Acta Oecologica 28(1): 65–70.
  doi:10.1016/j.actao.2005.02.006.
- 532 Romiguier, J., Gayral, P., Ballenghien, M., Bernard, A., Cahais, V., Chenuil, A., Chiari, Y.,
- 533 Dernat, R., Duret, L., Faivre, N., Loire, E., Lourenco, J.M., Nabholz, B., Roux, C.,
- 534 Tsagkogeorga, G., Weber, A.A.T., Weinert, L.A., Belkhir, K., Bierne, N., Glémin, S., and
- 535 Galtier, N. 2014. Comparative population genomics in animals uncovers the determinants of
- 536 genetic diversity. Nature **515**(7526): 261–263. doi:10.1038/nature13685.
- Schmidt, C., Dray, S., and Garroway, C.J. 2020. Genetic and species-level biodiversity patterns
  are linked by demography and ecological opportunity. bioRxiv.

- doi:10.1101/2020.06.03.132092.
- Schmidt, C., and Garroway, C.J. 2021a. The population genetics of urban and rural amphibians
   in North America. Mol. Ecol. doi:10.1111/mec.16005.
- Schmidt, C., and Garroway, C.J. 2021b. The conservation utility of mitochondrial genetic
  diversity in macrogenetic research. Conserv. Genet. 22(3): 323–327. doi:10.1007/s10592021-01333-6.
- Shipley, B. 2016. Cause and Correlation in Biology, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press,
   Cambridge.
- Smith, S.A., Stephens, P.R., and Wiens, J.J. 2005. Replicate patterns of species richness,
  historical biogeography, and phylogeny in holarctic treefrogs. Evolution 59(11): 2433–
  2450. doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00953.x.
- Stein, A., Gerstner, K., and Kreft, H. 2014. Environmental heterogeneity as a universal driver of
  species richness across taxa, biomes and spatial scales. Ecol. Lett. 17(7): 866–880.
  doi:10.1111/ele.12277.
- Storch, D., Bohdalková, E., and Okie, J. 2018. The more-individuals hypothesis revisited: the
   role of community abundance in species richness regulation and the productivity–diversity
   relationship. Ecol. Lett. 21(6): 920–937. doi:10.1111/ele.12941.
- Stuart, S.N., Chanson, J.S., Cox, N.A., Young, B.E., Rodrigues, A.S.L., Fischman, D.L., and
  Waller, R.W. 2004. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide.
  Science 306(5702): 1783–1786. doi:10.1126/science.1103538.
- Theodoridis, S., Fordham, D.A., Brown, S.C., Li, S., Rahbek, C., and Nogues-Bravo, D. 2020.
  Evolutionary history and past climate change shape the distribution of genetic diversity in terrestrial mammals. Nat. Commun. 11(1): 2557. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16449-5.
- Trabucco, A., and Zomer, R. 2019. Global Aridity Index and Potential Evapotranspiration (ET0)
   Climate Database v2. doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.7504448.v3.
- 564 Urban, M.C. 2004. Disturbance heterogeneity determines freshwater metacommunity structure.
   565 Ecology 85(11): 2971–2978. doi:10.1890/03-0631.
- Weir, B.S., and Goudet, J. 2017. A Unified Characterization of Population Structure and
   Relatedness. Genetics 206(4): 2085–2103. doi:10.1534/genetics.116.198424.
- Werner, E.E., Yurewicz, K.L., Skelly, D.K., and Relyea, R.A. 2007. Turnover in an amphibian metacommunity: the role of local and regional factors. Oikos 116(10): 1713–1725. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.16039.x.
- 571 Whittaker, R.J., Nogués-Bravo, D., and Araújo, M.B. 2007. Geographical gradients of species
  572 richness: a test of the water-energy conjecture of Hawkins et al. (2003) using European data
  573 for five taxa. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 16: 76–89. doi:10.1111/j.1466-822x.2006.00268.x.
- Wright, D.H. 1983. Species-Energy Theory: An Extension of Species-Area Theory. Oikos 41(3):
  496–506.
- Zeisset, I., and Beebee, T.J.C. 2008. Amphibian phylogeography: a model for understanding

- 577 historical aspects of species distributions. Heredity. **101**: 109–119.
- 578 doi:10.1038/hdy.2008.30.
- Zhang, Y., and Wong, H.S. 2021. Are mitochondria the main contributor of reactive oxygen
   species in cells? J. Exp. Biol. 224(5). doi:10.1242/jeb.221606.

**Table 1.** Data summary. Summary of aggregated raw genetic data: mean gene diversity, mean number of loci, median number of

individuals at sites per species. Species richness is the mean species richness at sites. Environmental variables (40 km

583 buffer): potential evapotranspiration (PET); actual evapotranspiration (AET); heterogeneity is the mean land cover diversity measured

584 with Simpson's Index. Ranges of values are given in parentheses where applicable.

585

Species (# sites)	Gene diversity	Loci	Individuals	Species richness	PET	AET	Heterogeneity
Anura							
Ascaphus montanus (100)	0.74 (0.41–0.87)	13	20 (10–41)	7.35 (3–11)	1097.84 (1006.52–1327.35)	506.86 (341.66–601.4)	0.54 (0.31–0.72)
Lithobates pipiens (5)	0.86 (0.83–0.9)	9	40 (20–46)	18.4 (16–21)	961.02 (924.69–1041.02)	656.24 (614.39–711.78)	0.74 (0.69–0.78)
Lithobates sylvaticus (90)	0.8 (0.7–0.9)	10.57 (7–15)	24 (5–36)	21.4 (11–38)	1062.37 (843.19–1437.5)	759.82 (669.33–1050.57)	0.76 (0.63–0.84)
Pseudacris crucifer (11)	0.75 (0.65–0.81)	11	28 (5–114)	16.18 (15–17)	984.96 (913.86–1122.62)	656.36 (569.9–689.03)	0.53 (0.27–0.8)
Pseudacris streckeri (17)	0.59 (0.38–0.82)	14	8 (5–22)	24.71 (15–34)	1479.33 (1257.25–1925.78)	878.45 (521.88–1001.36)	0.63 (0.3–0.86)
Rana draytonii (17)	0.51 (0.27–0.66)	15	13 (7–83)	9.41 (7–12)	1776.46 (1586.25–2179.73)	405.28 (331.77–493.63)	0.71 (0.55–0.8)
Rana luteiventris (25)	0.55 (0.37–0.69)	8	15 (6–205)	9.16 (5–11)	1200.7 (1077.99–1563.67)	494.77 (254–579.38)	0.66 (0.41–0.74)
Rana pretiosa (23)	0.32 (0.16–0.55)	10.39 (7–13)	34 (26–299)	9.35 (5–18)	1195.73 (924.34–1341.85)	515.32 (424.91–631.07)	0.55 (0.37–0.81)
Caudata							
Ambystoma barbouri (76)	0.78 (0.54–0.88)	11	22 (8–43)	28.28 (21–34)	1274.78 (1189.33–1337.51)	889.87 (811.77–967.52)	0.68 (0.55–0.75)
Ambystoma maculatum (97)	0.69 (0.45–0.75)	9.16 (7–14)	24 (8–36)	20.74 (16–35)	1074.81 (961.91–1406.61)	752.9 (682.96–931.33)	0.74 (0.37–0.8)
Desmognathus fuscus (5)	0.39 (0.15–0.65)	5	26 (22–35)	26.4 (26–27)	1258.69 (1224.99–1271.15)	827 (813.24–849.54)	0.62 (0.58–0.67)
Dicamptodon aterrimus (3)	0.48 (0.43–0.53)	9	91 (90–180)	6.33 (5–7)	1039.28 (1029.16–1046.55)	527.8 (469.46–564.46)	0.36 (0.32–0.41)
Dicamptodon copei (29)	0.69 (0.48–0.87)	11	18 (6–81)	13.86 (13–15)	905.66 (826.03–1074.59)	652.43 (628.31–678.36)	0.57 (0.48–0.74)
Ensatina eschscholtzii (4)	0.8 (0.75–0.84)	10	11.5 (5–19)	10 (9–11)	2140.32 (2039.14–2308.24)	368.69 (341.99–380.48)	0.63 (0.6–0.72)
Hydromantes brunus (6)	0.52 (0.38–0.61)	10	10 (7–18)	9.5 (8–11)	2021.39 (2008.05–2037.4)	347.91 (291.31–424.01)	0.7 (0.63–0.75)
Hydromantes platycephalus (15)	0.44 (0.25–0.64)	10	13 (6–21)	6.93 (4–9)	1786.55 (1523.97–2159.97)	466.68 (326.23–576.76)	0.65 (0.55–0.73)
Plethodon albagula (21)	0.48 (0.44–0.55)	20	16 (10–24)	31 (31–31)	1349.69 (1348.91–1350.48)	833.13 (832.48–833.85)	0.66 (0.66–0.66)
Plethodon cinereus (1)	0.6	7	122	22	1348.41 (1348.41–1348.41)	843.96	0.77
Taricha granulosa (9)	0.4 (0.26–0.77)	6	16 (6–32)	4 (2–13)	746.76 (614.95–1612.18)	522.65 (480.12–609.21)	0.73 (0.69–0.82)



- Figure 1. (*Top row*) Maps of predicted genetic diversity, species richness, and genetic differentiation at genetic sample sites (points)
   based on spatial MEMs. MEMs were able to recover known patterns of species richness, which are negatively correlated with spatial
- 590 patterns of genetic diversity. Patterns of genetic differentiation mirror those of species richness. (*Bottom row*) Maps depicting the
- 591 environmental variables predicted to have simultaneous effects on genetic diversity and species richness, and variation partitioning
- results. Note land cover heterogeneity is a categorical variable and this map represents different land cover classes.



**Figure 2.** Structural equation model results. (A) Our hypothesized conceptual model. Line color denotes predicted directions of effect. (B) Results for model using environments within 40 km of sites (results for all spatial scales are in Tables S1-S4). Regression coefficients with standard errors are shown along each path. Paths between variables where no effect was detected are colored in gray (see Table S3 for a complete summary of all paths). R<sup>2</sup>, the proportion of variation explained by the model, is given for genetic diversity and species richness. For genetic diversity,  $R^2_m$  is the variation explained by fixed effects and  $R^2_c$  is the variation explained by both fixed effects and the random species effect.

28

# Determinants of genetic diversity and species richness of North American amphibians

#### **Supplementary Information**

Figures S1-3

Tables S1-4

29



**Figure S1.** Maps of raw data for genetic diversity, species richness, and genetic differentiation. Genetic diversity is Nei's gene diversity; species richness is the number of amphibian species' ranges overlapping each site; genetic differentiation is site-specific  $F_{ST}$ .



**Figure S2.** Relationship between genetic diversity and body size (snout-vent length). We detected no relationship using a mixed-effects model including a random intercept for species ( $\beta = -0.03 \pm 0.2$  SE).



**Figure S3.** Spatial patterns (MEMs) for genetic diversity and species richness are correlated with environments: energy availability (potential evapotranspiration; PET), water availability (actual evapotranspiration; AET), and heterogeneity (land cover diversity). MEMs are ordered along the x-axis according to spatial scale explained, from broadest (MEM1) to finest (MEM18). MEMs to the left of the dashed lines indicate the broadest-scale patterns with Moran's I > 0.25 used to produce maps of genetic diversity and species richness. Light blue bars are MEMs explaining spatial patterns of species richness, and dark blue bars are MEMs explaining spatial patterns shared by genetic diversity and species richness. No MEMs explained spatial patterns in genetic diversity only.

32

- **Table S1.** Path coefficients and standard errors for SEM model with heterogeneity measured
- 2 within a 10 km buffer around sites (Fisher's C = 0.28, p = 0.87, 2 degrees of freedom). Genetic
- 3 diversity  $R_m^2 = 0.04$  (variance explained by fixed effects);  $R_c^2 = 0.92$  (variance explained by
- 4 fixed and random effects); species richness  $R^2 = 0.87$ .
- 5

Response	Predictor	Estimate ± SE
gene diversity	potential evapotranspiration	$0.16 \pm 0.16$
gene diversity	actual evapotranspiration	$0.13 \pm 0.14$
gene diversity	heterogeneity	$0.16 \pm 0.09$
species richness	potential evapotranspiration	$0.18 \pm 0.02$
species richness	actual evapotranspiration	$0.90 \pm 0.02$
species richness	heterogeneity	0.09 ± 0.02

33

- 7 **Table S2.** Path coefficients and standard errors for SEM model with heterogeneity measured
- 8 within a 25 km buffer around sites (Fisher's C = 0.59, p = 0.74, 2 degrees of freedom). Genetic
- 9 diversity  $R_m^2 = 0.04$  (variance explained by fixed effects);  $R_c^2 = 0.92$  (variance explained by
- 10 fixed and random effects); species richness  $R^2 = 0.88$ .
- 11

Response	Predictor	Estimate ± SE
gene diversity	potential evapotranspiration	$0.20 \pm 0.16$
gene diversity	actual evapotranspiration	$0.07 \pm 0.16$
gene diversity	heterogeneity	$0.19 \pm 0.12$
species richness	potential evapotranspiration	$0.19 \pm 0.01$
species richness	actual evapotranspiration	$0.90 \pm 0.02$
species richness	heterogeneity	$0.10 \pm 0.02$

34

- 13**Table S3.** Path coefficients and standard errors for SEM model with heterogeneity measured
- 14 within a 40 km buffer around sites (Fisher's C = 0.49, p = 0.78, 2 degrees of freedom). Genetic
- diversity  $R_m^2 = 0.04$  (variance explained by fixed effects);  $R_c^2 = 0.93$  (variance explained by
- 16 fixed and random effects); species richness  $R^2 = 0.89$ .
- 17

Response	Predictor	Estimate ± SE
gene diversity	potential evapotranspiration	0.22 ± 0.17
gene diversity	actual evapotranspiration	$0.10 \pm 0.17$
gene diversity	heterogeneity	$0.15 \pm 0.11$
species richness	potential evapotranspiration	$0.20 \pm 0.01$
species richness	actual evapotranspiration	$0.91 \pm 0.01$
species richness	heterogeneity	$0.09 \pm 0.01$

18

35

- 20 **Table S4.** Path coefficients and standard errors for SEM model with heterogeneity measured
- within an 80 km buffer around sites (Fisher's C = 0.40, p = 0.82, 2 degrees of freedom). Genetic
- diversity  $R_m^2 = 0.03$  (variance explained by fixed effects);  $R_c^2 = 0.92$  (variance explained by
- fixed and random effects); species richness  $R^2 = 0.90$ .
- 24

Response	Predictor	Estimate ± SE
gene diversity	potential evapotranspiration	$0.16 \pm 0.19$
gene diversity	actual evapotranspiration	$0.24 \pm 0.20$
gene diversity	heterogeneity	$0.09 \pm 0.09$
species richness	potential evapotranspiration	$0.22 \pm 0.01$
species richness	actual evapotranspiration	$0.95 \pm 0.01$
species richness	heterogeneity	$0.07 \pm 0.01$

25

26