

Ecdysteroids affect female reproductive status and outcome of contest over hosts in the parasitoid wasp Eupelmus vuilleti

Anthony G.E. Mathiron, Charly Dixneuf, Nathalie Mondy, Charlotte Lécureuil, Ryan Earley, Marlène Goubault

▶ To cite this version:

Anthony G.E. Mathiron, Charly Dixneuf, Nathalie Mondy, Charlotte Lécureuil, Ryan Earley, et al.. Ecdysteroids affect female reproductive status and outcome of contest over hosts in the parasitoid wasp Eupelmus vuilleti. Hormones and Behavior, 2020, 125, pp.104819. 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104819. hal-02971516

HAL Id: hal-02971516 https://univ-lyon1.hal.science/hal-02971516v1

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Ecdysteroids affect female reproductive status and outcome of contest over hosts in the parasitoid wasp *Eupelmus vuilleti*

- 3
- 4 Anthony G.E. Mathiron¹, Charly Dixneuf¹, Nathalie Mondy², Charlotte Lécureuil¹, Ryan L. Earley^{3*}
- 5 and Marlène Goubault^{1^*}
- 6 ¹Institut de Recherche sur la Biologie de l'Insecte, UMR 7261 CNRS Université de Tours, Parc Grandmont, 37200
- 7 Tours, France
- 8 ² Laboratoire d'Ecologie des Hydrosystèmes Naturels et Anthropisés, CNRS, UMR 5023 LEHNA, Université Lyon 1, 3
- 9 rue Raphaël Dubois, 69622 Villeurbanne
- ³Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Alabama, 300 Hackberry Lane, Box 870344, Tuscaloosa, AL
- 11 35487, United States
- 12 *Authors contributed equally
- 13
- 14 Author for correspondence:
- 15 Anthony Mathiron
- 16 E-mail: <u>anthony.mathiron@sfr.fr</u>
- 17

Abstract. Ecdysteroids are a family of insect hormones that may play a role in modulating 18 aggressive behavior in reproductive contexts. In Hymenoptera, the few studies investigating the link 19 between ecdysteroid titers, reproduction and aggressiveness during contests concern solely eusocial 20 species. Here, we explored whether ecdysteroid titers influenced female reproductive status as well 21 22 as aggressiveness and resolution of conflict in a solitary ectoparasitoid, Eupelmus vuilleti (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae). Eupelmus vuilleti females parasitize and feed upon juvenile stages of 23 Callosobruchus maculatus (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). When two E. vuilleti females are simultaneously 24 25 present on a patch, they tend to protect the host they exploit by displaying aggressive behaviors

towards conspecific competitors. To our knowledge, nothing is known about the association between 26 ecdysteroids and aggressiveness or the outcome of contests for host access in solitary insects. First, 27 28 we quantified ecdysteroid titers that naturally circulate in females without fighting experience and 29 after a contest over host access. Ecdysteroid titers measured after the contest did not correlate with female aggressiveness during the contest, but winner wasps had higher titers than both losers and 30 31 females that did not fight. Then, we manipulated hormone titers via injection: ecdysone favored egg 32 maturation (i.e., gonadotropic effect) within 24h and increased almost immediately the females' 33 probability of winning host access without affecting their aggressiveness. Our results represent an 34 important step in understanding how hormones, such as ecdysteroids, mediate insect behavior during intraspecific competition. 35

36

37 Key words: ecdysteroids, egg maturation, aggressive behaviors, conflict resolution, hymenopteran
38 parasitoid

39

40 **1. Introduction**

Intraspecific competition for indivisible resources may lead to direct interactions between 41 42 individuals during contests (Kokko, 2013; Hardy and Briffa, 2013). Winning a contest generally provides profound fitness benefits to animals as they can get access to food (Barton, 1993; Vogel, 43 44 2005), a sexual partner (West-Eberhard, 1979; Schwagmeyer and Woontner, 1985; Simmons, 1986), dominance status (Chase, 1974) or a territory (Festa-Bianchet et al., 1990). Because aggressiveness 45 may help individuals to obtain such resources, many vertebrate and invertebrate taxa commonly 46 exhibit aggressive behaviors during contests (Collias, 1944; Breed and Bell, 1983; Hardy and Briffa, 47 2013). For example, in parasitoid insects, females compete to lay their eggs on hosts from which 48 their offspring develop, and aggressive interactions between females for host access have been 49 50 observed in different parasitoid species (Hughes et al., 1994; Petersen and Hardy, 1996; Field and Calbert, 1999; Goubault *et al.*, 2007; Mohamad *et al.*, 2013, Mathiron *et al.*, 2018). However, being
aggressive can also be costly, as associated physical injuries can lead to death (Palombit, 1993;
Innocent *et al.*, 2011) and the inherent decrease in vigilance may increase predation risk (Jakobsson *et al.*, 1995; Díaz-Uriarte, 1999).

Hormones function, in part, to allow animals to match their physiology to the situations they face 55 and adjust their behavior accordingly. Males of many vertebrates respond to social challenges from 56 57 conspecific with a rapid increase in androgen titers such as testosterone, which supports and stimulates the expression of aggressive behaviors (reviewed in Wingfield et al., 1990; Moore et al., 58 59 2019). In insects, there is growing evidence that hormones may respond to social challenge in ways that parallel androgens in vertebrates (reviewed in Tibbetts and Crocker, 2014; Tibbetts et al., 2019), 60 such as in male and female burying beetles Nicrophorus orbicollis (Scott, 2006), male lobster 61 62 cockroaches Nauphoeta cinerea (Kou et al., 2008, 2019) and female wasps Polistes dominulus 63 (Tibbetts and Huang, 2010). The majority of studies investigating how hormones mediate insect behaviors in competitive contexts have focused on juvenile hormone (JH), but another important 64 class of hormones are the ecdysteroid hormones (ESH) - mainly ecdysone and 20-hydroxy-ecdysone 65 (20E). In adults, ESH are produced by the fat body, gonads or epidermis (Festucci-Buselli et al., 66 2008; Bloch et al., 2009) and act in almost all body tissues, such as central nervous system, fat body, 67 midgut and reproductive tissues in both males and females (reviewed in Schwedes and Carney, 68 69 2012). Similar to androgens, ESH pass into the cell and bind to nuclear receptors: in this case, a 70 heterodimer composed of the ecdysone receptor (EcR) and the Ultraspiracle (USP; Thomas et al., 71 1993, Yao et al., 1992). Finally, the receptor-hormone complex binds to specific sequences of the DNA to regulate gene transcription (Bloch et al., 2009; Roy et al., 2018). 72

In adult females, ESH are mainly involved in the control of reproduction and reproductive
behaviors (reviewed in Nijhout, 1994; Elekonich and Robinson, 2000; Ringo, 2002). For example, in
eusocial Hymenoptera, *Bombus terrestris*, egg-laying mated queens have higher ESH titers than

virgin queens (Bloch et al., 2000; Geva et al., 2005), and ESH titers are higher in egg-laying worker 76 77 honeybees than in both nurses and foragers, and even higher in egg-laying queens (Robinson et al., 78 1991). By contrast, Hartfelder et al. (2002) found no difference between virgin queens and mated 79 egg-laying queens of the stingless bee, Melipona quadrifasciata. In addition, ESH have rapid actions on cell-membrane properties that might be particularly relevant for understanding their role as 80 behavioral mediators during intraspecific competition (Bloch et al., 2009), but the few studies 81 82 measuring links between ESH titers and the expression of behaviors such as aggressiveness have found inconsistent results. In eusocial hymenopterans, ESH titers are elevated in highly aggressive, 83 84 high-ranking workers relative to low-ranking workers in the queenless ant Streblognathus peetersi (Brent et al., 2006). In Polistes dominula, overwintered foundresses females injected with 20E in the 85 pre- and early-nesting phases were more likely to become dominant (i.e., displaying the highest 86 87 number of aggressive behaviors). In contrast, 20E titers in the haemolymph are very low and are not 88 associated with dominance rank of females in the late pre-emergent stage in the same species, as well as in the South African species Polistes smithii (Kelstrup et al., 2015). 89

Here, we explored how ESH mediate female reproductive status, aggressive behaviors during 90 contests over host access and the outcome of such contests in the parasitoid wasp Eupelmus vuilleti 91 92 Crawford (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae). E. vuilleti is a solitary ectoparasitoid (i.e., only one offspring can develop per host, with supernumerary juveniles being killed during larval competition; Fisher, 93 94 1961) that parasitizes and feeds upon larvae and pupae of the cowpea seed beetle Callosobruchus 95 maculatus (Coleoptera: Bruchidae), which infest seeds of Vigna unguiculata (Fabaceae). Female E. *vuilleti* are known to protect the host they exploit by displaying aggressive behaviors towards 96 conspecific competitors (Mohamad et al., 2010; Mathiron et al., 2018). Previous studies have shown 97 98 that one major factor affecting aggressiveness and contest outcomes in E. vuilleti females is their number of mature eggs (i.e., egg load): females are usually more aggressive and are more likely to 99 win contests when they have a greater egg load (Mohamad et al., 2010, 2012; Mathiron et al., 2018, 100

101 2019). Moreover, Mathiron et al. (2019) showed that wasps fighting over host access were more aggressive when they had more ready-to-lay eggs, and this effect was stronger when females were 102 103 injected with JH. Finally, it is known that ecdysone is the main ESH secreted by reproductively active females (Bodin et al., 2007, 2009), and that simple contact with a host can trigger an increase 104 in its levels, correlated with the maturation of a single egg within 24 hours (Casas et al., 2009). 105 Altogether, these results indicate the potential of ESH as a behavioral mediator in E. vuilleti. 106 107 Surprisingly, nothing is known about the role of this hormone family in aggressiveness and contest outcome during competition for host access. 108

109 We designed a first experiment to quantify natural ESH titers circulating in E. vuilleti females both without a host and after fighting over a host, and the extent to which contest aggressiveness and 110 outcome relate to these titers. We expected post-contest ESH titers to vary positively with the 111 112 number of aggressive behaviors displayed by females, and that winner females would have higher 113 titers than losers. Another common method that enables understanding of how hormonal change affects individual responses is hormone manipulation via administration of a given amount of 114 hormones (Tibbetts and Izzo, 2009). We therefore set up a second experiment to determine the effect 115 116 of ESH, at different titers and time delays, on the number of mature eggs. This permitted us to define the ESH injection conditions to study aggressive behavior independent of a gonadotropin effect. We 117 then studied whether aggressiveness and outcome of contest between wasps were influenced by 118 119 ecdysone treatment. We expected that individuals treated with ecdysone would display more 120 aggressive behaviors, and would ultimately be more likely to win contests for hosts than control 121 females (i.e., treated with vehicle).

122

123 **2. Material and Methods**

124 2.1. Laboratory breeding

We collected E. vuilleti on cowpea seeds infested by the bruchid beetle Callosobruchus maculatus 125 in Togo (West Africa) in 2007. According to the methodology described by Jaloux et al. (2004), we 126 127 then bred parasitoids in the laboratory (IRBI, University of Tours, France) on larvae and pupae of C. maculatus. We dissected cowpea seeds to collect hosts for E. vuilleti, which were then placed 128 129 individually in a standard transparent gelatin capsule (length: 2 cm, diameter: 0.6 cm). By mimicking a cowpea seed, this system facilitates observation of the number of eggs laid by females, without 130 131 significantly altering oviposition behavior (Gauthier and Monge, 1999). Previous studies have shown that after a period of acclimation, parasitoid females behave in the same manner to the presence of 132 133 hosts inside seeds and capsules (Gauthier and Monge, 1999; Jaloux et al., 2004), although the 134 number of eggs laid on hosts is slightly lower inside capsules (Damiens et al., 2001).

135

136 2.2. Females' preparation for behavioral observations

137 During the following three experiments, we isolated parasitoid females directly at their emergence to prevent them from having any previous experience of oviposition and competition. We then 138 placed them individually in petri dishes (diameter: 8.5 cm; height: 2.7 cm), provided with a piece of 139 140 cotton soaked in water and one pupa of C. maculatus located in a gelatin capsule that was renewed 141 each day preceding the experiments. E. vuilleti is a synovigenic parasitoid species (Jervis et al., 142 2008): emerging females only have a few ready-to-lay eggs and they mature additional eggs throughout their adult life (oviposition activity increases over time and peaks three days after 143 144 emergence; Jaloux et al., 2004). We also provided females with one male during the first day for mating and oogenesis stimulation (Terrasse and Rojas-Rousse, 1986). We carried out all 145 experimental procedures in a climate-controlled room at 30°C, 12:12 h light: dark and constant 70% 146 relative humidity. 147

149 2.3. Experiment 1: ESH titers, aggressiveness and outcome of contests

150 2.3.1. Experimental procedure

151 To facilitate wasps' identification during contests, we marked them on the dorsal part of their 152 thorax with a dot of bright yellow or bright red acrylic paint. On the day of behavioral observations, 153 we deprived females of hosts for at least 2h before the experiment so that they were more ready to 154 oviposit. All females were of the same age (3 days old), and marked with different colors. Paint color 155 did not affect contest outcomes (red-painted wasps won 15 of 25 contests; binomial test: P = 0.42). 156 At the beginning of the observation, we simultaneously introduced wasps into an arena consisting of a plastic block made of three chambers linked by a narrow channel (adapted from Petersen and 157 Hardy, 1996; see Mathiron et al., 2019). Females could freely move throughout the entire arena. 158

159 We placed either one (N = 31) or two females (N = 30) in the central chamber already containing a 4th instar larva of C. maculatus previously placed in a capsule. A previous study showed that 160 females that experienced oviposition on C. maculatus pupae are more motivated to get access to a 161 4th instar larva (Mathiron et al., 2018). In a patch with hosts, females often stop their behavioral 162 oviposition sequence after detecting a conspecific (females raised their antennae in the direction of 163 164 their opponent; Mohamad et al., 2010). Wasps then either simply kick their opponent with their legs 165 without taking their ovipositor out of the capsule (defensive behavior) or can escalate to a full attack, in which case one female generally chases her opponent away from the capsule, hits her with her 166 167 head or mounts her (Mathiron et al., 2018). Chasing, hitting and mounting can lead to the loser leaving the central chamber of the arena. We therefore recorded oviposition during all tests, which 168 169 contestant female displayed first oviposition behavior, and aggressive behaviors displayed by each 170 contestant female. All observations lasted 1h or were stopped when i) one contestant female pushed her opponent out of the central chamber, ii) one exited the central chamber for at least 2 min or iii) 171 neither contestant female touched the host nor displayed agonistic interactions for at least 2 min. 172 When both contestant females stayed in the central chamber during the whole time of observation, 173

the winning female was determined as the one displaying oviposition behaviors (N = 22; mean \pm S.E. eggs laid: 1.32 \pm 0.19).

We immediately froze wasps at -20°C after the experiments, weighed them using an electronic balance (Ohaus Discovery® model, accuracy: 0.01mg), and stored them at -20°C until sample preparation for hormonal quantification. Due to the small body of *E. vuilleti* females (mean body mass \pm S.E. = 1.52 \pm 0.03 mg; N = 91), we were not able to collect the hemolymph from individuals both before and after behavioral observations. In order to keep as much biological material as possible for subsequent ESH quantification, we also did not dissect females to determine their egg load.

183

184 2.3.2. ESH quantification using Enzyme Immunoassays

We placed each parasitoid in a 1.5 mL conical microcentrifuge tube with 0.5 mL of methanol (99% HPLC grade), and we crushed them with a polypropylene pestle. After one hour at ambient temperature, we centrifuged samples (10,000 x g for 5 min), and we transferred the supernatant methanol into a new tube. We dried down these extracts in a SpeedVac (AES 1010 Thermo Savant), and we then stored them at -20°C, until resuspending the samples in 0.180 mL of phosphate buffer (0.01 M) before quantification of ESH titers.

Immunoassays (EIA) used for quantification of ESH were conducted according to the method of 191 192 Porcheron et al. (1989) modified for the use of a peroxidase conjugate of 20E as a tracer (De Reggi et al., 1992) and adapted for E. vuilleti (Bodin et al., 2007). The L2 polyclonal antibody (gift from 193 Dr. Delbecque, University of Bordeaux, France) is very sensitive to ecdysone, 2-deoxyecdysone and 194 195 3-dehydro-ecdysone, but ca. six times less sensitive to 20E. Because ecdysone is the main compound 196 found in reproductive E. vuilleti female, ESH titers are expressed in ecdysone equivalents (E-eq., expressed in units of pg/ mg of female parasitoid), as this compound was used for reference curves. 197 To verify the reproducibility of our results, each sample measured by EIA was analyzed in duplicate. 198

200 2.4. Experiment 2: Effect of ecdysone on females' reproductive status

To investigate the influence of ecdysone manipulation on female reproductive status, we 201 202 randomly assigned two-day old wasps to one of four treatments: they received either 0.04 µL of 203 HPLC grade methanol (no female died due to methanol injection during this experiment) or 0.04 µL of three different quantities of ecdysone (i.e. α -ecdysone, purity ≥ 90.0 %, purchased from Sigma-204 205 Aldrich, Inc.) dissolved in methanol (14, 64 or 120 pg). Immediately after being immobilized on a 206 plate that was cooled by ice, we injected wasps into the abdomen through the intersegmental membrane using the nanoliter injector Nanoject III (Drummond Scientific Company). We then 207 208 placed females individually in a petri dish and we froze them at -20° C, either 4h (N = 59, 60, 59 and 209 57 for injection of 0, 14, 64 and 120 pg, respectively) or 24h (N = 68, 67, 67 and 62 injection of 0, 14, 64 and 120 pg, respectively) after injection. We deprived wasps of hosts so that no mature eggs 210 could be laid during this period. Finally, after weighing all females, we dissected them (N = 119 and 211 118 for 4h and 24h post-injection treatment, respectively) to count mature (i.e., ready-to-lay) 212 213 oocytes.

214

2.5. Experiment 3: Effect of ecdysone on female aggressiveness and contest outcome 215 216 To investigate the influence of ecdysone manipulation on female aggressive behavior and their probability of winning a contest, we randomly assigned three-day old wasps to two different 217 injection treatments: either with 0.04 μ L of ecdysone diluted in methanol (quantity = 64 pg) or 0.04 218 219 µL of methanol alone (no female died due to methanol injection during this experiment). We marked wasps the day before behavioral observations to allow individual identification during contests. We 220 221 deprived females of hosts for at least 1h before the start of the contest so that they were more ready to oviposit. Based on our results from Experiment 2 (see section 3.1.), we observed contests between 222 females from 1h to 3h post-injection to avoid an effect of ecdysone treatment on females' mature egg 223

load. As described in experiment 1, we then simultaneously introduced two wasps into the centralchamber of the contest arena at the beginning of the observation.

226 We ran contests between either i) one control female (i.e., injected with methanol only) and one test female (i.e., injected with ecdysone; N = 28), or ii) between two control females (N = 25), 227 228 challenging for a 4th instar larva of C. maculatus located in gelatin capsule and previously placed in 229 the central chamber of the contest arena. Contests occurred between females of the same age (3 days 230 old), marked with different colors and visually matched for size; post-experiment data analysis confirmed that contestants of the same dyad did not differ significantly in body mass (paired t-test: 231 232 $t_{52} = 0.42$, P = 0.68). Both females could freely move throughout the entire contest arena. Observations lasted 1h maximum. During all tests, we recorded oviposition behaviors, which 233 contestant female displayed first such behaviors, and attack behaviors displayed by each female. 234 When both females of a dyad stayed in the central chamber during the whole time of observation, we 235 236 determined the winning female as the one exhibiting oviposition behaviors (N = 44; mean \pm S.E. eggs laid: 0.65 ± 0.1). 237

After each contest, we counted the number of eggs laid on the host by the winning female, and we froze all wasps at -20°C. We finally weighed them and dissected them to count the number of mature eggs in their ovaries. The initial mature egg load of winning females was calculated as the number of mature eggs in their ovaries + the number of eggs laid on the host.

242

243 2.6. Statistical analysis

We performed data analyses with the software Rstudio (RStudio Team, 2016), using $\alpha = 0.05$. Our general approach was to use, when possible, parametric analyses in which the assumed distribution of residuals was matched to the data rather than transforming data to fit standard assumptions (Wilson and Hardy, 2002; Hardy and Briffa, 2013). However, we used non-parametric tests when parametric conditions were not satisfied.

Experiment 1: For the 30 contests that we observed during experiment 1, 25 contests were 249 250 resolved (i.e., there was a clear winner and loser female). Therefore, we only considered these contests in the analysis. We first randomly assigned one of the 2 contestant females of each replicate 251 252 as the focal female, using the ALEA function of Microsoft Excel. We then performed a generalized linear model (GLM) assuming a quasi-Poisson distribution of errors (link function = 'log') to test the 253 association between post-trial ESH titers of focal females and their contest experience (i.e., no 254 255 contest, winner or loser). Pairwise post hoc comparisons (function 'emmeans') were used to determine significant difference between treatments. We ran the same procedure to test the effect of 256 257 an interaction between after ESH titers of focal contestant females and ESH of their opponent on the 258 number of attacks displayed by focal contestant females. Then we calculated the relative number of attacks of focal contestant females as the ratio: 'number of attacks of the focal female / (number of 259 260 attacks of the focal female + number of attacks of the opponent)' and the relative difference of post-261 contest ESH titers between contestant females as the ratio: '(post-contest ESH titer of the focal female - post-contest ESH titer of the opponent) / (post-contest ESH titer of the focal female + post-262 contest ESH titer of the opponent)'. We also defined contest outcome as a binary response: 0 = the 263 264 focal contestant female lost, 1 = the focal contestant female won. We ran a GLM assuming a binomial distribution of errors (link function = 'logit') to investigate whether the interaction between 265 the relative number of attacks of focal females and the relative difference in post-contest ESH titers 266 267 between females affected the probability of the focal female winning. We used the same procedure to 268 test whether the probability of being first to display oviposition behavior (binary response: 0 = the 269 focal female was not first to display oviposition behavior, 1 = the focal female was the first to display oviposition behavior) was significantly associated with the relative difference in post-contest 270 271 ESH titers between contestants.

Experiment 2: We first investigated whether the number of mature eggs differed significantly between females receiving different ecdysone quantities, 4h after injection, by performing a GLM assuming a quasi-Poisson distribution of errors (link function = 'log'). We then ran a generalized
polynomial model (GPM) with a Poisson distribution of errors (link function = 'log') to explore the
effect of ecdysone treatments on the number of mature eggs 24h after injection.

Experiment 3: Of the 74 contests that we observed during experiment 3, 53 contests were clearly 277 resolved. We only considered these contests in the following analysis. We first verified that initial 278 279 egg load did not differ between ecdysone-treated females (N = 28; mean egg load \pm S.E.: 2.6 \pm 0.3) 280 and control females (N = 78; mean egg load \pm S.E.: 2.4 \pm 0.2; Mann-Whitney test: U = 1197.5, P = 0.44). We then ran a GLM with a quasi-Poisson distribution of errors (link function = 'log') to test 281 the effect of injection treatment, initial egg load of focal females, initial egg load of opponent 282 283 females and two-way interactions between these variables on the number of attacks displayed by focal females. We also calculated the relative number of attacks of focal females as described for 284 experiment 1, and we determined the relative difference in initial mature egg load between females 285 286 as the ratio: '(initial egg load of the focal female – initial egg load of the opponent) / (initial egg load 287 of the focal female + initial egg load of the opponent)'. We then performed a GLM with a binomial error distribution (link function = 'logit') to explore the influence of injection treatment, the relative 288 number of attacks of focal females and the relative difference in egg load between females on the 289 290 probability of the focal female winning. The model included the three main effects and the two-way interactions between variables. We used the same procedure to investigate whether the probability of 291 292 being first to display oviposition behavior varied with the injection treatment received by focal females. 293

For all analyses, likelihood ratio and F statistics were calculated using the 'Anova' function (package car), which performs type 2 analysis of variance for GLM models, that is, invariant to the order in which effects are entered into the model (Fox and Weisberg, 2019).

297

298 **3. Results**

3.1. Experiment 1: ESH titers, aggressiveness and outcome of contests

300 We found a significant difference in post-trial ESH titers between focal females with different contest experience (GLM with quasi-Poisson distribution of residuals: $F_{2,53} = 4.48$, P = 0.02; Fig. 1): 301 winner females had significantly higher post-trial ESH titers (mean \pm S.E. = 127.2 \pm 25.2 pg E-eq / 302 303 mg of female) than losers (mean \pm S.E. = 53.6 \pm 13.6 pg E-eq / mg of female; pairwise post hoc comparisons: P = 0.029) and females with no contest experience (mean ± S.E. = 77 ± 9.9 pg E-eq / 304 mg of female; pairwise post-hoc comparisons: P = 0.047). However, post-trial ESH titers were not 305 306 significantly different between loser females and females with no contest experience (pairwise posthoc comparisons: P = 0.519). 307



308

Figure 1. Post-trial ESH titers (pg E-eq / mg of female) in focal females with different contest experience (no contest: N = 31, loser: N = 10 or winner: N = 15). *: P < 0.05. Different letters indicate significant statistical differences.

We found that the number of attacks displayed by focal contestant females was independent of their post-contest ESH titers (GLM with quasi-Poisson distribution of residuals: $F_{1,21} = 0.01$, P =0.91), their opponent's post-contest ESH titers ($F_{1,21} = 0.32$, P = 0.58) or the interaction between these variables ($F_{1,21} = 0.03$, P = 0.86).

The probability of a female winning a contest was not significantly affected by the interaction 317 between the relative number of attacks and the relative difference in post-contest ESH titers between 318 319 females (Table 1). However, it was significantly influenced by both factors independently (Table 1): winner females displayed significantly more attacks (mean \pm S.E. = 18 \pm 3 attacks) than their 320 321 opponent (mean \pm S.E. = 9 \pm 2 attacks) compared to loser females (mean \pm S.E. = 9 \pm 2 attacks) with 322 their opponent (mean \pm S.E. = 21 \pm 7 attacks; Fig. 2A). In addition, focal females were more likely to win contest when they had higher post-contest ESH titers (mean \pm S.E. = 127.3 \pm 25.2 pg E-eq / 323 324 mg of female) than their opponent (mean \pm S.E. = 74.9 \pm 8.8 pg E-eq / mg of female) compared to 325 loser females (mean \pm S.E. = 53.6 \pm 13.8 pg E-eq / mg of female) with their opponent (mean \pm S.E. = 95 ± 24.3 pg E-eq / mg of female; Fig. 2B). 326

328 Table 1. Effect of relative number of attacks and relative difference in post-contest ESH titers on winning329 probability over host of focal females.

Factors affecting contest outcomes	Estimates	d.f.	G	Р
Intercept	-5.782			
Relative attacks	13.81	1	13.9	< 0.001
Relative difference in after contest ESH titers	-2.638	1	4.57	0.03
Relative attacks x Relative difference in after contest ESH titers	13.31	1	2.35	0.12

Residuals	21	
Total	24	

330 *P*-values of significant explanatory variables are highlighted in bold font.







Figure 2. Status of focal females (loser: N = 10 or winner: N = 15) after conflict resolution (N = 25). A) Mean (\pm S.E.M.) relative attacks of focal females. ***: *P* < 0.001. B) Mean (\pm S.E.M.) relative difference in postcontest ESH titers (pg E-eq / mg of female) between females. *: *P* < 0.05.

336

The probability of focal females being first to display oviposition behavior was significantly associated with the relative difference in post-contest ESH titers between contestants (GLM with binomial distribution of error: $G_1 = 4.58$, P = 0.03). Focal females were more likely to be first to display such behavior when they had higher ESH titers than their opponent.

342 3.2. Experiment 2: Effect of ecdysone treatment on females' reproductive status

The number of mature eggs in females did not vary across treatments 4h after injection ($F_{1,117} =$ 0.09, P = 0.77; **Fig. 3A**). However, egg load was significantly influenced by treatment 24h after injection, with a dose-dependent effect of ecdysone injection on egg maturation reaching the highest positive effect at 64 pg ($G_1 = 14.33$, P < 0.001; **Fig. 3B**).

347



349	Figure 3. Number of mature eggs in females injected with different quantities of ecdysone. A) 4h after
350	injection. ns: non-significant. B) 24h after injection. ***: $P < 0.001$. Data points have been horizontally
351	displaced from their position to show the numbers of observations.
352	
353	3.3. Experiment 3: Effect of ecdysone treatment on female aggressiveness and contest
354	outcome
355	The number of attacks displayed by females was not influenced by injection treatment, initial egg
356	load of females, initial egg load of their opponent, or any interactions between these variables (Table
357	2).
358	
359	Table 2. Effect of injection treatment, initial egg load of focal females and initial egg load of the opponent
360	females on the number of attacks of focal females.

Factors affecting aggressiveness	Estimates	df	F	Р
Intercept	1.5133			
Injection treatment (control)	-0.6853	1	3.0776	0.09
Egg load of focal females	0.3183	1	3.0297	0.09
Egg load of opponent females	0.0833	1	2.8708	0.10
Injection treatment (control) x Egg load of focal females	0.0402	1	0.0303	0.86
Injection treatment (control) x Egg load of opponent females	-0.0241	1	0.0090	0.92
Egg load of focal females x Egg load of opponent females	-0.0983	1	1.2683	0.27
Residuals		46		

361 Focal females: ecdysone-treated: N = 28; methanol-treated: N = 25)

362

363 The probability of females winning contests over hosts did not vary significantly with the difference in egg load between contestants but was significantly influenced by ESH injection (Table 364 3): wasps injected with ecdysone were more likely to win contests than females injected with vehicle 365 alone (Fig. 4). Moreover, there was an interaction between the relative attacks of females and the 366 relative difference in egg load between contestants. Aggressive females had a greater chance of 367 368 winning the contest, and this probability increased further when they had more ready-to-lay eggs than their opponent (see Table 3). There were no significant interactions between injection and 369 either relative attacks or difference in egg load on winning probabilities (Table 3). 370

372 Table 3. Effect of injection treatment, relative number of attacks and relative difference in egg load on the373 winning probability over host of focal females.

Factors affecting contest outcomes	Estimates	df	G	Р
Intercept	-1.8814			
Injection treatment (control)	-0.4766	1	9.88	0.002
Relative attacks	8.8585	1	19.1	< 0.001
Relative diff. in egg load	-2.9157	1	0.04	0.84
Injection treatment (control) x Relative attacks	-5.9728	1	3.78	0.05
Injection treatment (control) x Relative diff. in egg load	1.8775	1	1.08	0.30

Relative attacks x Relative diff. in egg load	7.7581	1	4.70	0.03
Residuals		46		
Total		52		

374 *P*-values of significant explanatory variables are highlighted in bold font. Focal females: ecdysone-treated: N
375 = 28; methanol-treated: N = 25)

376



377

Figure 4. Winning probability of focal females according to the injection treatment they received before a contest (methanol (control): N = 25 or ecdysone in methanol: N = 28). **: *P* < 0.01

380

The probability of a contestant displaying first oviposition behavior varied significantly with injection treatment (GLM with binomial distribution of error: $G_1 = 4.66$, P = 0.03): females injected with ecdysone were more frequently the first to display such behavior (16/28 contests) than control females (7/25 contests).

386 **4. Discussion**

Our work aimed to explore the role of ESH in female aggressiveness and conflict resolution over 387 oviposition sites (hosts) in the solitary ectoparasitoid E. vuilleti. First, we showed no link between 388 naturally circulating post-contest ESH titers in females and their aggressiveness when fighting for 389 host access, but winner females had higher ESH titers than losers after the contest and females that 390 did not experience contest. Second, when measuring how ecdysone manipulation affects egg 391 maturation, we found that ecdysone injection had a dose-dependent gonadotropin effects at 24h post-392 393 injection. Finally, ecdysone injections had no effect on female aggressiveness during contests over a 394 host, but ecdysone-injected females were more likely to win contests over a host.

395

4.1. ESH, aggressiveness and outcome of contest over host

One main finding of our study - supported by both hormone quantification and hormone 397 398 manipulation – is that ESH are involved in the outcome of contests over hosts in E. vuilleti. First, we found that females that won fights over hosts had higher post-contest ESH titers than both loser 399 females and females that did not experience a contest. This agrees with previous works in 400 bumblebees (Bloch et al., 2000; Geva et al., 2005) and queenless ants, Streblognathus peetersi 401 (Brent et al., 2006), showing that ESH titers are higher in high-ranking than in low-ranking workers. 402 403 Here, we are not able to determine whether ESH titers were already high in eventual winner prior to 404 the contests or ESH titers increased as a result of winning. Supporting one hypothesis than another would need hormones quantification both before and after contest, which is not yet technically 405 406 feasible in small animals such as E. vuilleti. Nevertheless, our results demonstrate that ESH titers are 407 associated with the outcome of contests over hosts. Moreover, in our study, contestant females injected with ecdysone were more likely to win compared to control females. In the only one 408 409 experiment that directly tested the effect of ESH injection on contests between conspecific 410 individuals in insects, Röseler et al. (1984) also found that injecting 20E in females of the eusocial wasp *Polistes dominula*, alone or coupled with JH-I, increased the probability of attaining dominant
status compared to control individuals. To our knowledge, our study shows, for the first time, the
importance of ESH in outcomes of intraspecific competition for the access to an indivisible resource
in a solitary insect species.

In both vertebrate and invertebrate taxa, it is well known that individuals displaying higher levels 415 416 of aggressiveness during intraspecific competition are more likely to win access to indivisible 417 resources (Breed and Bell, 1983; Hsu et al., 2006; Hardy and Briffa, 2013; but see Scott, 2006). Here, we also found such a relationship between aggressiveness and contest outcome, with E. vuilleti 418 419 females being more likely to win when showing relatively more attacks. However, this link appeared 420 to be independent of ESH titers. Indeed, post-contest ESH titers in fighting wasps did not correlate to their level of aggressiveness and the relative number of attacks displayed by contestants did not vary 421 422 significantly with the injection treatment they received. Similarly, Kelstrup et al. (2014a, b) showed 423 no relationship between ESH titers and aggressive behaviors, neither in females of the caste-flexible 424 epiponine wasp Synoeca surinama, nor in queens and workers of the swarm-founding eusocial wasp Polybia mycans. Altogether, these data support the idea that ESH are not involved in modulating 425 426 aggressive behaviors in these insects. In E. vuilleti, such agonistic behaviors are mediated by JH (Mathiron et al., 2019). Although we still lack information about the interplay between both families 427 of hormones, our present work might suggest that ESH did not stimulate JH sufficiently to mediate 428 429 female aggressiveness within the time frame of our experiments (under 3 hours).

430

431 4.2. ESH, egg load and contest outcome

As observed in many other insect species (reviewed in Nijhout, 1994; Swevers and Iatrou, 2009), ecdysone has a gonadotropin effect in *E. vuilleti* females. By manipulating ESH levels, we showed that wasps' egg load increased with the quantity of ESH injected after 24h, with the greatest effect at 64 pg. The absence of a measurable response of egg load to ecdysone injection at the highest dose (i.e., 120 pg) may result from negative feedback driven by ecdysone binding its receptors (Beydon and Lafont, 1983; Parvy *et al.*, 2014). Interestingly, this gonadotropin effect was observed 24h after injection but not 4h post-injection, suggesting that egg maturation takes longer than 4h in *E. vuilleti* (see also Mathiron *et al.*, 2019). By observing wasps fighting over hosts between 1h and 3h post-injection in our last experiment, we were thus able to disentangle the effects of ecdysone and egg load on aggressiveness and contest outcome.

442 Female fertility is known to strongly affect behavioral strategies during contests over hosts in E. *vuilleti*, with wasps with a higher egg load than their opponent being more likely to win host access 443 444 (Mohamad et al., 2010, 2012; Mathiron et al., 2018, 2019). Game theory models predict that the outcome of a conflict is influenced by the existing asymmetry between competitors in terms of 445 subjective resource value (Maynard Smith and Parker, 1976; Enquist and Leimar, 1987). Parasitoid 446 447 females with more ready-to-lay eggs should place a higher value in the hosts, which should lead 448 them to win more often, as observed in different parasitoid species (e.g. Stokkebo and Hardy, 2000; Mohamad et al., 2010; but see Goubault et al., 2007). The underlying mechanisms remain, however, 449 450 unknown. Because ecdysone is produced by active ovaries and partially stored in the eggs of E. vuilleti females (Mondy et al., 2006; Bodin et al., 2007), this effect of egg load asymmetry on 451 contest outcome may actually result from the action of ESH produced by mature eggs. In experiment 452 1, we thus quantified post-contest ESH titers in females without determining their initial egg load 453 454 (we could not dissect them without impairing ESH quantification). It is therefore possible that 455 winner females were the ones with more ready-to-lay eggs prior to the contest; these females may 456 have had higher ESH titers and attacked more to get access to the host. The fact that we did not observe a relationship between the number of mature eggs and winning probability in our last 457 458 experiment also supports this idea: the ecdysone we injected in females bypassed the actions of 459 ecdysone naturally produced by eggs, masking the usual link between egg load and winning success. As a result, ESH would not solely have an autocrine and/or paracrine action in the ovaries, but alsoan endocrine role in the mediation of female *motivation* to get access to the host.

462

463 4.3. How can ecdysone increase the probability of winning contests?

The mechanism underlying the association between wasps with higher ESH titers and their 464 elevated winning probability remains to be determined. From our results, it appears to be 465 466 independent of the modulation of aggressive behaviors, because ESH did not drive variation in 467 aggressiveness in our experiments. An alternative explanation would be that ecdysone increases female motivation to lay their eggs, leading them to exploit the host faster than control conspecifics. 468 This would lead them to win host access more often. Previous studies investigating the role of ESH 469 470 on E. vuilleti oogenesis found an increase in ecdysone titers after simply contacting a host, which correlated with maturation of an egg within 24 hours (Bodin et al., 2007, 2009; Casas et al., 2009). 471 As such, females with higher ESH titers than their opponent would be more ready to lay eggs, and 472 would thus be more likely to be first to display oviposition behavior (i.e., before their opponent). In 473 the same way, ecdysone-injected wasps should be more likely to be the first female of the dyad to 474 display oviposition behavior than methanol-injected females. Our analyses seem to support these 475 expectations: focal females were more likely to be first to display oviposition behavior when they 476 have higher ESH titers than their opponent (Experiment 1). Moreover, females injected with 477 478 ecdysone were more frequently the first female of the dyad to display such behavior than control females (Experiment 3). Even if in Experiment 1 we cannot determine whether the relative 479 difference in ESH titers between females after contest resulted from displaying oviposition behavior 480 481 or the oviposition behavior associated with winning host access resulted from difference in ESH 482 titers before contests, we hypothesize that ESH mediate female winning success by increasing their propensity to display oviposition behavior quickly. While it is well known that subjective resource 483 484 value drives individuals' behavior during contests (Briffa & Hardy 2013), the underlying 485 mechanisms remain poorly investigated, particularly in insects. The endocrine action of ESH appears486 to be one important pathway mediating contest behavior.

487

488 **5. Conclusion**

By testing the role of ESH on both fertility and behavioral decisions during contests over hosts in 489 female E. vuilleti, we have shown for the first time that ecdysone is involved in the outcome of 490 491 conflicts in a solitary insect. However, we found no evidence that ecdysone fuels aggressive behaviors like JH does in this species (Mathiron et al., 2019a). This suggests that the two family 492 hormones, ESH and JH, interact to mediate wasps' behavior during intraspecific competition for 493 494 reproductive resources. Interactions between JH and ESH are already known to play a central role in 495 different aspects of insect biology, such as metamorphosis in juveniles (reviewed in Riddiford, 2008) 496 and the regulation of vitellogenesis and ovarian development in adults (reviewed in Bloch et al., 497 2009; Roy et al., 2018; see also Santos et al., 2019). In E. vuilleti, JH-III and ecdysone both favor egg maturation (Mathiron et al., 2019 and this study, respectively). Direct competition over host 498 access between E. vuilleti females is closely related to reproduction. Thus, ESH and JH effects on 499 behavioral strategies during contests may have been co-opted because of the joint role of these 500 hormones on reproductive status, but particular selective advantages and constraints may have 501 502 selected each one for the mediation of different behaviors. Future experiments exploring the relative and/or joint action of ESH and JH in this species would broaden our understanding of the hormonal 503 mediation of aggressiveness and conflict resolution over indivisible resources in solitary insects. 504

505

506 Funding

507 This work was supported by the French Ministry of Research (2016-Bourse Ministérielle-44).

509 Acknowledgement

- 510 We are grateful to Joël Meunier for his numerous advices, and Adeline Dumet and Fabrice
- 511 Vannier for their technical assistance.
- 512

513 **References**

- 514 Barton, R.A. 1993. Sociospatial mechanisms of feeding competition in female olive baboons, *Papio anubis. Animal*515 *Behaviour*, 46, 791–802.
- 516 Beydon, P., & Lafont, R. 1983. Feedback inhibition of ecdysone production by 20-hydroxyecdysone in *Pieris brassicae*517 pupae. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 29(6), 529–533. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(83)90084-7
- Bloch, G., Hefetz, A., & Hartfelder, K. (2000). Ecdysteroid titer, ovary status, and dominance in adult worker and queen
 bumble bees (*Bombus terrestris*). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, *46*(6), 1033–1040. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-</u>
 1910(99)00214-0
- Bloch, G., Shpigler, H., Wheeler, D.E., & Robinson, G.E. 2009. Endocrine Influences on the Organization of Insect
 Societies. In S.E.F. and R.T.R. Donald W. Pfaff, Arthur P. Arnold, Anne M. Etgen (Ed.), *Hormones, Brain and Behavior* (pp. 1027–1068). San Diego. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-012532104-4/50042-1</u>
- 524 Bodin, A., Jaloux, B., Delbecque, J.-P., Vannier, F., Monge, J.-P., & Mondy, N. 2009. Reproduction in a variable
- environment: How does *Eupelmus vuilleti*, a parasitoid wasp, adjust oogenesis to host availability? *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 55(7), 643–648. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2009.04.003</u>
- Bodin, A., Jaloux, B., Mandon, N., Vannier, F., Delbecque, J.-P., Monge, J.-P., & Mondy, N. 2007. Host-Induced
 Ecdysteroids in the Stop-and-Go Oogenesis in a Synovigenic Parasitoid Wasp. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 65, 103–111. https://doi.org/10.1002/arch
- Breed, M.D., & Bell, W.J. 1983. Hormonal influences on invertebrate aggressive behavior, in: Svare, B.B. (Ed.),
 Hormones and Aggressive Behavior. Plenum Press, New York, NY, pp. 577–590.
- 532 Brent, C., Peeters, C., Dietmann, V., Crewe, R., & Vargo, E. 2006. Hormonal correlates of reproductive status in the
- 533 queenless ponerine ant, *Streblognathus peetersi*. J. Comp. Physiol. A Neuroethol. Sensory, Neural, Behav. Physiol.
- 534 192, 315–320. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-005-0065-6</u>

- Briffa, M., Hardy, I.C.W., Gammell, M.P., Jennings, D.J., Clarke, D.D., & Goubault, M. 2013. Analysis of animal
 contest data. In: Hardy I.C.W., Briffa M., editors. Animal contests. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press.
 p. 47–85.
- Casas, J., Vannier, F., Mandon, N., Delbecque, J.-P., Giron, D., & Monge, J.-P. 2009. Mitigation of egg limitation in
 parasitoids: Immediate hormonal response and enhanced after host use. *Ecology*, 90(2), 537–545.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0507.1
- 541 Chase, I.D. 1974. Models of hierarchy formation in animals societies. Behav. Sci. 19, 374–382.
- 542 Collias, N.E. 1944. Aggressive behavior among vertebrate animals. *Physiol. Zool.* 17, 83–123.
 543 https://doi.org/10.1086/physzool.17.1.30151832
- 544 Damiens, D., Imbert, E., Bressac, C., Thibeaudeau, C., & Chevrier, C. 2001. Egg-laying, pre-imaginal growth dynamics,

545 and mortality in *Eupelmus orientalis* and *Dinarmus basalis*, two solitary ectoparasitoids of *Callosobruchus*

546 maculatus. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 99(1), 97–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018954320519</u>

- 547 De Reggi, M., Pitoizet, N., Gharib, B., & Delbecque, J.-P. 1992. New Enzyme Immunoassays for Ecdysteroids Using
 548 Peroxidase as Enzyme and Polyclonal or Monoclonal Antibodies. In: Abstract of the Xth Ecdysone Workshop, p.
 549 91
- Díaz-Uriarte, R. 1999. Anti-predator behaviour changes following an aggressive encounter in the lizard *Tropidurus hispidus. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 266, 2457–2464. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0946</u>
- Elekonich, M.M., & Robinson, G.E. 2000. Organizational and activational effects of hormones on insect behavior.
 Journal of Insect Physiology, 46, 1509–1515.
- Enquist, M., & Leimar, O. 1987. Evolution of fighting behaviour: The effect of variation in resource value. J. Theor. *Biol.* 127, 187–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5193(87)80130-3
- Festa-Bianchet, M., Apollonio, M., Mari, F., & Rasola, G. 1990. Aggression among Lekking Male Fallow Deer (*Dama dama*): Territory Effects and Relationship with Copulatory Success. *Ethology*, 85, 236–246.
- Festucci-Buselli, R.A., Contim, L.A.S., Barbosa, L.C.A., Stuart, J., & Otoni, W.C. 2008. Biosynthesis and potential
 functions of the ecdysteroid 20-hydroxyecdysone a review. *Botany*, 86(9), 978–987.

- Field, S.A., & Calbert, G. 1999. Don't count your eggs before they're parasitized: contest resolution and the trade-offs
 during patch defense in a parasitoid wasp. *Behav. Ecol.* 10, 122–127. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/10.2.122
- Fisher, R.C. 1961. A study in insect multiparasitism. I. Host selection and oviposition. Journal of Experimental Biology,
 38(3), 267-275
- 564 Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. 2019. An R companion to applied regression (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Sage
- Gauthier, N., & Monge, J.-P. 1999. Could the egg itself be the source of the oviposition deterrent marker in the
 ectoparasitoid Dinarmus basalis? J. Insect Physiol. 45, 393–400. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(98)00138-3</u>
- Goubault, M., Cortesero, A.M., Poinsot, D., Wajnberg, E., & Boivin, G. 2007. Does host value influence female
 aggressiveness, contest outcome and fitness gain in parasitoids? *Ethology*, *113*(4), 334–343.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2006.01325.x
- Geva, S., Hartfelder, K., & Bloch, G. 2005. Reproductive division of labor, dominance, and ecdysteroid levels in
 hemolymph and ovary of the bumble bee *Bombus terrestris*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 51(7), 811–823.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2005.03.009
- 573 Hardy, I.C.W., & Briffa, M. 2013. Animal contests. Cambridge University Press.
- Hartfelder, K., Bitondi, M.M.G., Santana, W.C., & Simões, Z.L.P. 2002. Ecdysteroid titer and reproduction in queens
 and workers of the honey bee and of a stingless bee: loss of ecdysteroid function at increasing levels of sociality? *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, *32*, 211–216.
- Hughes, J.P., Harvey, I.F., & Hubbard, S. F. 1994. Host-searching behavior of *Venturia canescens* (Grav.)
 (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae): Interference-the effect of mature egg load and prior behavior. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 7(4), 433–454. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02025442</u>
- Hsu, Y., Earley, R.L., & Wolf, L.L. 2006. Modulation of aggressive behaviour by fighting experience: Mechanisms and
 contest outcomes. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 81(1), 33–74.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S146479310500686X
- Innocent, T.M., West, S.A., Sanderson, J.L., Hyrkkanen, N., & Reece, S.E. 2011. Lethal combat over limited resources:
 Testing the importance of competitors and kin. *Behav. Ecol.* 22, 923–931. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arq209</u>

- Jakobsson, S., Brick, O., & Kullberg, C. 1995. Escalated fighting behaviour incurs increased predation risk. *Anim. Behav.*49, 235–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-3472(95)80172-3
- 587 Jaloux, B., Sanon, A., Huignard, J., & Monge, J.-P., 2004. Interspecific relationships between the solitary ectoparasitoid,
- 588 Eupelmus vuilleti (Crw.) (Eupelmidae), and its sympatric species, Dinarmus basalis (Rond.) (Pteromalidae), in the
- presence of their host, *Callosobruchus maculatus pic* (Coleoptera Bruchidae). J. Insect Behav. 17, 793–808.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOIR.0000048989.36181.4d
- 591 Jervis, M.A., Ellers, J., & Harvey, J.A. 2008. Resource acquisition, allocation, and utilization in parasitoid reproductive
- 592 strategies. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 53(1), 361–385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.53.103106.093433</u>
- Kelstrup, H.C., Hartfelder, K., Nascimento, F.S., & Riddiford, L.M. 2014a. Reproductive status, endocrine physiology
 and chemical signaling in the Neotropical, swarm-founding eusocial wasp *Polybia micans. J. Exp. Biol.* 217, 2399–
 2410. <u>https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.096750</u>
- Kelstrup, H.C., Hartfelder, K., Nascimento, F.S., & Riddiford, L.M. 2014b. The role of juvenile hormone in dominance
 behavior, reproduction and cuticular pheromone signaling in the caste-flexible epiponine wasp, *Synoeca surinama*.
 Front. Zool. 11, 1–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-014-0078-5</u>
- Kelstrup, H.C., Hartfelder, K., & Wossler, T.C. 2015. *Polistes smithii* vs. *Polistes dominula*: the contrasting
 endocrinology and epicuticular signaling of sympatric paper wasps in the field. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 69, 2043–
 2058. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-015-2015-9
- Kokko, H. 2013. Dyadic contests: modelling fights between two individuals. In: Hardy I.C.W., Briffa M, editors. Animal
 contests. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. p. 5–32.
- Kou, R., Chou, SY., Huang, Z.Y., & Yang, R.L. 2008 Juvenile hormone levels are increased in winners of cockroach
 fights. *Horm. Behav.* 54, 521-527.
- Kou, R., & Hsu, C.C. 2013. Mating enhances the probability of winning aggressive encounters in male lobster
 cockroaches. *Hormones and Behavior*, 64(3), 546–556. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2013.07.007</u>
- Kou, R., Hsu, C.C., Chen, S.C., & Fang, S. 2019 Winner and loser effects in lobster cockroach contests for social
 dominance. *Horm. Behav.* 107, 49 60.

- Mathiron, A.G.E., Pottier, P., & Goubault, M. 2018. Let the most motivated win: resource value components affect
 contest outcome in a parasitoid wasp. *Behav. Ecol.* 00, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ary084
- 612 Mathiron, A.G.E., Earley, R.L., & Goubault, M. 2019. Juvenile hormone manipulation affects female reproductive status
- and aggressiveness in a non-social parasitoid wasp. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.01.006
- 615 Maynard Smith, J., & Parker, G.A. 1976. The logic of asymmetric animal contests. *Anim. Behav.* 24, 159–175.
- Mohamad, R., Monge, J.-P., & Goubault, M. 2010. Can subjective resource value affect aggressiveness and contest
 outcome in parasitoid wasps? *Anim. Behav.* 80, 629–636. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.06.022
- 618 Mohamad, R., Monge, J.-P., & Goubault, M. 2012. Wait or fight? Ownership asymmetry affects contest behaviors in a
- 619 parasitoid wasp. *Behav. Ecol.* 23, 1330–1337. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ars125</u>
- Mohamad, R., Monge, J.-P., & Goubault, M. 2013. Do resource value and ownership status affect intensity and
 resolution of contests in a parasitoid wasp? *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 147, 99–109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12049</u>
- Mondy, N., Corio-Costet, M.F., Bodin, A., Mandon, N., Vannier, F., & Monge, J.-P. 2006. Importance of sterols
 acquired through host feeding in synovigenic parasitoid oogenesis. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 52(9), 897–904.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2006.03.007
- 625 Moore, I. T., Hernandez, J., & Goymann, W. 2019. Who rises to the challenge? Testing the Challenge Hypothesis in fish,
- 626 amphibians, reptiles, and mammals. *Hormones and Behavior*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2019.06.001</u>
- 627 Nijhout, H.F. 1994. Insect Hormones. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Palombit, R.A. 1993. Lethal territorial aggression in a white-handed gibbon. *Am. J. Primatol.* 31, 311–318.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350310407
- Parvy, J.-P., Wang, P., Garrido, D., Maria, A., Blais, C., Poidevin, M., & Montagne, J. 2014. Forward and feedback
 regulation of cyclic steroid production in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Development*, 141(20), 3955–3965.
 https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.102020
- Petersen, G., Hardy, & I.C.W., 1996. The importance of being larger: parasitoid intruder owner contests and their
 implications for clutch size. *Anim. Behav.* 51, 1363–1373. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1996.0139</u>

- Porcheron, P., Moriniere, M., Grassi, J., & Pradelles, P. 1989. Development of an enzyme immunoassay for ecdysteroids
 using acetylcholinesterase as label. *Insect Biochemistry*, 19(2), 117–122. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-</u>
 1790(89)90081-4
- Richard, F.-J., & Hunt, J.H. 2013. Intracolony chemical communication in social insects. *Insectes Sociaux*, 60(3), 275–
 291. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-013-0306-6</u>
- Riddiford, L.M. 2008. Juvenile hormone action: A 2007 perspective. *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 54, 895–901.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2008.01.014
- 642 Ringo, J.M. 2002. Hormonal Regulation of Sexual Behavior in Insects. In *Hormones, Brain and Behavior* (pp. 93–114).
 643 Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012532104-4/50038-X
- 644 Robinson, G.E., Strambi, C., Strambi, A., & Feldlaufer, M.F. 1991. Comparison of juvenile hormone and ecdysteroid
- haemolymph titres in adult worker and queen honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). Journal of Insect Physiology, 37(12),
 929–935. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(91)90008-N
- Röseler, P.F., Röseler, I., Strambi, A., Augier, R. 1984. Influence of insect hormones on the establishment of dominance
 hierarchies among foundresses of the paper wasp, *Polistes gallicus. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 15, 133–142.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00299381
- 650 Röseler, P.F., Röseler, I., & Strambi, A. 1985. Role of ovaries and ecdysteroids in dominance hierarchy establishment
- among foundresses of the primitively social wasp, *Polistes gallicus. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 18(1),
- 652 9–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00299232</u>
- Roy, S., Saha, T.T., Zou, Z., & Raikhel, A.S. 2018. Regulatory pathways controlling female insect reproduction. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 63(1), 489–511. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043258
- Santos, C.G., Humann, F.C., & Hartfelder, K. 2019. Juvenile hormone signaling in insect oogenesis. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 31, 43–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.07.010
- 657 Schwagmeyer, P.L., & Woontner, S.J., 1985. Mating Competition in an Asocial Ground-Squirrel, *Spermophilus* 658 *tridecemlineatus. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 17, 291–296. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00300149

- 659 Schwedes, C.C., & Carney, G.E. 2012. Ecdysone signaling in adult Drosophila melanogaster. J. Insect Physiol, 58(3),
 660 293-302.
- Scott, M.P. 2006. The role of juvenile hormone in competition and cooperation by burying beetles. *J. Insect Physiol.* 52, 1005–1011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2006.04.006
- 663 Swevers L., & Iatrou, K. 2009. Ecdysteroids and Ecdysteroid Signaling Pathways During Insect Oogenesis. In: Smagghe
- 664 G. (eds) Ecdysone: Structures and Functions. Springer, Dordrecht
- Simmons, L. 1986. Intermale Competition and Mating Success in the Field Cricket, *Gryllus bimaculatus* (Degeer). *Anim. Behav.* 34, 567–579. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(86)80126-9</u>
- Stokkebo, S., & Hardy, I.C.W. 2000. The importance of being gravid: egg load and contest outcome in a parasitoid wasp.
 Anim. Behav. 59, 1111–1118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2000.1407</u>
- Terrasse, P.C., & Rojas-Rousse, D. 1986. Distribution de la ponte et évitement du superparasitisme chez l'hyménoptère
 solitaire *Bruchocida vuilleti Cwf.* (Hym., Eupelmidae), parasite des stades larvaires de son hôte, *Callosobruchus maculatus F.* (Col., Bruchidae). *J. Appl. Entomol.* 101, 243–256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-</u>
 0418.1986.tb00855.x
- Tibbetts, E.A., & Crocker, K.C. 2014. The challenge hypothesis across taxa: Social modulation of hormone titres in
 vertebrates and insects. *Anim. Behav.* 92, 281–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.02.015
- 675 Tibbetts, E.A., Fearon, M.L., Wong, E., Huang, Z.Y., & Tinghitella, R.M. 2018. Rapid juvenile hormone downregulation
- 676 in subordinate wasp queens facilitates stable cooperation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
- 677 285. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2645</u>
- 678 Tibbetts, E.A., & Huang, Z.Y. 2010. The challenge hypothesis in an insect: juvenile hormone increases during
 679 reproductive conflict following queen loss in *Polistes* wasps. *Am. Nat.* 176, 123-130.
- Tibbetts, E.A., & Izzo, A.S. 2009. Hormones and Behavior Endocrine mediated phenotypic plasticity: Condition dependent effects of juvenile hormone on dominance and fertility of wasp queens. Horm. Behav. 56, 527–531.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.09.003
- Tibbetts, E.A., Izzo, A., & Huang, Z.Y. 2011. Behavioral and physiological factors associated with juvenile hormone in
 Polistes wasp foundresses. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 65, 1123–1131.

- Tibbetts, E.A., Laub, E.C., Mathiron, A.G.E., & Goubault, M. 2019. The challenge hypothesis in insects. *Hormones and Behavior*.
- Thomas, H.E., Stunnenberg, H.G, & Stewart, A.F. 1993. Heterodimerization of the *Drosophila* ecdysone receptor with
 retinoid X receptor and ultraspiracle. *Nature*. 362, 471–75.
- Vogel, E.R. 2005. Rank differences in energy intake rates in white-faced capuchin monkeys, *Cebus capucinus*: The
 effects of contest competition. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 58, 333–344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-005-0960-4
- West-Eberhard, M.J. 1979. Sexual Selection, Social Competition, and Evolution. *Proc. Amercian Philos. Soc.* 123, 222–
 234.
- Wilson, K., & Hardy, I.C.W. 2002. Statistical analysis of sex ratios: an introduction, in: Hardy, I.C.W. (Ed.), Sex Ratios:
 Concepts and Research Methods. Part 2. Statistical Analysis of Sex Ratio Data. Cambridge University Press, New
 York, pp. 46–92.
- Wingfield, J.C., Hegner, R.E., Dufty, A.M., & Ball, G.F. 1990. The challenge hypothesis -theoretical implications for
 patterns of testosterone secretion, mating systems, and breeding strategies. *Am. Nat.* 136, 829–846
- Yao, T.P, Segraves, W.A., Oro, A.E., McKeown, M., & Evans, R.M. 1992. *Drosophila* ultraspiracle modulates ecdysone
 receptor function via heterodimer formation. *Cell*. 71, 63–72.