

# Co-occurrence of rhizobacteria with nitrogen fixation and/or 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deamination abilities in the maize rhizosphere

Sébastien Renoud, Marie-Lara Bouffaud, Audrey Dubost, Claire Prigent-Combaret, Laurent Legendre, Yvan Moenne-Loccoz, Daniel Muller

# ▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Renoud, Marie-Lara Bouffaud, Audrey Dubost, Claire Prigent-Combaret, Laurent Legendre, et al.. Co-occurrence of rhizobacteria with nitrogen fixation and/or 1-aminocyclopropane-1carboxylate deamination abilities in the maize rhizosphere. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2020, 96 (5), 10.1093/femsec/fiaa062. hal-02547931

# HAL Id: hal-02547931 https://univ-lyon1.hal.science/hal-02547931

Submitted on 24 Nov 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License



FEMS Microbiology Ecology

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/fems

## Co-occurrence of rhizobacteria with nitrogen fixation and/or 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deamination abilities in the maize rhizosphere

Journal:	FEMS Microbiology Ecology
Manuscript ID	FEMSEC-20-01-0023.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Renoud, Sébastien; Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 UMR5557 Bouffaud, Marie-Lara; Université de Lyon, UMR CNRS 5557 Ecologie Microbienne Dubost, Audrey; Universite Claude Bernard Lyon 1 Faculte des Sciences et Technologies, UMR 5557 Microbial Ecology Laboratory Prigent-Combaret, Claire; Université de Lyon, UMR CNRS 5557 Ecologie Microbienne Legendre, Laurent; Université de Lyon, UMR5557 Moënne-Loccoz, Yvan; Université de Lyon, UMR CNRS 5557 Ecologie Microbienne Muller, Daniel; Université de Lyon, UMR5557
Keywords:	microbiota, plant growth promotion, functional group, functional microbiota, holobiont, ITSNTS theory

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



395x318mm (72 x 72 DPI)

2		
3 4	1	Co-occurrence of rhizobacteria with nitrogen fixation and/or 1-aminocyclopropane-1-
5 6	2	carboxylate deamination abilities in the maize rhizosphere
7 8 0	3	
9 10 11	4	
12 13	5	
14 15	6	Sébastien Renoud <sup>1‡</sup> , Marie-Lara Bouffaud <sup>1‡</sup> , Audrey Dubost <sup>1</sup> , Claire Prigent-Combaret <sup>1</sup> ,
16 17 18	7	Laurent Legendre <sup>1,2</sup> , Yvan Moënne-Loccoz <sup>1</sup> and Daniel Muller <sup>1*</sup>
18 19 20	8	
21 22	9	<sup>1</sup> Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR5557
23 24	10	Ecologie Microbienne, 43 bd du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
25 26 27	11	<sup>2</sup> Univ Lyon, Université de St Etienne, F-42000 St Etienne, France
28 29	12	
30 31	13	<sup>‡</sup> Current addresses:
32 33 34	14	S.R. : BGene genetics Bâtiment B Biologie, F-38400 Saint Martin d'Hères, France
35 36	15	M.L.B. : Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research UFZ, Theodor-Lieser-Straße 4,
37 38	16	06120 Halle, Germany
39 40 41	17	
41 42 43	18	
44 45	19	Running title: <i>nifH</i> and <i>acdS</i> bacteria on maize
46 47	20	
48 49 50	21	
51 52	22	*Corresponding author: UMR CNRS 5557 Ecologie Microbienne, Université Lyon 1, 43 bd
53 54	23	du 11 Novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France. Phone: +33 4 72 43 27 14. E-mail:
55 56 57	24	daniel.muller@univ-lyon1.fr
58 59 60	25	

# 26 ABSTRACT

The plant microbiota may differ depending on soil type, but these microbiota probably share the same functions necessary for holobiont fitness. Thus, we tested the hypothesis that phytostimulatory microbial functional groups are likely to co-occur in the rhizosphere, using groups corresponding to nitrogen fixation (*nifH*) and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deamination (acdS), i.e. two key modes of action in plant-beneficial rhizobacteria. The analysis of three maize fields in two consecutive years showed that quantitative PCR numbers of nifH and of *acdS* alleles differed according to field site, but a positive correlation was found overall when comparing *nifH* and *acdS* numbers. Metabarcoding analyses in the second year indicated that the diversity level of *acdS* but not *nifH* rhizobacteria in the rhizosphere differed across fields. Furthermore, between-class analysis showed that the three sites differed from one another based on *nifH* or *acdS* sequence data (or *rrs* data), and the bacterial genera contributing most to field differentiation were not the same for the three bacterial groups. However, co-inertia analysis indicated that the genetic structures of both functional groups and of the whole bacterial community were similar across the three fields. Therefore, results point to co-selection of rhizobacteria harboring nitrogen fixation and/or 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deamination abilities.

45 Keywords: microbiota; phytostimulation; functional group; functional microbiota; holobiont;
46 ITSNTS theory

#### 49 INTRODUCTION

51 Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) colonize plant roots and implement a range of 52 plant-beneficial traits, which may result in enhanced plant development, nutrition, health and/or 53 stress tolerance (Almario et al. 2014; Cormier et al. 2016; Gamalero and Glick 2015; Hartman 54 et al. 2018; Vacheron et al. 2013). As a consequence, PGPR strains have received extensive 55 attention for use as microbial inoculants of crops (Bashan et al. 2014; Couillerot et al. 2013).

Plant-beneficial effects exhibited by PGPR are underpinned by a wide range of modes of actions, which include (i) enhanced nutrient availability via associative nitrogen fixation (Puri et al. 2016, Deynze et al. 2018) or phosphate solubilization (Arruda et al. 2013), (ii) stimulation of root system establishment through phytohormone synthesis (Cassán et al. 2014) or consumption of the ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) via an enzymatic deamination (Glick 2014), and (iii) the induction of systemic resistance responses in plant (Pieterse and Van Wees 2015). In addition to phytostimulation, certain PGPR may also achieve inhibition of phytoparasites using antimicrobial secondary metabolites (Agaras et al. 2015) or lytic enzymes (Pieterse and Van Wees 2015). Often, PGPR strains display more than one phytostimulatory mode of action, which is considered important for effective plant-beneficial effects (Bashan and de-Bashan 2010; Bruto et al. 2014; Rana et al. 2011; Vacheron et al. 2017). Therefore, the co-occurrence of multiple phytostimulation traits is likely to have been subjected to positive evolutionary selection in PGPR populations to maximize success of the plant-PGPR cooperation. This hypothesis is substantiated by genome sequence analysis of many prominent PGPR strains from contrasted taxa (Bertalan et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2007; Redondo-Nieto et al. 2013; Wisniewski-Dyé et al. 2012).

Even though PGPR strains tend to accumulate several plant-beneficial traits (Bruto et
al. 2014), the co-occurrence patterns of these traits are not random. This takes place in part

#### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

because many past horizontal gene transfers of the corresponding genes were ancient (Frapolli et al. 2012), often leading to clade-specific profiles of plant-beneficial traits (Bruto et al. 2014). However, the analysis of 304 proteobacterial genomes from contrasted taxa evidenced, overall, the co-occurrence of nifHDK (nitrogen fixation) and acdS (ACC deamination) based on Exact-Fisher pairwise tests (Bruto et al. 2014), raising the possibility that nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination might be useful traits when combined in a bacterium. Indeed, nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination occur together in various rhizobacteria (Blaha et al. 2006; Duan et al. 2009; Jha et al. 2012; Ma, Guinel, and Glick, 2003; Nukui et al. 2006), but the relation between both traits can be complex. In Azospirillum lipoferum 4B for instance, the plasmid-borne gene acdS is eliminated during phase variation while *nif* genes are maintained (Prigent-Combaret et al. 2008), and in *Mesorhizobium loti* transcription of *acdS* is controlled by the nitrogen fixation regulator gene *nifA2* (Nukui et al. 2006). Moreover, ACC deamination was described as facilitator of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis (Ma et al. 2003; Nascimento et al. 2012).

At the scale of an individual plant, the rhizosphere is colonized by a diversified range of bacteria, including nifH acdS bacteria as well as bacteria harboring only nifH or acdS (Blaha et al. 2006; Bouffaud et al. 2018). There is additional level of complexity in that many of these bacteria are PGPR, but some of them are not (Bruto et al. 2014). However, the overall impact of nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination on the plant is likely to be the sum of the contribution of individual root-colonizing bacteria displaying these traits. This raises the question whether there is, for the plant, an optimal balance between the functional microbial groups of *nifH* rhizobacteria and acdS rhizobacteria in the rhizosphere. On this basis, we tested here the hypothesis that rhizobacteria with either nitrogen fixation ability or ACC deamination ability (or with both) co-occur on roots. For that purpose, we used three maize fields under reduced nitrogen fertilization practices, with samplings carried out at 6-leaf and flowering stages during two consecutive years, and numbers of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria were monitored by

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
a
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
20
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
27
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
16
40
4/
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
20
5/
58
59

quantitative PCR. In addition, *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria were assessed by metabarcoding
(MiSeq Illumina sequencing) of *nifH* and *acdS* genes at one sampling, in parallel to sequencing
of 16S rRNA genes for the whole rhizobacterial community.

102

1

#### 103 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

104

## 105 **2.1. Field experiment**

The experiment was conducted in 2014 and 2015 at field sites located in Chatonnay (L),
Sérézin-de-la-Tour (FC) and Saint Savin (C), near the town of Bourgoin-Jallieu (Isère, France).
According to the FAO soil reference base, L field corresponds to a luvisol, FC a fluvic cambisol
and C a calcisol (Table 1). The trial set-up has been described in Rozier et al. (2017).

110 For each of the fields, the crop rotation consists in one year wheat, six years maize and 111 one year rapeseed, and wheat was grown the year before the 2014 experiment. The maize 112 sowing season ranges from middle April to middle May in the area. Maize seeds (Zea mays 113 'Seiddi'; Dauphinoise Company, France) were sown on April 18 (FC) and 23 (C and L) in 2014 114 and April 30 (C) and May 11 (FC and L) in 2015. Five replicate plots, which were 12 (FC and 115 C) or 8 (L) maize rows wide and 12 m long, were defined in each field. The fields were 116 undergoing a reduction in chemical fertilization usage and did not receive any nitrogen 117 fertilizers in 2014 and 2015. Only non-inoculated plots from the overall trial (Rozier et al. 2017) 118 were used.

119

60

# 120 **2.2. Plant sampling**

In 2014 and 2015, plants were sampled at six leaves and at flowering. In 2014, the first sampling was done on May 25 (FC) and 26 (C and L). On each replicate plot, six plants were chosen randomly, the entire root system was dug up and shaken vigorously to dislodge soil loosely

#### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

adhering to the roots. At sites FC and C, one pooled sample of six roots system was obtained per plot, i.e. a total of five pooled samples per field site. At site L, each of the six roots system was treated individually to obtain 30 samples. The second sampling was done on July 8 (FC and C) and 9 (L), on all five plots. Six plants were sampled per plot and treated individually to obtain 30 samples per field site. In 2015, the first sampling was done on May 27 (C), June 5 (FC) and June 8 (L). In each replicate plot, four root systems were sampled and treated individually to obtain 20 samples per field site. The second sampling was done on July 15 (C), 16 (FC) and 17 (L), and four root systems were sampled and treated individually to obtain 20 samples per field site. Each sample was immediately flash-frozen on site, in liquid nitrogen, and lyophilized back at the laboratory (at -50°C for 24 h). Roots and their adhering soil were separated and the latter stored at -80°C. 2.3. DNA extraction from root-adhering soil DNA from root-adhering soil was extracted with the FastDNA SPIN kit (BIO 101 Inc., Carlsbad, CA). To this end, 500 mg (for the pooled samples from FC and C in 2014) or 300 mg samples (for all other samples) were transferred in Lysing Matrix E tubes from the kit, and 5 µl of the internal standard APA9 (10<sup>9</sup> copies ml<sup>-1</sup>) was added to each Lysing Matrix E tube to normalize DNA extraction efficiencies between rhizosphere samples, as described (Park and Crowley, 2005; Couillerot et al. 2010). This internal standard APA9 (i.e. vector pUC19 with cassava virus insert; GenBank accession number AJ427910) requires primers AV1f (CACCATGTCGAAGCGACCAGGAGATATCATC) and AV1r (TTTCGATTTGTGACGTGGACAGTGGGGGC). After 1 h incubation at 4°C, DNA was extracted and eluted in 50 µl of sterile ultra-pure water, according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentrations were assessed by Picogreen (ThermoFisher).

1 2		
- 3 4	149	
5 6	150	2.4. Size of microbial functional groups
7 8	151	The amounts of <i>nifH</i> genes were estimated by quantitative PCR based on the primers polF/polR
9 10 11	152	(Poly, Jocteur Monrozier, and Bally, 2001), as described by Bouffaud et al. (2016). The reaction
12 13	153	was carried out in 20 $\mu$ l containing 4 $\mu$ l of PCR-grade water, 1 $\mu$ l of each primer (final
14 15	154	concentration 0.50 $\mu$ M), 10 $\mu$ l of LightCycler-DNA Master SYBR Green I master mix (Roche
16 17	155	Applied Science, Meylan, France) and 2 $\mu$ l of sample DNA (10 $\mu$ g). The cycling program
18 19 20	156	included 10 min incubation at 95°C, followed by 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 64°C for 15 s and
21 22	157	72°C for 10 s. Melting curve calculation and Tm determination were performed using the Tm
23 24	158	Calling Analysis module of Light-Cycler Software v.1.5 (Roche Applied Science).
25 26 27	159	The amount of acdS genes was estimated by quantitative PCR based on the primers
28 29	160	acdSF5/acdSR8 (Bouffaud et al. 2018). The reaction was carried out in 20 $\mu$ l containing 4 $\mu$ l of
30 31	161	PCR grade water, 1 µl of each primer (final concentration 1 µM), 10 µl of LightCycler-DNA
32 33	162	Master SYBR Green I master mix (Roche Applied Science) and 2 µl of sample DNA (10 µg).
34 35 36	163	The cycling program included 10 min incubation at 95°C, followed by 50 cycles of 94°C for
30 37 38	164	15 s, 67°C for 15 s and 72°C for 10 s. The fusion program for melting curve analysis is described
39 40	165	above.
41 42 43	166	Real-time PCR quantification data were converted to gene copy number per gram of
44 45	167	lyophilized root-adhering soil, as described (Bouffaud et al. 2018; Bouffaud et al. 2016).
46 47	168	
48 49	169	2.5. nifH, acdS and rrs sequencing from rhizosphere DNA
50 51 52	170	Sequencing was performed on 2015' samples taken when maize reached 6 leaves. Each sample
53 54	171	was an equimolar composite sample of four DNA extracts obtained from root-adherent soil,
55 56	172	resulting in 5 samples per field site, i.e. a total of 15 samples. DNA extracts were sent to MR
57 58 59	173	DNA laboratory (www.mrdnalab.com; Shallowater, TX) for sequencing.

#### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

For *nifH* and *acdS* sequencing, PCR primers were the same ones used for quantitative PCR (i.e., polF/polR for *nifH* and acdSF5/acdSR8 for *acdS*). For *rrs* sequencing, PCR primers 515/806 were chosen for the V4 variable region of the 16S rRNA gene. For all three genes, the forward primer carried a barcode. Primers were used in a 30-cycle PCR (5 cycles implemented on PCR products), using the HotStarTaq Plus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) under the following conditions: 94°C for 3 min, followed by 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 40 s and 72°C for 1 min, with a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were checked in 2% agarose gel to determine amplification success and relative band intensity. Multiple samples were pooled together in equal proportions based on their molecular weight and DNA concentrations. Pooled samples were purified using calibrated Ampure XP beads and used to prepare a DNA library following Illumina TruSeq DNA library preparation protocol. Sequencing was performed on a MiSeq following the manufacturer's guidelines.

Sequence data were processed using the analysis pipeline of MR DNA. Briefly, sequences were depleted of barcodes, sequences < 150 bp or with ambiguous base calls removed, the remaining sequences denoised, operational taxonomic units (OTUs; defined at 3% divergence threshold for the three genes) generated, and chimeras removed. Final OTUs were taxonomically classified using BLASTn against a curated database derived from Greengenes (DeSantis et al. 2006), RDPII (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu) and NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Final OTUs of the *acdS* sequencing were classified using an in-house curated *acdS* database, obtained after curation of *acdS* homolog genes from the FunGene *acdS* 8.3 database, as described by Bouffaud et al. (2018). Diversity indices of Shannon (H) and Simpson (1-D) were calculated using sequencing subsample data for which each sample had the same number of sequences.

An *acdS* phylogenetic tree (based on maximum-likelihood method) was computed using
 *acdS* sequences from ten arbitrarily-chosen OTUs per genus recovered in our sequencing data

and from one reference taxa for each genus, and related D-cystein desulfhydrase genes Dcystein desulfhydrase genes from strains *Escherichia coli* strains K-12, ER3413, 042 and
RM9387, *Escherichia albertii* KF1, *Escherichia fergusonii* ATCC 35469, *Enterobacter sacchari* SP1, *Enterobacter cloacae* ECNIH2, *Enterobacter asburiae* L1, *Enterobacter* sp. 638
and *Enterobacter lignolyticus* SCF1 (used as out-group).

**2.6. Statistical analysis** 

Statistical analysis of quantitative PCR data was carried out by ANOVA and Fishers' LSD tests. For each gene sequenced, comparison of bacterial diversity between field sites was carried out by Between-Class Analysis (BCA) using ADE4 (Chessel et al. 2004; Culhane et al. 2005; Dray, Dufour, and Chessel, 2007) and ggplot2 packages for R, and the 12 genera contributing most to field site differentiation were identified. To assess co-trends between nifH and acdS variables, as well as between *rrs* and *nifH* or *acdS* variables, sequence data were also assessed using co-inertia analysis (CIA) (Dray et al. 2003; Dray et al. 2007), which was computed with the ADE4 package in the R statistical software environment (Culhane et al. 2005). CIA is a dimensional reduction procedure designed to measure the similarity of two sets of variables, here the proportions of *nifH* and *acdS* bacterial genera obtained during between-class analyses. Its significance was assessed using Monte-Carlo tests with 10,000 permutations. Unless otherwise stated, statistical analyses were performed using R v3.1.3 (Team, 2014), at P < 0.05level.

- - **2.7. Nucleotide sequence accession numbers**

Illumina MiSeq paired-end reads have been deposited in the European Bioinformatics Institute
(EBI) database under accession numbers PRJEB14347 (ERP015984) for *rrs*; PRJEB14346
(ERP015983) for *nifH*, PRJEB14343 (ERP015981) for *acdS*.

**3. RESULTS** 

1

2	
3	224
4	<i></i>
5	225
6	223
7	
8	226
9	
10	227
11	
12	228
13	
14	220
15	229
16	220
17	230
18	
19	231
20	
21	232
22	
25 24	222
25	255
26	224
27	234
28	
29	235
30	
31	236
32	
33	237
34	
35	238
36	250
37	220
38	239
39	
40	240
41	
42	241
43	
44 45	242
45 46	
40 47	2/13
47 78	243
40 10	244
50	244
51	
52	245
53	
54	246
55	
56	247
57	,
58	210
59	2 <del>4</del> 0
60	

#### 3.1. Relation between numbers of *nifH* and *acdS* alleles in the three field sites

The number of acdS bacteria in the rhizosphere of maize harvested at 6-leaf stage in 2014 (7.87 228 to  $17.4 \times 10^7$  acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) and 2015 (1.76 to  $2.81 \times 10^7$  acdS gene copies 229 g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) did not differ significantly between field sites (Figure 1AB). At flowering stage, 230 231 however, the number of acdS bacteria differed from one site to the next, both in 2014 and in 232 2015 (Figure 1EF). At that growth stage, the lowest rhizosphere abundance was observed in site L (5.08  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) and the highest in site C (1.76  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> acdS 233 gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) in 2014, whereas site ranking was the opposite in 2015 (8.35 versus 234  $44.0 \times 10^6$  acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil for sites C and L, respectively). 235

236 The numbers of *nifH* rhizobacteria differed according to field site (Figure 1CDGH). In 237 2014, the lowest *nifH* abundance was observed in rhizospheres of site L (1.06 and  $20.8 \times 10^7$ ) 238 *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil at respectively six leaves and flowering) and the highest in those 239 of site C (6.43 and  $147.0 \times 10^7$  nifH gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil at respectively six leaves and flowering) (Figure 1CG). In 2015, the numbers of *nifH* rhizobacteria was higher in site C (9.31 240  $\times 10^8$  nifH gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) than in FC (1.30  $\times 10^8$  nifH gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) 241 242 and L (2.52  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) at six leaves, whereas the situation was opposite at flowering, with higher abundance in site L ( $40.7 \times 10^7$  *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry 243 soil) than C (9.81  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) and FC (5.66  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> *nifH* gene copies 244 g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) (Figure 1DH). 245

246 When comparing the log numbers of *nifH* rhizobacteria and *acdS* rhizobacteria across 247 the 12 site × sampling combinations, significant  $(3.8 \times 10^{-5} < P < 0.01)$  positive correlations 248 (0.67 < r < 0.98, n = 20) were found in 9 of 12 cases, with only three correlations that were not

significant, i.e. in site C at 6-leaf stage in 2014 (P = 0.10, n = 5) and FC at flowering in 2014 (P = 0.67, n = 5) and 2015 (P = 0.19, n = 20) (Figure 2). In summary, moderate but significant differences in the numbers of *nifH* and/or *acdS* rhizobacteria could take place according to field site, sampling year and/or maize phenology, and in most cases a positive correlation was found between the log values of both numbers.

## **3.2.** Relation between diversities of *nifH* and *acdS* alleles in the three field sites

Illumina MiSeq sequencing of *nifH* and *acdS* (as well as *rrs*) was carried out on 15 rhizosphere samples from 6-leaf maize grown in 2015. For nifH, 1,342,966 reads were obtained (10,775 to 62,752 sequences per sample), for a total of 36,241 OTUs. Rarefaction analysis showed that curves reached a plateau (Figure S1A). Subsampling was done with 10,775 sequences per sample, for a total of 34,459 OTUs. For acdS, 5,490,230 reads were obtained (68,376 to 139,245 sequences per sample), with a total of 32,468 OTUs. Rarefaction curves reached a plateau (Figure S1B). Subsampling was done with 68,376 sequences per sample, for a total of 26,246 OTUs. After quality filtering, 6,082,255 reads were obtained for rrs (51,696 to 223,926 sequences per sample), giving a total of 39,600 OTUs (3% cut-off). Rarefaction analysis showed that the sequencing effort captured most of the diversity with curves reaching a plateau (Figure S1C). Subsampling was done with 51,696 sequences per sample, for a total of 25,437 OTUs.

The effect of field site on *nifH* diversity of diazotrophic bacteria was not significant based on analysis of Shannon and Simpson indices. Conversely, the effect of field site on *acdS* diversity of ACC deaminase bacteria was significant based on the Shannon ( $P = 1.9. \times 10^{-4}$ ) and Simpson indices ( $P = 8.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ). The Shannon index was lower in FC (6.32) than in L (6.82) and C (6.92), whereas the Simpson index was higher in FC (6.42 × 10<sup>-3</sup>) than in L (2.88 × 10<sup>-3</sup>) and C (2.38 × 10<sup>-3</sup>). The effect of field site on *rrs* diversity of the total bacterial

#### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

community was significant based on the Shannon ( $P = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ) and Simpson indices (P = 1.6× 10<sup>-4</sup>). As in the case of *acdS* data, the Shannon index was lower in FC (7.20) than in L (7.41) and C (7.71), whereas the Simpson index was higher in FC (3.42 × 10<sup>-3</sup>) than in L (2.28 × 10<sup>-3</sup>) <sup>3</sup>) and C (1.40 × 10<sup>-3</sup>).

The correlation (n = 5) between *nifH* diversity and *acdS* diversity was positive and significant at site L, when considering both the Shannon index (r = 0.98; P = 0.01; Figure 3) and the Simpson index (r = 0.86; P = 0.06; Figure 3). However, the correlation was not significant at the other two sites, regardless of the diversity index. When considering also rrs diversity, a significant correlation was found only with *nifH* diversity at site C (r = 0.91; P =0.03; Figure 3). In summary, there was no relation between the diversities of *nifH* rhizobacteria and acdS rhizobacteria, based on comparison of diversity indices in the three field sites and correlation analyses at two of the three field sites.

Review

3.3. Relation between prevalence of *nifH* and/or *acdS* rhizobacterial taxa in the three field
sites

Between-class analysis of *nifH* data showed that the composition of diazotrophic bacteria differed according to field site (Figure 4A). The first axis (54% of between-class variability) distinguished site C from FC and L, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation were Xanthobacter, Dechloromonas, Methyloferula, Ideonella, Nitrospirillum and Tolumonas (more prevalent in C than in L and FC), as well as Desulfovibrio, Selenomonas, Ruminiclostridium, Paludibacter, Gloeocapsopsis and Ruminococcus (less prevalent in C than in FC and L). The second axis (46% of between-class variability) distinguished site L from the two other sites, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation included *Rhizobium*, Gluconacetobacter, Skermanella, Leptothrix, Streptomyces and Methylocapsa (more prevalent in L than in FC and C), as well as Marichromatium, Pelobacter, Gordonibacter, Desulfobulbus, Desulfovibrio and Sideroxydan (less prevalent in L than in C and FC).

Between-class analysis of *acdS* data showed that the composition of ACC deaminase bacteria differed according to field site (Figure 4B). The first axis (66% of between-class variability) distinguished site C from FC and L, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation were Achromobacter, Azospirillum, Pseudolabrys, Roseovarius, one unassigned OTU and Polaromonas (more prevalent in C than in L and FC), as well as Cupriavidus, Burkholderia, Bosea, Bradyrhizobium and Methylobacterium (less prevalent in C than in FC and L). The second axis (34% of between-class variability) distinguished each of the three sites from one another, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation included Azorhizobium, Pseudomonas, Gluconobacter, Collimonas, Herbaspirillum and Burkholderia (more prevalent in FC than in C and L), as well as *Ralstonia*, *Loktanella*, *Devosia*, *Variovorax*, *Novosphingobium* and *Chelatococcus* (more prevalent in L than in C and FC).

#### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

Between-class analysis of rrs data showed that the composition of the total bacterial community differed according to field site (Figure 4C). The first axis (71% of between-class variability) distinguished C from the two other sites, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation were Algisphaera, Fibrobacter, Amaricoccus, Hirschia, Desulfacinum and Saccharophagus (more prevalent in C than in L and FC), as well as Actinomadura, Lutispora, Bacillus, Rhodopseudomonas, Kouleothrix and Roseiflexus (less prevalent in C than in FC and L). The second axis (29% of between-class variability) distinguished site L from FC and C, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation included Flavobacterium, Gluconobacter, Maricaulis, Prolixibacter, 'Candidatus Xiphinematobacter', Chthoniobacter (more prevalent in FC than L), as well as *Conexibacter*, *Hyphomicrobium*, *Pseudonocardia*, *Tumebacillus, Chelatococcus* and *Mycobacterium* (less prevalent in FC than in L). 

In summary, between-class analysis of *nifH* and *acdS* data indicated that the composition of diazotrophic bacteria and of ACC deaminase bacteria differed according to field site, but the main discriminant genera differed completely for both types of bacteria. In both cases, the discriminant taxa were also different from the main range of bacterial taxa distinguishing the three sites most when comparing the latter based on *rrs* data, at the scale of the entire rhizobacterial community.

<sup>2</sup> 328

# 329 3.4. Relation between the genetic structures of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria in the three 330 field sites

Since there was a positive correlation between log numbers of *nifH* and/or *acdS* rhizobacteria but the corresponding bacterial genera discriminating most between the three fields studied were not the same, the co-structuration between *nifH* and *acdS* diversity was explored by coinertia analysis to compare more globally the genetic structures of these rhizobacterial groups across the three field sites. Monte-Carlo permutation tests showed a significant co-structuration  $(P = 9 \times 10^{-5})$  of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria, with a RV coefficient of 0.83. This accounted for 337 57% of data variability. The plot of the co-inertia matrix illustrates the strength of the 338 relationship between *acdS* and *nifH* diversities, as superposition of *acdS* and *nifH* groups 339 showed a strong co-trend in all three field sites (Figure 5).

Co-inertia analyses of *nifH* and *acdS* diversities were also performed with *rrs* diversity, and permutations tests also showed co-structuration in both cases, with respectively RV coefficients of 0.89 and 0.91, the two axes explaining 52% and 69% of variability. Superposition of *rrs* community with *acdS* and with *nifH* groups indicated a strong co-trend across the three fields.

In summary, the genetic structures of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacterial groups across the three field sites were very close. Co-inertia was strong also when comparing each with the whole rhizobacterial community based on *rrs* data.

#### 349 4. DISCUSSION

<sup>35</sup> 36 350

> The current work made use of molecular tools available to characterize functional groups of *nifH* and *acdS* bacteria. Quantification of *nifH* rhizobacteria was performed with primers PolF/PolR (Poly et al. 2001) rather than other well-established primers such as Zf/Zr (Zehr and McReynolds, 1989) since the latter are not effective for quantitative PCR (Boyd and Peters 2013; Gaby and Buckley 2017; Poly et al. 2001). The same primers have also been used for sequencing, both for consistency and efficacy for diazotroph characterization (Mårtensson et al. 2009; Wartiainen et al. 2008). Recently, acdS primers suitable for monitoring of ACC deamination bacteria have been made available (Bouffaud et al. 2018). These primers are effective to amplify true *acdS* genes while not amplifying related D-cystein desulfhydrase genes coding for other PLP-dependent enzymes, which was verified again in the current work (Figure

Page 17 of 84

#### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

S2). Indeed, phylogenetic analysis of the *acdS* sequences showed that none clustered within the
out-group (built with strains harboring D-cystein desulfhydrase genes), confirming that the
sequences obtained were true *acdS* sequences, as highlighted in previous studies (Blaha et al.
2006; Bouffaud et al. 2018; Li et al. 2015; Nascimento et al. 2012).

The level of taxonomic information carried by *nifH* sequences has been described in the literature, showing that *nifH* was sufficiently conserved to enable reliable taxonomic affiliations including for the assessment of rhizobacteria (Vinuesa et al. 2005), and its phylogeny was congruent with the one derived from rrs (Achouak et al. 1999; Zehr et al. 2003). As for acdS, phylogenetic analysis of the new sequences obtained (along with reference *acdS* sequences) confirmed that the taxonomic affiliations made at the genus level were correct. However, the 130-bp *acdS* amplicons obtained with the current quantitative PCR primers do not enable any taxonomic affiliation below the genus level, i.e. at the species level (Bouffaud et al. 2018).

In this work, the hypothesis that *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacterial populations co-occur on roots was assessed with maize taken from three fields, using quantitative PCR and MiSeq sequencing. The results that were obtained did substantiate this hypothesis, based on (i) positive correlations between the sizes of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacterial groups, and (ii) comparable genetic structures indicated by inertia analysis for both functional groups across the three field sites studied. Several studies have assessed the co-occurrence of particular microorganisms and measured between-taxa correlations in soil systems (Barberán et al. 2011; Freilich et al. 2010), but few have done so at the level of functional groups. For instance, co-occurrence analysis of nitrite-dependent anaerobic ammonium oxidizers and methane oxidizers in paddy soil showed that the structure of these communities changed with soil depth (Wang et al. 2012). The co-occurrence of plant-beneficial functions in the rhizosphere has been investigated, but often the assessment was restrained to narrow taxonomic levels, such as within the Pseudomonas genus (Almario et al. 2014; Frapolli et al. 2012; Vacheron et al. 2016). It is interesting to note that not

all microorganisms harboring *acdS* and/or *nifH* expressed the corresponding functions in
rhizosphere based on assessment of qRT-PCR data, as previously described for *nifH* (Bouffaud
et al. 2016) or *acdS* (Bouffaud et al. 2018).

Specific taxa can be selected by environmental conditions prevailing on plant roots (Bakker et al. 2014; Berg and Smalla, 2009; Raaijmakers et al. 2009; Vandenkoornhuyse et al. 2015). Thus, a first possibility to account for the co-occurrence of both functional groups could be that both *nifH* bacteria and *acdS* bacteria do well in the maize rhizosphere. Indeed, both types of bacteria are readily found on roots (Almario et al. 2014; Arruda et al. 2013; Blaha et al. 2006; Bruto et al. 2014; Bruto et al. 2014; Mårtensson et al. 2009). Such co-occurrence would make sense in ecological terms, because associative nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination are functions limiting plant nutrient deficiency by supplying nitrogen (Pii et al. 2015) and enhancing root system development (thereby improving uptake of mineral nutriments including nitrogen) (Glick, 2014), respectively.

A second possibility could be that bacteria that harbor both genes/functions are well adapted to maize roots. Indeed, Bruto et al. (2014) showed that the nif operon co-occurred with acdS in several bacterial clades, and for instance the genera Bradyrhizobium or Burkholderia contain several species harboring both functions (Bruto et al. 2014). Furthermore, the co-inertia between these two functional groups and the total community raises the possibility that additional functions could also be present in addition to associative nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination. Indeed, comparative genomics studies showed that bacterial taxa display multiple specific functions, including plant interaction functions (Bruto et al. 2014; Lassalle et al. 2015; Vacheron et al. 2017), and thus these functions would also be co-selected when selecting the corresponding rrs-based taxa. In the current study, Bradyrhizobium represented 17 to 25% of acdS<sup>+</sup> bacteria and 20 to 42% of *nifH*<sup>+</sup> bacteria in the maize rhizosphere, and the high proportion of this bacterial clade may contribute to the co-occurence of diazotrophs and ACC deaminase

#### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

producers that was found. However, when the 10,369 completely-sequenced bacterial genomes available in the NCBI database were screened, it showed that 833 of them harbored acdS and 461 others nifH, but only 122 genomes had both genes. Therefore, it could be that this second possibility is insufficient for a complete explanation of the current findings.

A third possibility to consider is the joint occurrence of both functions in the rhizosphere, regardless of the taxa harboring them, thereby providing functional redundancy (Shade and Handelsman, 2012). Several studies in soil or aquatic settings have suggested that the metabolic/functional potential of microbial communities rather than their taxonomic variations are closely related to environmental conditions (Bouffaud et al. 2018; Burke et al. 2011; Louca et al. 2016; Louca et al. 2017). These observations were conceptualized as the "It's the song, not the singer" theory (ITSNTS; Doolittle and Booth 2017), i.e. functional groups within microbial communities (the songs) would be better conserved and more relevant ecologically than the taxa themselves (the singers). Consistent with the ITSNTS theory, our study suggests that the assembly of the rhizosphere microbial community would entail a balance between phytostimulation-relevant genes, which may be needed to achieve an effective holobiont (i.e., the plant host and its functional microbiota), and points to the preponderance of functional interactions within the plant holobiont. This hypothesis, which has been put forward recently for root-associated microorganisms (Lemanceau et al. 2017), remains speculative at this stage and deserves further research attention. In particular, methodology development is needed to enable direct assessment of key plant-beneficial groups when parallel monitoring of several genes is required (e.g. for auxin production or P solubilization, which entail many genetic pathways), in contrast to ACC deamination and N fixation for which analysis of a single gene (acdS and nifH, respectively) may suffice.

To test whether the current findings could be also relevant under other environmental conditions, we reassessed the data obtained for nifH (Bouffaud et al. 2016) and acdS (Bouffaud 

LL, YML and DM designed the project, SR, LL, CPC, YML and DM carried out field work

and samplings, SR conducted the molecular work, SR, MLB and AD implemented

bioinformatic analyses, SR, YML and DM analyzed data, SR, YML and DM prepared the first

to per per perez

draft of the manuscript, which was finalized by all authors.

3 4	466	REFERENCES
5 6	467	
7 8	468	Achouak W, Normand P, Heulin T. Comparative phylogeny of <i>rrs</i> and <i>nifH</i> genes in the <i>Bacillaceae</i> .
9 10 11	469	Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 1999;49(3):961-967. doi:10.1099/00207713-49-3-961
12 13	470	Agaras BC, Scandiani M, Luque A et al. Quantification of the potential biocontrol and direct plant
14 15	471	growth promotion abilities based on multiple biological traits distinguish different groups of
16 17	472	Pseudomonas spp. isolates. Biological Control 2015;90:173-186.
18 19	473	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.07.003
20 21 22	474	Almario J, Gobbin D, Défago G et al. Prevalence of type III secretion system in effective biocontrol
22 23 24	475	pseudomonads. Res Microbiol 2014;165(4):300-304.
24 25 26	476	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2014.03.008
27 28	477	Almario J, Muller D, Défago G et al. Rhizosphere ecology and phytoprotection in soils naturally
29 30	478	suppressive to Thielaviopsis black root rot of tobacco. Environ Microbiol 2014;16(7):1949-
31 32	479	1960. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12459
33 34	480	Arruda L, Beneduzi A, Martins A et al. Screening of rhizobacteria isolated from maize (Zea mays L.)
35 36	481	in Rio Grande do Sul State (South Brazil) and analysis of their potential to improve plant
37 38	482	growth. Appl Soil Ecol 2013;63:15-22. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.09.001
39 40	483	Bakker MG, Schlatter DC, Otto-Hanson L et al. Diffuse symbioses: roles of plant-plant, plant-
41 42 43	484	microbe and microbe-microbe interactions in structuring the soil microbiome. Mol Ecol
43 44 45	485	2014;23(6):1571-1583. doi:10.1111/mec.12571
46 47	486	Barberán A, Bates ST, Casamayor EO et al. Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns
48 49	487	in soil microbial communities. ISME J 2011;6:343-351. doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.119
50 51	488	https://www.nature.com/articles/ismej2011119#supplementary-information
52 53 54	489	Bashan Y, de-Bashan LE. Chapter Two - How the plant growth-promoting bacterium Azospirillum
55 56	490	promotes plant growth—A critical assessment. In: Sparks DL (ed). Advances in Agronomy.
57 58 59 60	491	Academic Press, 2010, 108, 77-136.

2		
3 4	492	Bashan Y, de-Bashan LE, Prabhu SR et al. Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant
5 6	493	technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998-2013). Plant Soil 2014;378(1):1-
7 8	494	33. doi:10.1007/s11104-013-1956-x
9 10	495	Berg G, Smalla K. Plant species and soil type cooperatively shape the structure and function of
11 12	496	microbial communities in the rhizosphere. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2009;68(1):1-13.
13 14	497	doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00654.x
15 16	498	Bertalan M, Albano R, de Pádua V et al. Complete genome sequence of the sugarcane nitrogen-fixing
17 18 10	499	endophyte Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus Pal5. BMC Genomics 2009;10(1):450.
19 20 21	500	doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-450
22 23	501	Blaha D, Prigent-Combaret C, Mirza MS et al. Phylogeny of the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic
24 25	502	acid deaminase-encoding gene acdS in phytobeneficial and pathogenic Proteobacteria and
26 27	503	relation with strain biogeography. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2006;56(3):455-470.
28 29	504	doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00082.x
30 31	505	Bouffaud M-L, Renoud S, Dubost A et al. 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase producers
32 33	506	associated to maize and other Poaceae species. <i>Microbiome</i> 2018;6(1):114.
34 35 26	507	doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0503-7
30 37 38	508	Bouffaud M-L, Renoud S, Moënne-Loccoz Y et al. Is plant evolutionary history impacting recruitment
39 40	509	of diazotrophs and <i>nifH</i> expression in the rhizosphere? Sci Rep 2016;6:21690.
41 42	510	doi:10.1038/srep21690 http://www.nature.com/articles/srep21690#supplementary-information
43 44	511	Boyd E, Peters J. New insights into the evolutionary history of biological nitrogen fixation. Front
45 46	512	Microbiol 2013;4:201. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00201
47 48	513	Bruto M, Prigent-Combaret C, Luis P et al. Frequent, independent transfers of a catabolic gene from
49 50	514	bacteria to contrasted filamentous eukaryotes. Proc R Soc Lond B: Biol Sci 2014;281:1789.
51 52	515	doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0848
53 54	516	Bruto M, Prigent-Combaret C, Muller D et al. Analysis of genes contributing to plant-beneficial
55 56 57	517	functions in plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and related Proteobacteria. Sci Rep
57 58 59 60	518	2014;4:6261. doi:10.1038/srep06261

3 4	519	Burke C, Steinberg P, Rusch D et al. Bacterial community assembly based on functional genes rather
5 6	520	than species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011;108(34):14288-14293.
7 8	521	doi:10.1073/pnas.1101591108
9 10 11	522	Cassán F, Vanderleyden J, Spaepen S. Physiological and agronomical aspects of phytohormone
12 13	523	production by model Plant-Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) belonging to the genus
14 15	524	Azospirillum. J. Plant Growth Regul 2014;33(2):440-459. doi:10.1007/s00344-013-9362-4
16 17 18	525	Chen XH, Koumoutsi A, Scholz R et al. Comparative analysis of the complete genome sequence of
19 20	526	the plant growth-promoting bacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42. Nature Biotechnol
21 22	527	2007;25:1007. doi:10.1038/nbt1325 https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt1325#supplementary-
23 24	528	information
25 26	529	Chessel D, Dufour AB, Thioulouse J. The ade4 package-I-One-table methods. <i>R News</i> 2004;4(1):5-10.
27 28 20	530	Cormier F, Foulkes J, Hirel B et al. Breeding for increased nitrogen-use efficiency: a review for wheat
29 30 21	531	( <i>T. aestivum</i> L.). <i>Plant Breeding</i> 2016;135(3):255-278. doi:10.1111/pbr.12371
32 33	532	Couillerot O, Ramírez-Trujillo A, Walker V et al. Comparison of prominent Azospirillum strains in
34 35	533	Azospirillum–Pseudomonas–Glomus consortia for promotion of maize growth. Appl
36 37	534	Microbiol Biotechnol 2013;97(10):4639-4649. doi:10.1007/s00253-012-4249-z
38 39 40	535	Culhane AC, Thioulouse J, Perrière G et al. MADE4: an R package for multivariate analysis of gene
41 42	536	expression data. Bioinformatics 2005;21(11):2789-2790. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti394
43 44	537	Deynze A, Zamora P, Delaux P-M et al. Nitrogen fixation in a landrace of maize is supported by a
45 46	538	mucilage-associated diazotrophic microbiota. PLoS Biol 2018;16(8):e2006352. https://doi-
47 48	539	org.inee.bib.cnrs.fr/10.1371/journal.pbio.2006352
49 50	540	DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N et al. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database
51 52	541	and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72(7):5069-5072.
53 54	542	doi:10.1128/aem.03006-05
55 56 57	543	Doolittle WF, Booth A. It's the song, not the singer: an exploration of holobiosis and evolutionary
57 58 59 60	544	theory. Biol Philos 2017;32(1):5-24. doi:10.1007/s10539-016-9542-2

2 3	545	Dray S. Chessel D. Thioulouse J. Co-inertia analysis and the linking of ecological data tables. <i>Ecology</i>
4 5	546	2003; <i>84</i> (11):3078-3089. doi:10.1890/03-0178
6 7	547	Dray S. Dufour AB, Chessel D. The ade4 Package—II: Two-table and K-table methods. <i>R News</i>
8 9	548	2007:7:47-52.
10 11 12	549	Duan J. Müller KM. Charles T <i>et al.</i> 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase genes in
12 13 14	550	Rhizobia from southern Saskatchewan. <i>Microb Ecol</i> 2009:57(3):423-436.
14 15 16	551	doi:10.1007/s00248-008-9407-6
17 18	552	Frapolli M. Pothier JF. Défago G <i>et al.</i> Evolutionary history of synthesis pathway genes for
19 20	553	phloroglucinol and cvanide antimicrobials in plant-associated fluorescent pseudomonads. <i>Mol</i>
21 22	554	<i>Phylogenet Evol</i> 2012;63(3):877-890. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vmpev.2012.02.030
23 24	555	Freilich S, Kreimer A, Meilijson I <i>et al.</i> The large-scale organization of the bacterial network of
25 26	556	ecological co-occurrence interactions. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 2010;38(12):3857-3868.
27 28 20	557	doi:10.1093/nar/gkq118
29 30 31	558	Gaby JC, Buckley DH. The use of degenerate primers in qPCR analysis of functional genes can cause
32 33	559	dramatic quantification bias as revealed by investigation of <i>nifH</i> primer performance. <i>Microb</i>
34 35	560	Ecol 2017;74(3):701-708. doi:10.1007/s00248-017-0968-0
36 37	561	Gamalero E, Glick BR. Bacterial modulation of plant ethylene levels. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 2015;169(1):13-
38 39	562	22. doi:10.1104/pp.15.00284
40 41	563	Glick BR. Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world.
42 43	564	Microbiol Res 2014;169(1):30-39. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.09.009
45 46	565	Hartman K, van der Heijden MGA, Wittwe RA et al. Cropping practices manipulate abundance
47 48	566	patterns of root and soil microbiome members paving the way to smart farming. Microbiome
49 50	567	2018;6(1):14. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0389-9
51 52	568	Jha B, Gontia I, Hartmann A. The roots of the halophyte Salicornia brachiata are a source of new
53 54	569	halotolerant diazotrophic bacteria with plant growth-promoting potential. Plant Soil
55 56 57	570	2012;356(1):265-277. doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0877-9
57 58 59		
60		

3 4	571	Lassalle F, Muller D, Nesme X. Ecological speciation in bacteria: reverse ecology approaches reveal
5 6	572	the adaptive part of bacterial cladogenesis. Res Microbiol 2015;166(10):729-741.
7 8	573	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2015.06.008
9 10	574	Lemanceau P, Blouin M, Muller D, et al. Let the core microbiota be functional. Trends Plant Sci
11 12	575	2017;22(7):583-595. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.04.008
13 14	576	Li Z, Chang S, Ye S et al. Differentiation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase
15 16	577	from its homologs is the key for identifying bacteria containing ACC deaminase. FEMS
17 18	578	Microbiol Ecol 2015;91(10):fiv112-fiv112. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiv112
19 20 21	579	Louca S, Parfrey LW, Doebeli M. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome.
21 22 23	580	Science 2016;353(6305):1272-1277. doi:10.1126/science.aaf4507
23 24 25	581	Louca S, Jacques SMS, Pires APF et al. High taxonomic variability despite stable functional structure
26 27	582	across microbial communities. Nat Ecol Evol 2017;1:0015. doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0015
28 29	583	Ma W, Guinel FC, Glick, BR. Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar viciae 1-aminocyclopropane-1-
30 31	584	carboxylate deaminase promotes nodulation of pea plants. Appl Environ Microbiol
32 33	585	2003;69(8):4396-4402. doi:10.1128/aem.69.8.4396-4402.2003
34 35	586	Mårtensson L, Díez B, Wartiainen I et al. Diazotrophic diversity, nifH gene expression and
36 37	587	nitrogenase activity in a rice paddy field in Fujian, China. Plant Soil 2009;325(1):207-218.
38 39 40	588	doi:10.1007/s11104-009-9970-8
40 41 42	589	Nascimento FX, Brígido C, Glick BR et al. ACC deaminase genes are conserved among
43 44	590	Mesorhizobium species able to nodulate the same host plant. FEMS Microbiol Lett
45 46	591	2012; <i>336</i> (1):26-37. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02648.x
47 48	592	Nukui N, Minamisawa K, Ayabe S-I et al. Expression of the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid
49 50	593	deaminase gene requires symbiotic nitrogen-fixing regulator gene nifA2 in Mesorhizobium loti
51 52	594	MAFF303099. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72(7):4964-4969. doi:10.1128/aem.02745-05
53 54	595	Pieterse CMJ, Van Wees SCM. Induced disease resistance. In: Lugtenberg B (ed). Principles of Plant-
55 56 57	596	Microbe Interactions: Microbes for Sustainable Agriculture. Springer International
57 58 59 60	597	Publishing, 2015, 123-33.

# FEMS Microbiology Ecology

1 2		
3 4	598	Pii Y, Mimmo T, Tomasi N et al. Microbial interactions in the rhizosphere: beneficial influences of
5 6	599	plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on nutrient acquisition process. A review. Biol Fertil
7 8	600	Soils 2015;51(4):403-415. doi:10.1007/s00374-015-0996-1
9 10	601	Poly F, Jocteur Monrozier L, Bally R. Improvement in the RFLP procedure for studying the diversity
11 12	602	of <i>nifH</i> genes in communities of nitrogen fixers in soil. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 2001;152(1):95-103.
13 14	603	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-2508(00)01172-4
15 16	604	Prigent-Combaret C, Blaha D, Pothier J et al. Physical organization and phylogenetic analysis of acdR
17 18	605	as leucine-responsive regulator of the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase gene
19 20	606	acdS in phytobeneficial Azospirillum lipoferum 4B and other Proteobacteria. FEMS
21 22	607	Microbiol Ecol 2008;65(2):202-219. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00474.x
23 24 25	608	Puri A, Padda KP, Chanway CP. Evidence of nitrogen fixation and growth promotion in canola
25 26 27	609	(Brassica napus L.) by an endophytic diazotroph Paenibacillus polymyxa P2b-2R. Biol Fertil
28 29	610	Soils 2016;52(1):119-125. doi:10.1007/s00374-015-1051-y
30 31	611	Raaijmakers JM, Paulitz TC, Steinberg C et al. The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for
32 33	612	soilborne pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. Plant Soil 2009;321(1):341-361.
34 35	613	doi:10.1007/s11104-008-9568-6
36 37	614	Rana A, Saharan B, Joshi M et al. Identification of multi-trait PGPR isolates and evaluating their
38 39	615	potential as inoculants for wheat. Ann Microbiol 2011;61(4):893-900. doi:10.1007/s13213-
40 41 42	616	011-0211-z
42 43	617	Redondo-Nieto M, Barret M, Morrissey J et al. Genome sequence reveals that Pseudomonas
44 45 46	618	fluorescens F113 possesses a large and diverse array of systems for rhizosphere function and
47 48	619	host interaction. BMC Genomics 2013;14(1):54. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-54
49 50	620	Rozier C, Hamzaoui J, Lemoine D et al. Field-based assessment of the mechanism of maize yield
51 52	621	enhancement by Azospirillum lipoferum CRT1. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):7416. doi:10.1038/s41598-
53 54	622	017-07929-8
55 56	623	Shade A, Handelsman J. Beyond the Venn diagram: the hunt for a core microbiome. Environ
57 58 59 60	624	<i>Microbiol</i> 2012; <i>14</i> (1):4-12. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02585.x

3 4	625	Team, R. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing 2014. Vienna, Austria: R
5 6	626	Foundation for Statistical Computing.
7 8	627	Vacheron J, Desbrosses G, Bouffaud M-L et al. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system
9 10	628	functioning. Front Plant Sci 2013;4:356. doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00356
11 12	629	Vacheron J, Desbrosses G, Renoud S et al. Differential contribution of plant-beneficial functions from
13 14 15	630	Pseudomonas kilonensis F113 to root system architecture alterations in Arabidopsis thaliana
15 16 17	631	and Zea mays. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 2017;31(2):212-223. doi:10.1094/MPMI-07-17-
17 18 19	632	0185-R
20 21	633	Vacheron J, Moënne-Loccoz Y, Dubost A et al. Fluorescent Pseudomonas strains with only few plant-
22 23	634	beneficial properties are favored in the maize rhizosphere. Front Plant Sci 2016;7:1212.
24 25	635	doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01212
26 27	636	Vandenkoornhuyse P, Quaiser A, Duhamel et al. The importance of the microbiome of the plant
28 29	637	holobiont. New Phytol 2015;206(4):1196-1206. doi:doi:10.1111/nph.13312
30 31	638	Vinuesa P, Silva C, Lorite MJ et al. Molecular systematics of rhizobia based on maximum likelihood
32 33	639	and Bayesian phylogenies inferred from <i>rrs</i> , <i>atpD</i> , <i>recA</i> and <i>nifH</i> sequences, and their use in
34 35 26	640	the classification of Sesbania microsymbionts from Venezuelan wetlands. Syst Appl Microbiol
30 37 38	641	2005;28(8):702-716. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2005.05.007
39 40	642	Wang Y, Zhu G, Harhangi HR et al. Co-occurrence and distribution of nitrite-dependent anaerobic
41 42	643	ammonium and methane-oxidizing bacteria in a paddy soil. FEMS Microbiol Lett
43 44	644	2012; <i>336</i> (2):79-88. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02654.x
45 46	645	Wartiainen I, Eriksson T, Zheng W et al. Variation in the active diazotrophic community in rice
47 48	646	paddy—nifH PCR-DGGE analysis of rhizosphere and bulk soil. Appl Soil Ecol 2008;39(1):65-
49 50	647	75. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.11.008
51 52	648	Wisniewski-Dyé F, Lozano L, Acosta-Cruz E et al. Genome sequence of Azospirillum brasilense
53 54	649	CBG497 and comparative analyses of Azospirillum core and accessory genomes provide
55 56 57 58 59 60	650	insight into niche adaptation. <i>Genes</i> 2012;3(4):576.

5 4	651	Zehr JP, Jenkins BD, Short SM et al. Nitrogenase gene diversity and microbial community structure: a							
5 6	652	cross-system comparison. Envirol Microbiol 2003;5(7):539-554. doi:10.1046/j.1462-							
7 8	653	2920.2003.00451.x							
9 10	654	Zehr JP, McReynolds LA. Use of degenerate oligonucleotides for amplification of the <i>nifH</i> gene from							
11 12	655	the marine cyanobacterium Trichodesmium thiebautii. Appl Environ Microbiol							
13 14	656	1989;55(10):2522-2526.							
15 16 17 18	657								
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 31 23 33 33 35 37 38 90 41 42 44 45 46 7 89 51 52 34 55 57 58 59									

Legend

659	
660	FIGURE 1. Size of the <i>acdS</i> and <i>nifH</i> functional groups compared in the three field sites L, FC
661	and C over four sampling times. Means and standard deviations are shown for the acdS group
662	at 6 leaves in 2014 (A) and 2015 (B) and at flowering in 2014 (E) and 2015 (F) and for the <i>nifH</i>
663	group at 6 leaves in 2014 (C) and 2015 (D) and at flowering in 2014 (G) and 2015 (H). The
664	analysis was done using pooled samples of six roots systems ( $n= 5$ ) at FC and C and individual
665	root systems ( $n = 30$ ) at L in 2014, and individual root systems ( $n = 20$ ) at all three sites in 2015.
666	Statistical differences between sites are indicated by letters a-c (ANOVA and Fischer's LSD
667	tests, <i>P</i> < 0.05).
668	
669	FIGURE 2. Correlation between log numbers of <i>nifH</i> (X axis) and <i>acdS</i> genes (Y axis).
670	Correlation was established using the Pearson coefficient. The analysis was done using pooled
671	samples of six roots systems (n= 5) at FC and C and individual root systems (n = 30) at L in
672	2014, and individual root systems ( $n = 20$ ) at all three sites in 2015.
673	
674	FIGURE 3. Correlation between Shannon diversity indices of <i>nifH</i> and <i>acdS</i> (A), Simpson
675	diversity indices of <i>nifH</i> and <i>acdS</i> (B), Shannon diversity indices of <i>rrs</i> and <i>acdS</i> or <i>nifH</i> (C),
676	and Simpson diversity indices of rrs and acdS or nifH (D). Correlation was established
677	separately at each of the three field sites L, FC and C, using the Pearson coefficient ( $n = 5$ ).
678	
679	FIGURE 4. Comparison of <i>nifH</i> (A), <i>acdS</i> (B) and <i>rrs</i> (C) diversity between sites L, FC and C
680	by between-class analysis. Red circles, green triangles and blue squares are used for samples
681	from sites FC, C and L, respectively. The curves at the top and the left of the panels show the

682 distribution of samples on respectively the X and Y axes.

2 3 4	683								
- 5 6	684	FIGURE 5. Co-inertia analysis between <i>acdS</i> and <i>nifH</i> diversities (A), <i>rrs</i> and <i>nifH</i> diversities							
7 8	685	(B) and <i>rrs</i> and <i>acdS</i> diversities (C). Projection of the samples $(n = 5)$ is based on both <i>acdS</i>							
9 10 11	686	(Blue) and nifH (Green), rrs (Grey) and nifH (Green), or rrs (Grey) and acdS (Blue) diversity							
12 13	687	variables (level = genus) into a same factorial plan. The vector in black shows the strength of							
14 15	688	co-trends between the two barycenters of variables as related to each site (L, FC, C). Shorter							
16 17 18	689	vectors indicate stronger convergent trends between the two variable groups.							
19 20	690								
21 22	691								
23 24	692	FIGURE S1: Rarefaction curves for nifH (A), acdS (B) and rrs (C) genes.							
25 26 27	693								
27 28 29	694	FIGURE S2. RAxML bipartition tree of 3322 sequenced acdS alleles from Poaceae							
30	695	rhizosphere. The tree was visualized using iTOL software (Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree							
31 32	696	Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments (2019) Nucleic Acids Res doi:							
33 34	697	10.1093/nar/gkz239). Branches colored in violet represent the out-group of D-cystein							
35	698	desulfhydrase genes, whereas <i>acdS</i> alleles affiliated to <i>Betaproteobacteria</i> are shown in khaki,							
30 37	699	to Gammaproteobacteria in blue, to Actinobacteria in green, to Alphaproteobacteria in red,							
38 39	700	and to microeukaryotes in orange. The tree can be viewed online at the following link							
40 41	701	http://itol.embl.de/shared/acdStree.							
42									
45 44									
45 46									

 Table 1. Field characteristics of the top (5-30 cm) soil layer.

Field	Soil type	Texture (%)		рН		Organic C	Total N	C/N ratio	Cation exchange (cmol/kg)				
		Sand	Silt	Clay	H <sub>2</sub> O	KCl	(g/kg)	(g/kg)		CEC <sup>a</sup>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>
FC	Fluvic cambisol	26.9	38.3	34.7	7.1	6.3	31.6	3.4	9.3	22.8	21.2	0.67	0.38
L	Luvisol	42.9	42.9	14.2	7.3	6.7	21.5	1.6	13.4	93.0	10.5	0.33	0.43
С	Calcisol	15.6	74.1	10.3	8.2	7.7	25.9	3.1	8.4	97.0	36.1	0.24	0.29
<sup>a</sup> CEC, cati	on exchange capacity												





Fig. 2

ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100


34 ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100





**FIGURE 1.** Size of the *acdS* and *nifH* functional groups compared in the three field sites L, FC and C over four sampling times. Means and standard deviations are shown for the *acdS* group at 6 leaves in 2014 (A) and 2015 (B) and at flowering in 2014 (E) and 2015 (F) and for the *nifH* group at 6 leaves in 2014 (C) and 2015 (D) and at flowering in 2014 (G) and 2015 (H). The analysis was done using pooled samples of six roots systems (n= 5) at FC and C and individual root systems (n = 30) at L in 2014, and individual root systems (n = 20) at all three sites in 2015. Statistical differences between sites are indicated by letters a-c (ANOVA and Fischer's LSD tests, P < 0.05).

**FIGURE 2.** Correlation between log numbers of *nifH* (X axis) and *acdS* genes (Y axis). Correlation was established using the Pearson coefficient. The analysis was done using pooled samples of six roots systems (n = 5) at FC and C and individual root systems (n = 30) at L in 2014, and individual root systems (n = 20) at all three sites in 2015.

**FIGURE 3.** Correlation between Shannon diversity indices of *nifH* and *acdS* (A), Simpson diversity indices of *nifH* and *acdS* (B), Shannon diversity indices of *rrs* and *acdS* or *nifH* (C), and Simpson diversity indices of *rrs* and *acdS* or *nifH* (D). Correlation was established separately at each of the three field sites L, FC and C, using the Pearson coefficient (n = 5).

**FIGURE 4.** Comparison of *nifH* (A), *acdS* (B) and *rrs* (C) diversity between sites L, FC and C by between-class analysis. Red circles, green triangles and blue squares are used for samples from sites FC, C and L, respectively. The curves at the top and the left of the panels show the distribution of samples on respectively the X and Y axes.

 **FIGURE 5.** Co-inertia analysis between *acdS* and *nifH* diversities (A), *rrs* and *nifH* diversities (B) and *rrs* and *acdS* diversities (C). Projection of the samples (n = 5) is based on both *acdS* (Blue) and *nifH* (Green), *rrs* (Grey) and *nifH* (Green), or *rrs* (Grey) and *acdS* (Blue) diversity variables (level = genus) into a same factorial plan. The vector in black shows the strength of co-trends between the two barycenters of variables as related to each site (L, FC, C). Shorter vectors indicate stronger convergent trends between the two variable groups.

FIGURE S1: Rarefaction curves for nifH (A), acdS (B) and rrs (C) genes.

**FIGURE S2.** RAxML bipartition tree of 3322 sequenced *acdS* alleles from *Poaceae* rhizosphere. The tree was visualized using iTOL software. Branches colored in violet represent the out-group of D-cystein desulfhydrase genes, whereas *acdS* alleles affiliated to *Betaproteobacteria* are shown in khaki, to *Gammaproteobacteria* in blue, to *Actinobacteria* in green, to *Alphaproteobacteria* in red, and to microeukaryotes in orange.

Perieu



Page 41 of 84

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

Site L

FEMS Microbiology Site

Site C



Fig. 2



CS1 (66.3%)

, Pr

CS1 (70.5%)

**A** 





FIGURE 5. Co-inertia analysis between acdS and nifH diversities (A), rrs and nifH diversities (B) and rrs and acdS diversities (C). Projection of the samples (n = 5) is based on both acdS (Blue) and nifH (Green), rrs (Grey) and nifH (Green), or rrs (Grey) and acdS (Blue) diversity variables (level = genus) into a same factorial plan. The vector in black shows the strength of co-trends between the two barycenters of variables as related to each site (L, FC, C). Shorter vectors indicate stronger convergent trends between the two variable groups.

# Table 1. Field characteristics of the top (5-30 cm) soil layer.

Field	Soil type	Texture (%)			pН		Organic C	Total N	C/N ratio	Cation exchange (cmol/kg)			
		Sand	Silt	Clay	H <sub>2</sub> O	KCl	(g/kg)	(g/kg)		CEC <sup>a</sup>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>
FC	Fluvic cambisol	26.9	38.3	34.7	7.1	6.3	31.6	3.4	9.3	22.8	21.2	0.67	0.38
L	Luvisol	42.9	42.9	14.2	7.3	6.7	21.5	1.6	13.4	93.0	10.5	0.33	0.43
С	Calcisol	15.6	74.1	10.3	8.2	7.7	25.9	3.1	8.4	97.0	36.1	0.24	0.29

to per perie







1 2		
2 3 4	1	Co-occurrence of rhizobacteria with nitrogen fixation and/or 1-aminocyclopropane-1-
5 6	2	carboxylate deamination abilities in the maize rhizosphere
7 8	3	
9 10 11	4	
12 13	5	
14 15	6	Sébastien Renoud <sup>1‡</sup> , Marie-Lara Bouffaud <sup>1‡</sup> , Audrey Dubost <sup>1</sup> , Claire Prigent-Combaret <sup>1</sup> ,
16 17 19	7	Laurent Legendre <sup>1,2</sup> , Yvan Moënne-Loccoz <sup>1</sup> and Daniel Muller <sup>1*</sup>
19 20	8	
21 22	9	<sup>1</sup> Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR5557
23 24 25	10	Ecologie Microbienne, 43 bd du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
23 26 27	11	<sup>2</sup> Univ Lyon, Université de St Etienne, F-42000 St Etienne, France
28 29	12	
30 31	13	<sup>‡</sup> Current addresses:
32 33 34 35 36	14	S.R. : BGene genetics Bâtiment B Biologie, F-38400 Saint Martin d'Hères, France
	15	M.L.B. : Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research UFZ, Theodor-Lieser-Straße 4,
37 38	16	06120 Halle, Germany
39 40 41	17	
42 43	18	
44 45	19	Running title: <i>nifH</i> and <i>acdS</i> bacteria on maize
46 47 48	20	
49 50	21	
51 52	22	*Corresponding author: UMR CNRS 5557 Ecologie Microbienne, Université Lyon 1, 43 bd
53 54 55	23	du 11 Novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France. Phone: +33 4 72 43 27 14. E-mail:
55 56 57	24	daniel.muller@univ-lyon1.fr
58 59 60	25	

## 26 ABSTRACT

The plant microbiota may differ depending on soil type, but these microbiota probably share the same functions necessary for holobiont fitness. Thus, we tested the hypothesis that phytostimulatory microbial functional groups are likely to co-occur in the rhizosphere, using groups corresponding to nitrogen fixation (*nifH*) and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deamination (acdS), i.e. two key modes of action in plant-beneficial rhizobacteria. The analysis of three maize fields in two consecutive years showed that quantitative PCR numbers of nifH and of *acdS* alleles differed according to field site, but a positive correlation was found overall when comparing *nifH* and *acdS* numbers. Metabarcoding analyses in the second year indicated that the diversity level of *acdS* but not *nifH* rhizobacteria in the rhizosphere differed across fields. Furthermore, between-class analysis showed that the three sites differed from one another based on *nifH* or *acdS* sequence data (or *rrs* data), and the bacterial genera contributing most to field differentiation were not the same for the three bacterial groups. However, co-inertia analysis indicated that the genetic structures of both functional groups and of the whole bacterial community were similar across the three fields. Therefore, results point to co-selection of rhizobacteria harboring nitrogen fixation and/or 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deamination abilities.

45 Keywords: microbiota; phytostimulation; functional group; functional microbiota; holobiont;
46 ITSNTS theory

### 49 INTRODUCTION

51 Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) colonize plant roots and implement a range of 52 plant-beneficial traits, which may result in enhanced plant development, nutrition, health and/or 53 stress tolerance (Almario et al. 2014; Cormier et al. 2016; Gamalero and Glick 2015; Hartman 54 et al. 2018; Vacheron et al. 2013). As a consequence, PGPR strains have received extensive 55 attention for use as microbial inoculants of crops (Bashan et al. 2014; Couillerot et al. 2013).

Plant-beneficial effects exhibited by PGPR are underpinned by a wide range of modes of actions, which include (i) enhanced nutrient availability via associative nitrogen fixation (Puri et al. 2016, Deynze et al. 2018) or phosphate solubilization (Arruda et al. 2013), (ii) stimulation of root system establishment through phytohormone synthesis (Cassán et al. 2014) or consumption of the ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) via an enzymatic deamination (Glick 2014), and (iii) the induction of systemic resistance responses in plant (Pieterse and Van Wees 2015). In addition to phytostimulation, certain PGPR may also achieve inhibition of phytoparasites using antimicrobial secondary metabolites (Agaras et al. 2015) or lytic enzymes (Pieterse and Van Wees 2015). Often, PGPR strains display more than one phytostimulatory mode of action, which is considered important for effective plant-beneficial effects (Bashan and de-Bashan 2010; Bruto et al. 2014; Rana et al. 2011; Vacheron et al. 2017). Therefore, the co-occurrence of multiple phytostimulation traits is likely to have been subjected to positive evolutionary selection in PGPR populations to maximize success of the plant-PGPR cooperation. This hypothesis is substantiated by genome sequence analysis of many prominent PGPR strains from contrasted taxa (Bertalan et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2007; Redondo-Nieto et al. 2013; Wisniewski-Dyé et al. 2012).

Even though PGPR strains tend to accumulate several plant-beneficial traits (Bruto et
al. 2014), the co-occurrence patterns of these traits are not random. This takes place in part

because many past horizontal gene transfers of the corresponding genes were ancient (Frapolli et al. 2012), often leading to clade-specific profiles of plant-beneficial traits (Bruto et al. 2014). However, the analysis of 304 proteobacterial genomes from contrasted taxa evidenced, overall, the co-occurrence of nifHDK (nitrogen fixation) and acdS (ACC deamination) based on Exact-Fisher pairwise tests (Bruto et al. 2014), raising the possibility that nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination might be useful traits when combined in a bacterium. Indeed, nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination occur together in various rhizobacteria (Blaha et al. 2006; Duan et al. 2009; Jha et al. 2012; Ma, Guinel, and Glick, 2003; Nukui et al. 2006), but the relation between both traits can be complex. In Azospirillum lipoferum 4B for instance, the plasmid-borne gene acdS is eliminated during phase variation while *nif* genes are maintained (Prigent-Combaret et al. 2008), and in *Mesorhizobium loti* transcription of *acdS* is controlled by the nitrogen fixation regulator gene nifA2 (Nukui et al. 2006). Moreover, ACC deamination was described as facilitator of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis (Ma et al. 2003; Nascimento et al. 2012).

At the scale of an individual plant, the rhizosphere is colonized by a diversified range of bacteria, including nifH acdS bacteria as well as bacteria harboring only nifH or acdS (Blaha et al. 2006; Bouffaud et al. 2018). There is additional level of complexity in that many of these bacteria are PGPR, but some of them are not (Bruto et al. 2014). However, the overall impact of nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination on the plant is likely to be the sum of the contribution of individual root-colonizing bacteria displaying these traits. This raises the question whether there is, for the plant, an optimal balance between the functional microbial groups of *nifH* rhizobacteria and acdS rhizobacteria in the rhizosphere. On this basis, we tested here the hypothesis that rhizobacteria with either nitrogen fixation ability or ACC deamination ability (or with both) co-occur on roots. For that purpose, we used three maize fields under reduced nitrogen fertilization practices, with samplings carried out at 6-leaf and flowering stages during two consecutive years, and numbers of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria were monitored by

2 3	99
4 5	100
6 7	100
8 9	101
10 11	102
12 13	103
14 15 16	104
10 17 18	105
19 20	106
21 22	107
23 24	108
25 26	109
27 28	110
29 30 21	111
31 32	111
33 34	112
35 36	113
37 38	114
39 40	115
41 42 42	116
43 44 45	117
46 47	118
48 49	110
50 51	117
52	120
55 54	121
55 56	122
57 58	123
59 60	

quantitative PCR. In addition, *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria were assessed by metabarcoding
 (MiSeq Illumina sequencing) of *nifH* and *acdS* genes at one sampling, in parallel to sequencing
 of 16S rRNA genes for the whole rhizobacterial community.

- **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**
- 105 **2.1. Field experiment**

The experiment was conducted in 2014 and 2015 at field sites located in Chatonnay (L),
Sérézin-de-la-Tour (FC) and Saint Savin (C), near the town of Bourgoin-Jallieu (Isère, France).
According to the FAO soil reference base, L field corresponds to a luvisol, FC a fluvic cambisol
and C a calcisol (Table 1). The trial set-up has been described in Rozier et al. (2017).

For each of the fields, the crop rotation consists in one year wheat, six years maize and one year rapeseed, and wheat was grown the year before the 2014 experiment. The maize sowing season ranges from middle April to middle May in the area. Maize seeds (*Zea mays* 'Seiddi'; Dauphinoise Company, France) were sown on April 18 (FC) and 23 (C and L) in 2014 and April 30 (C) and May 11 (FC and L) in 2015. Five replicate plots, which were 12 (FC and C) or 8 (L) maize rows wide and 12 m long, were defined in each field. The fields were undergoing a reduction in chemical fertilization usage and did not receive any nitrogen fertilizers in 2014 and 2015. Only non-inoculated plots from the overall trial (Rozier et al. 2017) were used.

120 **2.2. Plant sampling** 

In 2014 and 2015, plants were sampled at six leaves and at flowering. In 2014, the first sampling was done on May 25 (FC) and 26 (C and L). On each replicate plot, six plants were chosen randomly, the entire root system was dug up and shaken vigorously to dislodge soil loosely

adhering to the roots. At sites FC and C, one pooled sample of six roots system was obtained per plot, i.e. a total of five pooled samples per field site. At site L, each of the six roots system was treated individually to obtain 30 samples. The second sampling was done on July 8 (FC and C) and 9 (L), on all five plots. Six plants were sampled per plot and treated individually to obtain 30 samples per field site. In 2015, the first sampling was done on May 27 (C), June 5 (FC) and June 8 (L). In each replicate plot, four root systems were sampled and treated individually to obtain 20 samples per field site. The second sampling was done on July 15 (C), 16 (FC) and 17 (L), and four root systems were sampled and treated individually to obtain 20 samples per field site. Each sample was immediately flash-frozen on site, in liquid nitrogen, and lyophilized back at the laboratory (at -50°C for 24 h). Roots and their adhering soil were separated and the latter stored at -80°C. 2.3. DNA extraction from root-adhering soil DNA from root-adhering soil was extracted with the FastDNA SPIN kit (BIO 101 Inc., Carlsbad, CA). To this end, 500 mg (for the pooled samples from FC and C in 2014) or 300 mg samples (for all other samples) were transferred in Lysing Matrix E tubes from the kit, and 5 µl of the internal standard APA9 (10<sup>9</sup> copies ml<sup>-1</sup>) was added to each Lysing Matrix E tube to normalize DNA extraction efficiencies between rhizosphere samples, as described (Park and Crowley, 2005; Couillerot et al. 2010). This internal standard APA9 (i.e. vector pUC19 with cassava virus insert; GenBank accession number AJ427910) requires primers AV1f (CACCATGTCGAAGCGACCAGGAGATATCATC) and AV1r (TTTCGATTTGTGACGTGGACAGTGGGGGC). After 1 h incubation at 4°C, DNA was extracted and eluted in 50 µl of sterile ultra-pure water, according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentrations were assessed by Picogreen (ThermoFisher).

149	
150	2.4. Size of microbial functional groups
151	The amounts of <i>nifH</i> genes were estimated by quantitative PCR based on the primers polF/polR
152	(Poly, Jocteur Monrozier, and Bally, 2001), as described by Bouffaud et al. (2016). The reaction
153	was carried out in 20 $\mu l$ containing 4 $\mu l$ of PCR-grade water, 1 $\mu l$ of each primer (final
154	concentration 0.50 $\mu$ M), 10 $\mu$ l of LightCycler-DNA Master SYBR Green I master mix (Roche
155	Applied Science, Meylan, France) and 2 $\mu l$ of sample DNA (10 $\mu g$ ). The cycling program
156	included 10 min incubation at 95°C, followed by 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 64°C for 15 s and
157	72°C for 10 s. Melting curve calculation and Tm determination were performed using the Tm
158	Calling Analysis module of Light-Cycler Software v.1.5 (Roche Applied Science).
159	The amount of acdS genes was estimated by quantitative PCR based on the primers
160	acdSF5/acdSR8 (Bouffaud et al. 2018). The reaction was carried out in 20 $\mu$ l containing 4 $\mu$ l of
161	PCR grade water, 1 $\mu$ l of each primer (final concentration 1 $\mu$ M), 10 $\mu$ l of LightCycler-DNA
162	Master SYBR Green I master mix (Roche Applied Science) and 2 $\mu$ l of sample DNA (10 $\mu$ g).
163	The cycling program included 10 min incubation at 95°C, followed by 50 cycles of 94°C for
164	15 s, 67°C for 15 s and 72°C for 10 s. The fusion program for melting curve analysis is described
165	above.
166	Real-time PCR quantification data were converted to gene copy number per gram of
167	lyophilized root-adhering soil, as described (Bouffaud et al. 2018; Bouffaud et al. 2016).
168	
169	2.5. nifH, acdS and rrs sequencing from rhizosphere DNA
170	Sequencing was performed on 2015' samples taken when maize reached 6 leaves. Each sample
171	was an equimolar composite sample of four DNA extracts obtained from root-adherent soil,
172	resulting in 5 samples per field site, i.e. a total of 15 samples. DNA extracts were sent to MR
	<ol> <li>149</li> <li>150</li> <li>151</li> <li>152</li> <li>153</li> <li>154</li> <li>155</li> <li>156</li> <li>157</li> <li>158</li> <li>159</li> <li>160</li> <li>161</li> <li>162</li> <li>163</li> <li>164</li> <li>165</li> <li>166</li> <li>167</li> <li>168</li> <li>169</li> <li>170</li> <li>171</li> <li>172</li> </ol>

<sup>58</sup> 173 DNA laboratory (www.mrdnalab.com; Shallowater, TX) for sequencing.

For *nifH* and *acdS* sequencing, PCR primers were the same ones used for quantitative PCR (i.e., polF/polR for *nifH* and acdSF5/acdSR8 for *acdS*). For *rrs* sequencing, PCR primers 515/806 were chosen for the V4 variable region of the 16S rRNA gene. For all three genes, the forward primer carried a barcode. Primers were used in a 30-cycle PCR (5 cycles implemented on PCR products), using the HotStarTaq Plus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) under the following conditions: 94°C for 3 min, followed by 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 40 s and 72°C for 1 min, with a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were checked in 2% agarose gel to determine amplification success and relative band intensity. Multiple samples were pooled together in equal proportions based on their molecular weight and DNA concentrations. Pooled samples were purified using calibrated Ampure XP beads and used to prepare a DNA library following Illumina TruSeq DNA library preparation protocol. Sequencing was performed on a MiSeq following the manufacturer's guidelines.

Sequence data were processed using the analysis pipeline of MR DNA. Briefly, sequences were depleted of barcodes, sequences < 150 bp or with ambiguous base calls removed, the remaining sequences denoised, operational taxonomic units (OTUs; defined at 3% divergence threshold for the three genes) generated, and chimeras removed. Final OTUs were taxonomically classified using BLASTn against a curated database derived from Greengenes (DeSantis et al. 2006), RDPII (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu) and NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Final OTUs of the *acdS* sequencing were classified using an in-house curated *acdS* database, obtained after curation of *acdS* homolog genes from the FunGene *acdS* 8.3 database, as described by Bouffaud et al. (2018). Diversity indices of Shannon (H) and Simpson (1-D) were calculated using sequencing subsample data for which each sample had the same number of sequences.

197An acdS phylogenetic tree (based on maximum-likelihood method) was computed using198acdS sequences from ten arbitrarily-chosen OTUs per genus recovered in our sequencing data

Page 57 of 84

and from one reference taxa for each genus, and related D-cystein desulfhydrase genes Dcystein desulfhydrase genes from strains *Escherichia coli* strains K-12, ER3413, 042 and
RM9387, *Escherichia albertii* KF1, *Escherichia fergusonii* ATCC 35469, *Enterobacter sacchari* SP1, *Enterobacter cloacae* ECNIH2, *Enterobacter asburiae* L1, *Enterobacter* sp. 638
and *Enterobacter lignolyticus* SCF1 (used as out-group).

**2.6. Statistical analysis** 

Statistical analysis of quantitative PCR data was carried out by ANOVA and Fishers' LSD tests. For each gene sequenced, comparison of bacterial diversity between field sites was carried out by Between-Class Analysis (BCA) using ADE4 (Chessel et al. 2004; Culhane et al. 2005; Dray, Dufour, and Chessel, 2007) and ggplot2 packages for R, and the 12 genera contributing most to field site differentiation were identified. To assess co-trends between nifH and acdS variables, as well as between *rrs* and *nifH* or *acdS* variables, sequence data were also assessed using co-inertia analysis (CIA) (Dray et al. 2003; Dray et al. 2007), which was computed with the ADE4 package in the R statistical software environment (Culhane et al. 2005). CIA is a dimensional reduction procedure designed to measure the similarity of two sets of variables, here the proportions of *nifH* and *acdS* bacterial genera obtained during between-class analyses. Its significance was assessed using Monte-Carlo tests with 10,000 permutations. Unless otherwise stated, statistical analyses were performed using R v3.1.3 (Team, 2014), at P < 0.05level.

- - **2.7.** Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

Illumina MiSeq paired-end reads have been deposited in the European Bioinformatics Institute
(EBI) database under accession numbers PRJEB14347 (ERP015984) for *rrs*; PRJEB14346
(ERP015983) for *nifH*, PRJEB14343 (ERP015981) for *acdS*.

**3. RESULTS** 3.1. Relation between numbers of *nifH* and *acdS* alleles in the three field sites The number of acdS bacteria in the rhizosphere of maize harvested at 6-leaf stage in 2014 (7.87 to  $17.4 \times 10^7$  acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) and 2015 (1.76 to  $2.81 \times 10^7$  acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) did not differ significantly between field sites (Figure 1AB). At flowering stage, however, the number of acdS bacteria differed from one site to the next, both in 2014 and in 2015 (Figure 1EF). At that growth stage, the lowest rhizosphere abundance was observed in site L (5.08  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) and the highest in site C (1.76  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) in 2014, whereas site ranking was the opposite in 2015 (8.35 versus  $44.0 \times 10^6$  acdS gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil for sites C and L, respectively). The numbers of *nifH* rhizobacteria differed according to field site (Figure 1CDGH). In 2014, the lowest *nifH* abundance was observed in rhizospheres of site L (1.06 and  $20.8 \times 10^7$ ) *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil at respectively six leaves and flowering) and the highest in those of site C (6.43 and  $147.0 \times 10^7$  nifH gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil at respectively six leaves and flowering) (Figure 1CG). In 2015, the numbers of *nifH* rhizobacteria was higher in site C (9.31  $\times 10^8$  nifH gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) than in FC (1.30  $\times 10^8$  nifH gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) and L (2.52  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) at six leaves, whereas the situation was opposite at flowering, with higher abundance in site L ( $40.7 \times 10^7$  *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) than C (9.81  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) and FC (5.66  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> *nifH* gene copies g<sup>-1</sup> of dry soil) (Figure 1DH). When comparing the log numbers of *nifH* rhizobacteria and *acdS* rhizobacteria across 

the 12 site × sampling combinations, significant  $(3.8 \times 10^{-5} < P < 0.01)$  positive correlations (0.67 < r < 0.98, n = 20) were found in 9 of 12 cases, with only three correlations that were not

significant, i.e. in site C at 6-leaf stage in 2014 (P = 0.10, n = 5) and FC at flowering in 2014 (P = 0.67, n = 5) and 2015 (P = 0.19, n = 20) (Figure 2). In summary, moderate but significant differences in the numbers of *nifH* and/or *acdS* rhizobacteria could take place according to field site, sampling year and/or maize phenology, and in most cases a positive correlation was found between the log values of both numbers.

### **3.2.** Relation between diversities of *nifH* and *acdS* alleles in the three field sites

Illumina MiSeq sequencing of *nifH* and *acdS* (as well as *rrs*) was carried out on 15 rhizosphere samples from 6-leaf maize grown in 2015. For nifH, 1,342,966 reads were obtained (10,775 to 62,752 sequences per sample), for a total of 36,241 OTUs. Rarefaction analysis showed that curves reached a plateau (Figure S1A). Subsampling was done with 10,775 sequences per sample, for a total of 34,459 OTUs. For acdS, 5,490,230 reads were obtained (68,376 to 139,245 sequences per sample), with a total of 32,468 OTUs. Rarefaction curves reached a plateau (Figure S1B). Subsampling was done with 68,376 sequences per sample, for a total of 26,246 OTUs. After quality filtering, 6,082,255 reads were obtained for rrs (51,696 to 223,926 sequences per sample), giving a total of 39,600 OTUs (3% cut-off). Rarefaction analysis showed that the sequencing effort captured most of the diversity with curves reaching a plateau (Figure S1C). Subsampling was done with 51,696 sequences per sample, for a total of 25,437 OTUs.

The effect of field site on *nifH* diversity of diazotrophic bacteria was not significant based on analysis of Shannon and Simpson indices. Conversely, the effect of field site on *acdS* diversity of ACC deaminase bacteria was significant based on the Shannon ( $P = 1.9. \times 10^{-4}$ ) and Simpson indices ( $P = 8.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ). The Shannon index was lower in FC (6.32) than in L (6.82) and C (6.92), whereas the Simpson index was higher in FC (6.42 × 10<sup>-3</sup>) than in L (2.88 × 10<sup>-3</sup>) and C (2.38 × 10<sup>-3</sup>). The effect of field site on *rrs* diversity of the total bacterial

community was significant based on the Shannon ( $P = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ) and Simpson indices ( $P = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ). As in the case of *acdS* data, the Shannon index was lower in FC (7.20) than in L (7.41) and C (7.71), whereas the Simpson index was higher in FC ( $3.42 \times 10^{-3}$ ) than in L ( $2.28 \times 10^{-2}$ <sup>3</sup>) and C ( $1.40 \times 10^{-3}$ ).

The correlation (n = 5) between *nifH* diversity and *acdS* diversity was positive and significant at site L, when considering both the Shannon index (r = 0.98; P = 0.01; Figure 3) and the Simpson index (r = 0.86; P = 0.06; Figure 3). However, the correlation was not significant at the other two sites, regardless of the diversity index. When considering also rrs diversity, a significant correlation was found only with *nifH* diversity at site C (r = 0.91; P =0.03; Figure 3). In summary, there was no relation between the diversities of *nifH* rhizobacteria and acdS rhizobacteria, based on comparison of diversity indices in the three field sites and correlation analyses at two of the three field sites.

Review

3.3. Relation between prevalence of *nifH* and/or *acdS* rhizobacterial taxa in the three field
sites

Between-class analysis of *nifH* data showed that the composition of diazotrophic bacteria differed according to field site (Figure 4A). The first axis (54% of between-class variability) distinguished site C from FC and L, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation were Xanthobacter, Dechloromonas, Methyloferula, Ideonella, Nitrospirillum and Tolumonas (more prevalent in C than in L and FC), as well as Desulfovibrio, Selenomonas, Ruminiclostridium, Paludibacter, Gloeocapsopsis and Ruminococcus (less prevalent in C than in FC and L). The second axis (46% of between-class variability) distinguished site L from the two other sites, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation included *Rhizobium*, Gluconacetobacter, Skermanella, Leptothrix, Streptomyces and Methylocapsa (more prevalent in L than in FC and C), as well as *Marichromatium*, *Pelobacter*, *Gordonibacter*, *Desulfobulbus*, Desulfovibrio and Sideroxydan (less prevalent in L than in C and FC).

Between-class analysis of *acdS* data showed that the composition of ACC deaminase bacteria differed according to field site (Figure 4B). The first axis (66% of between-class variability) distinguished site C from FC and L, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation were Achromobacter, Azospirillum, Pseudolabrys, Roseovarius, one unassigned OTU and Polaromonas (more prevalent in C than in L and FC), as well as Cupriavidus, Burkholderia, Bosea, Bradyrhizobium and Methylobacterium (less prevalent in C than in FC and L). The second axis (34% of between-class variability) distinguished each of the three sites from one another, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation included Azorhizobium, Pseudomonas, Gluconobacter, Collimonas, Herbaspirillum and Burkholderia (more prevalent in FC than in C and L), as well as *Ralstonia*, *Loktanella*, *Devosia*, *Variovorax*, *Novosphingobium* and *Chelatococcus* (more prevalent in L than in C and FC).

Between-class analysis of rrs data showed that the composition of the total bacterial community differed according to field site (Figure 4C). The first axis (71% of between-class variability) distinguished C from the two other sites, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation were Algisphaera, Fibrobacter, Amaricoccus, Hirschia, Desulfacinum and Saccharophagus (more prevalent in C than in L and FC), as well as Actinomadura, Lutispora, Bacillus, Rhodopseudomonas, Kouleothrix and Roseiflexus (less prevalent in C than in FC and L). The second axis (29% of between-class variability) distinguished site L from FC and C, and the 12 genera contributing most to this differentiation included Flavobacterium, Gluconobacter, Maricaulis, Prolixibacter, 'Candidatus Xiphinematobacter', Chthoniobacter (more prevalent in FC than L), as well as *Conexibacter*, *Hyphomicrobium*, *Pseudonocardia*, *Tumebacillus, Chelatococcus* and *Mycobacterium* (less prevalent in FC than in L). 

In summary, between-class analysis of *nifH* and *acdS* data indicated that the composition of diazotrophic bacteria and of ACC deaminase bacteria differed according to field site, but the main discriminant genera differed completely for both types of bacteria. In both cases, the discriminant taxa were also different from the main range of bacterial taxa distinguishing the three sites most when comparing the latter based on *rrs* data, at the scale of the entire rhizobacterial community.

<sup>2</sup> 328

# 329 3.4. Relation between the genetic structures of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria in the three 330 field sites

Since there was a positive correlation between log numbers of *nifH* and/or *acdS* rhizobacteria but the corresponding bacterial genera discriminating most between the three fields studied were not the same, the co-structuration between *nifH* and *acdS* diversity was explored by coinertia analysis to compare more globally the genetic structures of these rhizobacterial groups across the three field sites. Monte-Carlo permutation tests showed a significant co-structuration

 $(P = 9 \times 10^{-5})$  of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacteria, with a RV coefficient of 0.83. This accounted for 337 57% of data variability. The plot of the co-inertia matrix illustrates the strength of the 338 relationship between *acdS* and *nifH* diversities, as superposition of *acdS* and *nifH* groups 339 showed a strong co-trend in all three field sites (Figure 5).

Co-inertia analyses of *nifH* and *acdS* diversities were also performed with *rrs* diversity, and permutations tests also showed co-structuration in both cases, with respectively RV coefficients of 0.89 and 0.91, the two axes explaining 52% and 69% of variability. Superposition of *rrs* community with *acdS* and with *nifH* groups indicated a strong co-trend across the three fields.

In summary, the genetic structures of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacterial groups across the three field sites were very close. Co-inertia was strong also when comparing each with the whole rhizobacterial community based on *rrs* data.

### 349 4. DISCUSSION

2 350

The current work made use of molecular tools available to characterize functional groups of *nifH* and *acdS* bacteria. Quantification of *nifH* rhizobacteria was performed with primers PolF/PolR (Poly et al. 2001) rather than other well-established primers such as Zf/Zr (Zehr and McReynolds, 1989) since the latter are not effective for quantitative PCR (Boyd and Peters 2013; Gaby and Buckley 2017; Poly et al. 2001). The same primers have also been used for sequencing, both for consistency and efficacy for diazotroph characterization (Mårtensson et al. 2009; Wartiainen et al. 2008). Recently, acdS primers suitable for monitoring of ACC deamination bacteria have been made available (Bouffaud et al. 2018). These primers are effective to amplify true *acdS* genes while not amplifying related D-cystein desulfhydrase genes coding for other PLP-dependent enzymes, which was verified again in the current work (Figure

S2). Indeed, phylogenetic analysis of the *acdS* sequences showed that none clustered within the
out-group (built with strains harboring D-cystein desulfhydrase genes), confirming that the
sequences obtained were true *acdS* sequences, as highlighted in previous studies (Blaha et al.
2006; Bouffaud et al. 2018; Li et al. 2015; Nascimento et al. 2012).

The level of taxonomic information carried by *nifH* sequences has been described in the literature, showing that *nifH* was sufficiently conserved to enable reliable taxonomic affiliations including for the assessment of rhizobacteria (Vinuesa et al. 2005), and its phylogeny was congruent with the one derived from rrs (Achouak et al. 1999; Zehr et al. 2003). As for acdS, phylogenetic analysis of the new sequences obtained (along with reference *acdS* sequences) confirmed that the taxonomic affiliations made at the genus level were correct. However, the 130-bp acdS amplicons obtained with the current quantitative PCR primers do not enable any taxonomic affiliation below the genus level, i.e. at the species level (Bouffaud et al. 2018).

In this work, the hypothesis that *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacterial populations co-occur on roots was assessed with maize taken from three fields, using quantitative PCR and MiSeq sequencing. The results that were obtained did substantiate this hypothesis, based on (i) positive correlations between the sizes of *nifH* and *acdS* rhizobacterial groups, and (ii) comparable genetic structures indicated by inertia analysis for both functional groups across the three field sites studied. Several studies have assessed the co-occurrence of particular microorganisms and measured between-taxa correlations in soil systems (Barberán et al. 2011; Freilich et al. 2010), but few have done so at the level of functional groups. For instance, co-occurrence analysis of nitrite-dependent anaerobic ammonium oxidizers and methane oxidizers in paddy soil showed that the structure of these communities changed with soil depth (Wang et al. 2012). The co-occurrence of plant-beneficial functions in the rhizosphere has been investigated, but often the assessment was restrained to narrow taxonomic levels, such as within the Pseudomonas genus (Almario et al. 2014; Frapolli et al. 2012; Vacheron et al. 2016). It is interesting to note that not

### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

all microorganisms harboring *acdS* and/or *nifH* expressed the corresponding functions in
rhizosphere based on assessment of qRT-PCR data, as previously described for *nifH* (Bouffaud
et al. 2016) or *acdS* (Bouffaud et al. 2018).

Specific taxa can be selected by environmental conditions prevailing on plant roots (Bakker et al. 2014; Berg and Smalla, 2009; Raaijmakers et al. 2009; Vandenkoornhuyse et al. 2015). Thus, a first possibility to account for the co-occurrence of both functional groups could be that both *nifH* bacteria and *acdS* bacteria do well in the maize rhizosphere. Indeed, both types of bacteria are readily found on roots (Almario et al. 2014; Arruda et al. 2013; Blaha et al. 2006; Bruto et al. 2014; Bruto et al. 2014; Mårtensson et al. 2009). Such co-occurrence would make sense in ecological terms, because associative nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination are functions limiting plant nutrient deficiency by supplying nitrogen (Pii et al. 2015) and enhancing root system development (thereby improving uptake of mineral nutriments including nitrogen) (Glick, 2014), respectively.

A second possibility could be that bacteria that harbor both genes/functions are well adapted to maize roots. Indeed, Bruto et al. (2014) showed that the nif operon co-occurred with acdS in several bacterial clades, and for instance the genera Bradyrhizobium or Burkholderia contain several species harboring both functions (Bruto et al. 2014). Furthermore, the co-inertia between these two functional groups and the total community raises the possibility that additional functions could also be present in addition to associative nitrogen fixation and ACC deamination. Indeed, comparative genomics studies showed that bacterial taxa display multiple specific functions, including plant interaction functions (Bruto et al. 2014; Lassalle et al. 2015; Vacheron et al. 2017), and thus these functions would also be co-selected when selecting the corresponding rrs-based taxa. In the current study, Bradyrhizobium represented 17 to 25% of acdS<sup>+</sup> bacteria and 20 to 42% of *nifH*<sup>+</sup> bacteria in the maize rhizosphere, and the high proportion of this bacterial clade may contribute to the co-occurence of diazotrophs and ACC deaminase

411 producers that was found. However, when the 10,369 completely-sequenced bacterial genomes 412 available in the NCBI database were screened, it showed that 833 of them harbored *acdS* and 413 461 others *nifH*, but only 122 genomes had both genes. Therefore, it could be that this second 414 possibility is insufficient for a complete explanation of the current findings.

A third possibility to consider is the joint occurrence of both functions in the rhizosphere, regardless of the taxa harboring them, thereby providing functional redundancy (Shade and Handelsman, 2012). Several studies in soil or aquatic settings have suggested that the metabolic/functional potential of microbial communities rather than their taxonomic variations are closely related to environmental conditions (Bouffaud et al. 2018; Burke et al. 2011; Louca et al. 2016; Louca et al. 2017). These observations were conceptualized as the "It's the song, not the singer" theory (ITSNTS; Doolittle and Booth 2017), i.e. functional groups within microbial communities (the songs) would be better conserved and more relevant ecologically than the taxa themselves (the singers). Consistent with the ITSNTS theory, our study suggests that the assembly of the rhizosphere microbial community would entail a balance between phytostimulation-relevant genes, which may be needed to achieve an effective holobiont (i.e., the plant host and its functional microbiota), and points to the preponderance of functional interactions within the plant holobiont. This hypothesis, which has been put forward recently for root-associated microorganisms (Lemanceau et al. 2017), remains speculative at this stag<mark>e and deserves further research attention. In particular, methodology development is a</mark> needed to enable direct assessment of key plant-beneficial groups when parallel monitoring of several genes is required (e.g. for auxin production or P solubilization, which entail many genetic pathways), in contrast to ACC deamination and N fixation for which analysis of a single gene (acdS and nifH, respectively) may suffice. 

To test whether the current findings could be also relevant under other environmental
 434 To test whether the current findings could be also relevant under other environmental
 435 conditions, we reassessed the data obtained for *nifH* (Bouffaud et al. 2016) and *acdS* (Bouffaud

### FEMS Microbiology Ecology

et al. 2018) from two maize lines grown in another soil (luvisol) with different management histories (cropped soil vs meadow soil). A positive correlation (r = 0.45; P = 0.050; n = 20) was found between the numbers of *nifH* and *acdS* bacteria in the monocropping soil but not in meadow soil (P = 0.75; n = 10), suggesting that maize monocropping history could have been an important factor. However, these findings were obtained with young plants only (21 days), grown in sieved soil under greenhouse conditions. In conclusion, the current findings indicate that rhizobacteria with nitrogen fixation capacity and counterparts harboring ACC deamination ability co-occur in the maize rhizosphere, pointing to the possibility that plants may rely on multiple, complementary phytostimulatory functions provided by their microbial partners. Additional method development is needed to extend this type of assessment to additional phytostimulatory groups and other microbial functional groups important for plant performance. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** This work was supported in part by project Azodure (ANR Agrobiosphère ANR-12-AGRO-0008). We are grateful to J. Haurat and H. Brunet for technical help, as well as D. Abrouk (iBio platform, UMR CNRS 5557 Écologie Microbienne) and J. Thioulouse (UMR CNRS LBBE) for helpful discussion. The authors declare no conflict of interest. **DATA ACCESSIBILITY** Illumina MiSeq paired-end reads have been deposited in the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) database under accession numbers PRJEB14347 (ERP015984) for rrs; PRJEB14346 (ERP015983) for nifH, PRJEB14343 (ERP015981) for acdS. 

- 56 459
- 460 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LL, YML and DM designed the project, SR, LL, CPC, YML and DM carried out field work
and samplings, SR conducted the molecular work, SR, MLB and AD implemented
bioinformatic analyses, SR, YML and DM analyzed data, SR, YML and DM prepared the first
draft of the manuscript, which was finalized by all authors.

to per per perez

1 2		
3 4	466	REFERENCES
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 28 9 30 31 23 34 35 36 37 8 9 40 41 42 44 45	467	
	468	Achouak W, Normand P, Heulin T. Comparative phylogeny of rrs and nifH genes in the Bacillaceae.
	469	Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 1999;49(3):961-967. doi:10.1099/00207713-49-3-961
	470	Agaras BC, Scandiani M, Luque A et al. Quantification of the potential biocontrol and direct plant
	471	growth promotion abilities based on multiple biological traits distinguish different groups of
	472	Pseudomonas spp. isolates. Biological Control 2015;90:173-186.
	473	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.07.003
	474	Almario J, Gobbin D, Défago G et al. Prevalence of type III secretion system in effective biocontrol
	475	pseudomonads. Res Microbiol 2014;165(4):300-304.
	476	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2014.03.008
	477	Almario J, Muller D, Défago G et al. Rhizosphere ecology and phytoprotection in soils naturally
	478	suppressive to Thielaviopsis black root rot of tobacco. Environ Microbiol 2014;16(7):1949-
	479	1960. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12459
	480	Arruda L, Beneduzi A, Martins A et al. Screening of rhizobacteria isolated from maize (Zea mays L.)
	481	in Rio Grande do Sul State (South Brazil) and analysis of their potential to improve plant
	482	growth. Appl Soil Ecol 2013;63:15-22. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.09.001
	483	Bakker MG, Schlatter DC, Otto-Hanson L et al. Diffuse symbioses: roles of plant-plant, plant-
	484	microbe and microbe-microbe interactions in structuring the soil microbiome. Mol Ecol
	485	2014;23(6):1571-1583. doi:10.1111/mec.12571
46 47	486	Barberán A, Bates ST, Casamayor EO et al. Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	487	in soil microbial communities. ISME J 2011;6:343-351. doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.119
	488	https://www.nature.com/articles/ismej2011119#supplementary-information
	489	Bashan Y, de-Bashan LE. Chapter Two - How the plant growth-promoting bacterium Azospirillum
	490	promotes plant growth—A critical assessment. In: Sparks DL (ed). Advances in Agronomy.
57 58 59	491	Academic Press, 2010, 108, 77-136.
60		

3 4	492	Bashan Y, de-Bashan LE, Prabhu SR et al. Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant								
5 6	493	technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998-2013). Plant Soil 2014;378(1):1-								
7 8	494	33. doi:10.1007/s11104-013-1956-x								
9 10	495	Berg G, Smalla K. Plant species and soil type cooperatively shape the structure and function of								
11 12	496	microbial communities in the rhizosphere. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2009;68(1):1-13.								
13 14	497	doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00654.x								
15 16	498	Bertalan M, Albano R, de Pádua V et al. Complete genome sequence of the sugarcane nitrogen-fixing								
17 18 10	499	endophyte Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus Pal5. BMC Genomics 2009;10(1):450.								
19 20 21	500	doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-450								
21 22 23	501	Blaha D, Prigent-Combaret C, Mirza MS et al. Phylogeny of the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic								
24 25	502	acid deaminase-encoding gene acdS in phytobeneficial and pathogenic Proteobacteria and								
26 27	503	relation with strain biogeography. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2006;56(3):455-470.								
28 29	504	doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00082.x								
30 31	505	Bouffaud M-L, Renoud S, Dubost A et al. 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase producers								
32 33	506	associated to maize and other Poaceae species. <i>Microbiome</i> 2018;6(1):114.								
34 35	507	doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0503-7								
36 37	508	Bouffaud M-L, Renoud S, Moënne-Loccoz Y et al. Is plant evolutionary history impacting recruitment								
38 39 40	509	of diazotrophs and <i>nifH</i> expression in the rhizosphere? Sci Rep 2016;6:21690.								
40 41 42	510	doi:10.1038/srep21690 http://www.nature.com/articles/srep21690#supplementary-information								
43 44	511	Boyd E, Peters J. New insights into the evolutionary history of biological nitrogen fixation. Front								
45 46	512	Microbiol 2013;4:201. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00201								
47 48	513	Bruto M, Prigent-Combaret C, Luis P et al. Frequent, independent transfers of a catabolic gene from								
49 50	514	bacteria to contrasted filamentous eukaryotes. Proc R Soc Lond B: Biol Sci 2014;281:1789.								
51 52	515	doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0848								
53 54	516	Bruto M, Prigent-Combaret C, Muller D et al. Analysis of genes contributing to plant-beneficial								
55 56	517	functions in plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and related Proteobacteria. Sci Rep								
57 58 59 60	518	2014;4:6261. doi:10.1038/srep06261								
2 3	519	Burke C. Steinberg P. Rusch D <i>et al.</i> Bacterial community assembly based on functional genes rather								
----------------	-----	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
4 5	520	than species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011:108(34):14288-14293.								
6 7 8	521	doi:10.1073/pnas.1101591108								
9 10 11	522	Cassán F, Vanderleyden J, Spaepen S. Physiological and agronomical aspects of phytohormone								
12 13	523	production by model Plant-Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) belonging to the genus								
14 15 16	524	Azospirillum. J. Plant Growth Regul 2014;33(2):440-459. doi:10.1007/s00344-013-9362-4								
17 18	525	Chen XH, Koumoutsi A, Scholz R et al. Comparative analysis of the complete genome sequence of								
19 20	526	the plant growth-promoting bacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42. Nature Biotec								
20 21 22	527	2007;25:1007. doi:10.1038/nbt1325 https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt1325#supplementa								
23 24	528	information								
25 26	529	Chessel D, Dufour AB, Thioulouse J. The ade4 package-I-One-table methods. <i>R News</i> 2004;4(1):5-10.								
27 28	530	Cormier F, Foulkes J, Hirel B et al. Breeding for increased nitrogen-use efficiency: a review for wheat								
29 30	531	(T. aestivum L.). Plant Breeding 2016;135(3):255-278. doi:10.1111/pbr.12371								
31 32	532	Couillerot O, Ramírez-Trujillo A, Walker V et al. Comparison of prominent Azospirillum strains in								
33 34 35	533	Azospirillum–Pseudomonas–Glomus consortia for promotion of maize growth. Appl								
36 37	534	Microbiol Biotechnol 2013;97(10):4639-4649. doi:10.1007/s00253-012-4249-z								
38 39	535	Culhane AC, Thioulouse J, Perrière G et al. MADE4: an R package for multivariate analysis of gene								
40 41	536	expression data. Bioinformatics 2005;21(11):2789-2790. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti394								
42 43	537	Deynze A, Zamora P, Delaux P-M et al. Nitrogen fixation in a landrace of maize is supported by a								
45 46	538	mucilage-associated diazotrophic microbiota. PLoS Biol 2018;16(8):e2006352. https://doi-								
47 48	539	org.inee.bib.cnrs.fr/10.1371/journal.pbio.2006352								
49 50	540	DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N et al. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database								
51 52	541	and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72(7):5069-5072.								
53 54	542	doi:10.1128/aem.03006-05								
55 56	543	Doolittle WF, Booth A. It's the song, not the singer: an exploration of holobiosis and evolutionary								
57 58 59	544	theory. Biol Philos 2017;32(1):5-24. doi:10.1007/s10539-016-9542-2								
60										

545	Dray S, Chessel D, Thioulouse J. Co-inertia analysis and the linking of ecological data tables. <i>Ecology</i>								
546	2003;84(11):3078-3089. doi:10.1890/03-0178								
547	Dray S, Dufour AB, Chessel D. The ade4 Package—II: Two-table and K-table methods. R News								
548	2007;7:47-52.								
549	Duan J, Müller KM, Charles T et al. 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase genes in								
550	Rhizobia from southern Saskatchewan. Microb Ecol 2009;57(3):423-436.								
551	doi:10.1007/s00248-008-9407-6								
552	Frapolli M, Pothier JF, Défago G et al. Evolutionary history of synthesis pathway genes for								
553	phloroglucinol and cyanide antimicrobials in plant-associated fluorescent pseudomonads. Mol								
554	Phylogenet Evol 2012;63(3):877-890. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.02.030								
555	Freilich S, Kreimer A, Meilijson I et al. The large-scale organization of the bacterial network of								
556	ecological co-occurrence interactions. Nucleic Acids Res 2010;38(12):3857-3868.								
557	doi:10.1093/nar/gkq118								
558	Gaby JC, Buckley DH. The use of degenerate primers in qPCR analysis of functional genes can cause								
559	dramatic quantification bias as revealed by investigation of <i>nifH</i> primer performance. <i>Microb</i>								
560	Ecol 2017;74(3):701-708. doi:10.1007/s00248-017-0968-0								
561	Gamalero E, Glick BR. Bacterial modulation of plant ethylene levels. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 2015;169(1):13-								
562	22. doi:10.1104/pp.15.00284								
563	Glick BR. Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world.								
564	Microbiol Res 2014;169(1):30-39. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.09.009								
565	Hartman K, van der Heijden MGA, Wittwe RA et al. Cropping practices manipulate abundance								
566	patterns of root and soil microbiome members paving the way to smart farming. Microbiome								
567	2018;6(1):14. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0389-9								
568	Jha B, Gontia I, Hartmann A. The roots of the halophyte Salicornia brachiata are a source of new								
569	halotolerant diazotrophic bacteria with plant growth-promoting potential. Plant Soil								
570	2012;356(1):265-277. doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0877-9								
	<ul> <li>545</li> <li>546</li> <li>547</li> <li>548</li> <li>549</li> <li>550</li> <li>551</li> <li>552</li> <li>553</li> <li>554</li> <li>555</li> <li>556</li> <li>557</li> <li>558</li> <li>559</li> <li>560</li> <li>561</li> <li>562</li> <li>563</li> <li>564</li> <li>565</li> <li>566</li> <li>567</li> <li>568</li> <li>569</li> <li>570</li> </ul>								

Page 73 of 84

1 ว											
2 3 4	571	Lassalle F, Muller D, Nesme X. Ecological speciation in bacteria: reverse ecology approaches reveal									
5 6	572	the adaptive part of bacterial cladogenesis. Res Microbiol 2015;166(10):729-741.									
7 8	573	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2015.06.008									
9 10	574	Lemanceau P, Blouin M, Muller D, et al. Let the core microbiota be functional. Trends Plant Sci									
11 12	575	2017;22(7):583-595. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.04.008									
13 14	576	Li Z, Chang S, Ye S et al. Differentiation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase									
15 16	577	from its homologs is the key for identifying bacteria containing ACC deaminase. FEMS									
17 18 10	578	Microbiol Ecol 2015;91(10):fiv112-fiv112. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiv112									
19 20 21	579	Louca S, Parfrey LW, Doebeli M. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome.									
21 22 23	580	Science 2016;353(6305):1272-1277. doi:10.1126/science.aaf4507									
23 24 25	581	Louca S, Jacques SMS, Pires APF et al. High taxonomic variability despite stable functional structure									
26 27	582	across microbial communities. Nat Ecol Evol 2017;1:0015. doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0015									
28 29	583	Ma W, Guinel FC, Glick, BR. Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar viciae 1-aminocyclopropane-1-									
30 31	584	carboxylate deaminase promotes nodulation of pea plants. Appl Environ Microbiol									
32 33	585	2003;69(8):4396-4402. doi:10.1128/aem.69.8.4396-4402.2003									
34 35	Mårtensson L, Díez B, Wartiainen I et al. Diazotrophic diversity, nifH gene expression and										
36 37	587	nitrogenase activity in a rice paddy field in Fujian, China. Plant Soil 2009;325(1):207-218.									
38 39	588	doi:10.1007/s11104-009-9970-8									
40 41 42	589	Nascimento FX, Brígido C, Glick BR et al. ACC deaminase genes are conserved among									
42 43 44	590	Mesorhizobium species able to nodulate the same host plant. FEMS Microbiol Lett									
45 46	591	2012; <i>336</i> (1):26-37. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02648.x									
47 48	592	Nukui N, Minamisawa K, Ayabe S-I et al. Expression of the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid									
49 50	593	deaminase gene requires symbiotic nitrogen-fixing regulator gene nifA2 in Mesorhizobium loti									
51 52	594	MAFF303099. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72(7):4964-4969. doi:10.1128/aem.02745-05									
53 54	595	Pieterse CMJ, Van Wees SCM. Induced disease resistance. In: Lugtenberg B (ed). Principles of Plant-									
55 56	596	Microbe Interactions: Microbes for Sustainable Agriculture. Springer International									
57 58 59 60	597	Publishing, 2015, 123-33.									

FEMS Microbiology Ecology

1 2 Page 74 of 84

3 4	598	Pii Y, Mimmo T, Tomasi N et al. Microbial interactions in the rhizosphere: beneficial influences of										
5 6	599	plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on nutrient acquisition process. A review. Biol Fertil										
7 8	600	Soils 2015;51(4):403-415. doi:10.1007/s00374-015-0996-1										
9 10	601	Poly F, Jocteur Monrozier L, Bally R. Improvement in the RFLP procedure for studying the diversity										
11 12	602	of <i>nifH</i> genes in communities of nitrogen fixers in soil. <i>Res Microbiol</i> 2001;152(1):95-103.										
13 14	603	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-2508(00)01172-4										
15 16	604	Prigent-Combaret C, Blaha D, Pothier J et al. Physical organization and phylogenetic analysis of acdR										
17 18	605	as leucine-responsive regulator of the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase gene										
19 20 21	606	acdS in phytobeneficial Azospirillum lipoferum 4B and other Proteobacteria. FEMS										
21 22 23	607	Microbiol Ecol 2008;65(2):202-219. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00474.x										
23 24 25	608	Puri A, Padda KP, Chanway CP. Evidence of nitrogen fixation and growth promotion in canola										
26 27	609	(Brassica napus L.) by an endophytic diazotroph Paenibacillus polymyxa P2b-2R. Biol Fertil										
28 29	610	Soils 2016;52(1):119-125. doi:10.1007/s00374-015-1051-y										
30 31	611	Raaijmakers JM, Paulitz TC, Steinberg C et al. The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for										
32 33	612	soilborne pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. Plant Soil 2009;321(1):341-361.										
34 35	613	doi:10.1007/s11104-008-9568-6										
36 37	614	Rana A, Saharan B, Joshi M et al. Identification of multi-trait PGPR isolates and evaluating their										
38 39 40	615	potential as inoculants for wheat. Ann Microbiol 2011;61(4):893-900. doi:10.1007/s13213-										
40 41 42	616	011-0211-z										
43 44	617	Redondo-Nieto M, Barret M, Morrissey J et al. Genome sequence reveals that Pseudomonas										
45 46	618	fluorescens F113 possesses a large and diverse array of systems for rhizosphere function and										
47 48	619	host interaction. BMC Genomics 2013;14(1):54. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-54										
49 50	620	Rozier C, Hamzaoui J, Lemoine D et al. Field-based assessment of the mechanism of maize yield										
51 52	621	enhancement by Azospirillum lipoferum CRT1. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):7416. doi:10.1038/s41598-										
53 54	622	017-07929-8										
55 56	623	Shade A, Handelsman J. Beyond the Venn diagram: the hunt for a core microbiome. Environ										
57 58 59 60	624	<i>Microbiol</i> 2012; <i>14</i> (1):4-12. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02585.x										

3 4 5 6 7 8	625	Team, R. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing 2014. Vienna, Austria: R							
	626	Foundation for Statistical Computing.							
	627	Vacheron J, Desbrosses G, Bouffaud M-L et al. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system							
9 10	628	functioning. Front Plant Sci 2013;4:356. doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00356							
11 12 13 14	629	Vacheron J, Desbrosses G, Renoud S et al. Differential contribution of plant-beneficial functions from							
	630	Pseudomonas kilonensis F113 to root system architecture alterations in Arabidopsis thaliana							
15 16	631	and Zea mays. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 2017;31(2):212-223. doi:10.1094/MPMI-07-17-							
17 18	632	0185-R							
19 20 21	633	Vacheron J, Moënne-Loccoz Y, Dubost A et al. Fluorescent Pseudomonas strains with only few plant-							
21 22 23	634	beneficial properties are favored in the maize rhizosphere. Front Plant Sci 2016;7:1212.							
23 24 25	635	doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01212							
26 27	636	Vandenkoornhuyse P, Quaiser A, Duhamel et al. The importance of the microbiome of the plant							
28 29 30 31 32 33	637	holobiont. New Phytol 2015;206(4):1196-1206. doi:doi:10.1111/nph.13312							
	638	Vinuesa P, Silva C, Lorite MJ et al. Molecular systematics of rhizobia based on maximum likelihood							
	639	and Bayesian phylogenies inferred from <i>rrs</i> , <i>atpD</i> , <i>recA</i> and <i>nifH</i> sequences, and their use in							
34 35	640	the classification of Sesbania microsymbionts from Venezuelan wetlands. Syst Appl Microbiol							
36 37	641	2005;28(8):702-716. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2005.05.007							
38 39 40	642	Wang Y, Zhu G, Harhangi HR et al. Co-occurrence and distribution of nitrite-dependent anaerobic							
40 41 42	643	ammonium and methane-oxidizing bacteria in a paddy soil. FEMS Microbiol Lett							
43 44	644	2012;336(2):79-88. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02654.x							
45 46	645	Wartiainen I, Eriksson T, Zheng W et al. Variation in the active diazotrophic community in rice							
47 48	646	paddy—nifH PCR-DGGE analysis of rhizosphere and bulk soil. Appl Soil Ecol 2008;39(1):65-							
49 50	647	75. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.11.008							
51 52	648	Wisniewski-Dyé F, Lozano L, Acosta-Cruz E et al. Genome sequence of Azospirillum brasilense							
53 54 55 56 57 58 59	649	CBG497 and comparative analyses of Azospirillum core and accessory genomes provide							
	650	insight into niche adaptation. <i>Genes</i> 2012;3(4):576.							
00									

2 3	651	Zehr JP, Jenkins BD, Short SM et al. Nitrogenase gene diversity and microbial community structure: a							
4 5 6 7 8	652	cross-system comparison. Envirol Microbiol 2003;5(7):539-554. doi:10.1046/j.1462-							
	653	2920.2003.00451.x							
9 10	654	Zehr JP, McReynolds LA. Use of degenerate oligonucleotides for amplification of the <i>nifH</i> gene from							
11 12	655	the marine cyanobacterium Trichodesmium thiebautii. Appl Environ Microbiol							
13 14	656	1989;55(10):2522-2526.							
7 8 9 10 11 23 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 24 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	657								

658	Legend
659	
660	FIGURE 1. Size of the <i>acdS</i> and <i>nifH</i> functional groups compared in the three field sites L, FC
661	and C over four sampling times. Means and standard deviations are shown for the acdS group
662	at 6 leaves in 2014 (A) and 2015 (B) and at flowering in 2014 (E) and 2015 (F) and for the <i>nifH</i>
663	group at 6 leaves in 2014 (C) and 2015 (D) and at flowering in 2014 (G) and 2015 (H). The
664	analysis was done using pooled samples of six roots systems ( $n= 5$ ) at FC and C and individual
665	root systems ( $n = 30$ ) at L in 2014, and individual root systems ( $n = 20$ ) at all three sites in 2015.
666	Statistical differences between sites are indicated by letters a-c (ANOVA and Fischer's LSD
667	tests, <i>P</i> < 0.05).
668	
669	FIGURE 2. Correlation between log numbers of <i>nifH</i> (X axis) and <i>acdS</i> genes (Y axis).
670	Correlation was established using the Pearson coefficient. The analysis was done using pooled
671	samples of six roots systems (n= 5) at FC and C and individual root systems (n = 30) at L in
672	2014, and individual root systems ( $n = 20$ ) at all three sites in 2015.
673	
674	FIGURE 3. Correlation between Shannon diversity indices of <i>nifH</i> and <i>acdS</i> (A), Simpson
675	diversity indices of <i>nifH</i> and <i>acdS</i> (B), Shannon diversity indices of <i>rrs</i> and <i>acdS</i> or <i>nifH</i> (C),
676	and Simpson diversity indices of rrs and acdS or nifH (D). Correlation was established
677	separately at each of the three field sites L, FC and C, using the Pearson coefficient ( $n = 5$ ).
678	
679	FIGURE 4. Comparison of <i>nifH</i> (A), <i>acdS</i> (B) and <i>rrs</i> (C) diversity between sites L, FC and C
680	by between-class analysis. Red circles, green triangles and blue squares are used for samples
(01	

from sites FC, C and L, respectively. The curves at the top and the left of the panels show thedistribution of samples on respectively the X and Y axes.

1 2									
3 4 5 6 7 8	683								
	684	FIGURE 5. Co-inertia analysis between <i>acdS</i> and <i>nifH</i> diversities (A), <i>rrs</i> and <i>nifH</i> diversities							
	685	(B) and <i>rrs</i> and <i>acdS</i> diversities (C). Projection of the samples $(n = 5)$ is based on both <i>acdS</i>							
9 10 11	686	(Blue) and nifH (Green), rrs (Grey) and nifH (Green), or rrs (Grey) and acdS (Blue) diversity							
12 13	687	variables (level = genus) into a same factorial plan. The vector in black shows the strength of							
14 15	688	co-trends between the two barycenters of variables as related to each site (L, FC, C). Shorter							
16 17 18	689	vectors indicate stronger convergent trends between the two variable groups.							
19 20	690								
21 22	691								
23 24 25	692	FIGURE S1: Rarefaction curves for <i>nifH</i> (A), <i>acdS</i> (B) and <i>rrs</i> (C) genes.							
23 26 27 28 29	693								
	694	FIGURE S2. RAxML bipartition tree of 3322 sequenced acdS alleles from Poaceae							
30 21	695	rhizosphere. The tree was visualized using iTOL software (Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree							
32	696	Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments (2019) Nucleic Acids Res doi:							
33 34	697	10.1093/nar/gkz239). Branches colored in violet represent the out-group of D-cystein							
35 36	698	desulfhydrase genes, whereas acdS alleles affiliated to Betaproteobacteria are shown in khaki,							
37	699	to Gammaproteobacteria in blue, to Actinobacteria in green, to Alphaproteobacteria in red,							
38 39	700	and to microeukaryotes in orange. The tree can be viewed online at the following link							
40 41	701	http://itol.embl.de/shared/acdStree.							
42 43									
44									
45 46									
47									

Table 1. Field characteristics of the top (5-30 cm) soil layer.

Field Soil type		Т	Texture (%)		рН		Organic C	Total N	C/N ratio	Cation exchange (cmol/kg)			
		Sand	Silt	Clay	H <sub>2</sub> O	KCl	(g/kg)	(g/kg)		CEC <sup>a</sup>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Mg <sup>2+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>
FC	Fluvic cambisol	26.9	38.3	34.7	7.1	6.3	31.6	3.4	9.3	22.8	21.2	0.67	0.38
L	Luvisol	42.9	42.9	14.2	7.3	6.7	21.5	1.6	13.4	93.0	10.5	0.33	0.43
С	Calcisol	15.6	74.1	10.3	8.2	7.7	25.9	3.1	8.4	97.0	36.1	0.24	0.29
<sup>a</sup> CEC, cati	ion exchange capacity	7.											





Fig. 2



ScholarOne Support 1-434/964-4100





