

Turbulence-mediated facilitation of resource uptake in patchy stream macrophytes

Loreta Cornacchia, Sofia Licci, Heidi Nepf, Andrew Folkard, Daphne Wal,

Johan Koppel, Sara Puijalon, Tjeerd Bouma

► To cite this version:

Loreta Cornacchia, Sofia Licci, Heidi Nepf, Andrew Folkard, Daphne Wal, et al.. Turbulence-mediated facilitation of resource uptake in patchy stream macrophytes. Limnology and Oceanography, 2019, 64 (2), pp.714-727. 10.1002/lno.11070 . hal-02071382

HAL Id: hal-02071382 https://univ-lyon1.hal.science/hal-02071382

Submitted on 15 Dec 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Turbulence-mediated facilitation of resource uptake in patchy stream macrophytes 1

2 Authors:

3	Loreta Cornacchia ^{1,5, a}	loreta.cornacchia@nioz.nl, loreta.cornacchia@univ-lyon1.fr
4	Sofia Licci ²	sofia.licci@univ-lyon1.fr
5	Heidi Nepf ³	hmnepf@mit.edu
6	Andrew Folkard ⁴	a.folkard@lancaster.ac.uk
7	Daphne van der Wal ^{1,6}	daphne.van.der.wal@nioz.nl
8	Johan van de Koppel ^{1,5}	johan.van.de.koppel@nioz.nl

tjeerd.bouma@nioz.nl

- Johan van de Koppel^{1,5} 8 sara.puijalon@univ-lyon1.fr
- Sara Puijalon² 9
- Tjeerd J. Bouma^{1,5} 10
- 11
- 12 Affiliations:
- ¹ NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Department of Estuarine and Delta Systems, and 13 Utrecht University, P.O. Box 140, 4400 AC Yerseke, the Netherlands. 14
- ² Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR 5023 LEHNA, F-69622, 15
- Villeurbanne, France 16
- ³ Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 17
- Massachusetts Ave., Bldg. 48-216D, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. 18
- ⁴ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK 19
- ⁵ Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, PO Box 11103, 9700 20 CC Groningen. The Netherlands 21
- 22 ⁶ Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), University of Twente, P.O. Box
- 217, 7500 AE, Enschede, The Netherlands 23 24
- ^a Present address: UMR 5023 LEHNA, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, Villeurbanne Cedex, 25
- 26 France
- 27 Corresponding author:
- Loreta Cornacchia 28
- Université Lyon 1 29
- CNRS, UMR 5023 LEHNA 30
- Laboratoire d'Ecologie des Hydrosystèmes Naturels et Anthropisés 31
- 6, rue Raphaël Dubois Bât. Forel 32
- F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex FRANCE 33
- e.mail: loreta.cornacchia@univ-lyon1.fr 34
- Tel.: +33 (0)4 72 43 12 93 35
- +33 (0)4 72 43 11 41 36 Fax:
- 37
- 38

- 39 <u>Running head:</u> Turbulence-driven facilitation in macrophytes
- 40 <u>Keywords:</u> *submerged macrophytes*; *nutrient uptake*; *mass transfer*

41 Abstract

Many landscapes are characterized by a patchy, rather than homogeneous, distribution of vegetation. 42 Often this patchiness is composed of single-species patches with contrasting traits, interacting with 43 each other. To date, it is unknown whether patches of different species affect each other's uptake of 44 resources by altering hydrodynamic conditions, and how this depends on their spatial patch 45 configuration. Patches of two contrasting aquatic macrophyte species (i.e., dense canopy-forming 46 47 Callitriche and sparse canopy-forming Groenlandia) were grown together in a racetrack flume and placed in different patch configurations. We measured ¹⁵NH₄⁺ uptake rates and hydrodynamic 48 properties along the centerline and the lateral edge of both patches. When the species with a taller, 49 50 denser canopy (Callitriche) was located upstream of the shorter, sparser species (Groenlandia), it generated turbulence in its wake that enhanced nutrient uptake for the sparser Groenlandia. At the 51 52 same time, *Callitriche* benefited from being located at a leading edge where it was exposed to higher 53 mean velocity, as its canopy was too dense for turbulence to penetrate from upstream. Consistent with this, we found that ammonium uptake rates depended on turbulence level for the sparse Groenlandia 54 and on mean flow velocity for the dense *Callitriche*, but Total Kinetic Energy was the best descriptor 55 56 of uptake rates for both species. By influencing turbulence, macrophyte species interact with each other 57 through facilitation of resource uptake. Hence, heterogeneity due to multi-specific spatial patchiness 58 has crucial implications for both species interactions and aquatic ecosystem functions, such as nitrogen 59 retention.

60 Introduction

61

(Dietrich and Perron 2006; Corenblit et al. 2011). In coastal and fluvial aquatic ecosystems, vegetation 62 63 modifies habitats through its effects on hydrodynamics and sedimentation (Leonard and Luther 1995; Madsen et al. 2001; Schulz et al. 2003; Bouma et al. 2007), hence acting as an ecosystem engineer 64 65 (Jones et al. 1994). Many studies first considered interactions between hydrodynamics and homogeneous vegetation (Kouwen and Unny 1973; Nepf 1999; Nepf and Vivoni 2000; Järvelä 2005; 66 Chen et al. 2013), and later focused on isolated or pairs of patches (Sand-Jensen and Vindbœk Madsen 67 1992; Folkard 2005; Bouma et al. 2009; Vandenbruwaene et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012; Zong and Nepf 68 2012). Generally, vegetation patches locally reduce flow velocities, while increasing them in some 69 adjacent areas (Bouma et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2012; Schoelynck et al. 2012; Meire et al. 2014). 70 71 In aquatic ecosystems, the interaction between vegetation and hydrodynamics regulates important ecological processes such as nutrient delivery and uptake by plants, as nutrients can be taken up from 72 the water column through plant shoots (Madsen and Cedergreen 2002; Bal et al. 2013). These 73 74 processes are crucial for community primary productivity and ecosystem function (Thomas et al. 2000; Morris et al. 2008; Levi et al. 2015). Previous studies on uptake rates in relation to hydrodynamic 75 conditions mainly focused on seagrasses, using flume experiments with dissolved ¹⁵N-labeled 76 77 ammonium or nitrate (often the main inorganic nitrogen sources in natural conditions; Haynes and Goh 78 (1978)). These works identified the important effects of water velocity and flow alteration by seagrass canopies on resource uptake (Thomas et al. 2000; Cornelisen and Thomas 2006), and the dependence 79 of uptake rates on the rate of mass transfer to the leaf surface under unidirectional flow (Cornelisen and 80 Thomas 2004). Further, Morris et al. (2008) identified spatial patterns in ammonium uptake within 81 82 seagrass patches, with higher uptake observed at the leading edge of the patch where the turbulent 83 kinetic energy and velocity within the patch were highest. In a study of nutrient uptake by river

In many ecosystems, vegetation shapes entire landscapes by interacting with physical processes

macrophytes, Bal et al. (2013) found that ammonium uptake increased with flow velocity. Because the
diffusive boundary layer decreases with increasing velocity, the uptake rate also increases with
increasing velocity (Thomas et al. 2000; Cornelisen and Thomas 2004; Morris et al. 2008; Bal et al.
2013).

88 However, most of the previous studies dealt with monospecific canopies or focused on a single 89 species at a time, creating a monospecific community, while in reality natural landscapes are a diverse community made up of multiple species. Different patches of single species are heterogeneously 90 91 distributed, and this patchiness is a common characteristic of aquatic habitats (Sand-Jensen and 92 Vindbæk Madsen 1992). A few examples are patchy seagrass meadows (Fonseca et al. 1983), and 93 streams characterized by a 'pseudo-braided' distribution of plant stands between areas of faster flow 94 (Dawson and Robinson 1984; Cotton et al. 2006; Wharton et al. 2006). This additional level of 95 complexity has just started to be integrated in studies of hydrodynamic-vegetation interactions. For 96 instance, Weitzman et al. (2015) focused on hydrodynamic implications of multi-specific canopies, but 97 considered canopy heterogeneity in the vertical dimension. Adhitya et al. (2014) focused on hydrodynamics and spatial configurations of seagrass patches with different densities, but did not test 98 99 the consequences for resource uptake. Bal et al. (2013) focused on nutrient uptake rates within 100 monospecific patches of two species next to each other, but they only tested a single spatial 101 configuration and therefore did not investigate the effects of spatial patchiness. To date, it is still 102 unknown how patches of different species interact with each other by altering hydrodynamics and uptake of resources, and how this depends on their landscape configuration. 103

Multispecies effects could be important for hydrodynamics and nutrient uptake because the density, flexibility and canopy structure of different species affect hydrodynamics differently (Peralta et al. 2008; Bouma et al. 2013). As we cannot easily predict the flow alteration by heterogeneous species distributions, our understanding of the implications for species interactions and nutrient load

108 reduction in aquatic ecosystems is limited. Generally, the hydrodynamic controls on uptake rate are 109 expected to be dependent on the macro-scale rate of delivery (mean flow velocity; e.g. Cornelisen and Thomas (2006)), or on the micro-scale processes that determine the concentration gradient at the leaf 110 boundary layer (turbulence; e.g. Morris et al. (2008)). However, in a diverse community there might be 111 112 cases where a single hydrodynamic parameter is not sufficient to describe uptake rates for multiple 113 species with different traits and effects on hydrodynamic conditions. For instance, turbulence can have 114 a significantly smaller scale in very dense canopies, compared to sparser ones (Nepf 2012). On the 115 other hand, the mean flow speed can be relatively constant within sparse canopies, but turbulence 116 might be locally variable. Therefore, understanding the interaction between multiple species in terms of 117 nutrient uptake, mediated by their hydrodynamic effects, is essential to gain a more realistic understanding of species interactions and productivity in heterogeneous, multi-specific communities. 118 119 In this study, we use streams colonized by aquatic macrophytes as a model system. We 120 investigate how patches of two different species with contrasting morphological traits interact with each other by influencing hydrodynamics, and thereby ammonium uptake. Moreover, we test how this 121 depends on their spatial configuration (patchiness). Here, we define multi-specific patchiness as a 122 community composed of patches of different species. Specifically, we study the interaction between 123 124 two macrophyte species that co-occur under field conditions and have contrasting density and canopy 125 structure. Callitriche platycarpa Kütz., 1842 forms very dense patches that exhibit increasing canopy height with increasing patch length ('dense' species). Groenlandia densa (L.) Fourr. has a more open 126 canopy, and its canopy height is constant along the patch length ('sparse' species). In the field, the 127 128 dense patches of *Callitriche* are distributed quite regularly at a distance of about 8 meters, and Groenlandia patches tend to aggregate around them (Cornacchia et al. 2018). Given the differences in 129 130 shoot density and canopy architecture between the two species (Table 1), we hypothesize that the effects of the dense *Callitriche* patches on hydrodynamics may facilitate the delivery and uptake of 131

resources by the sparse *Groenlandia* patches. To test this hypothesis, patches of the two species were arranged in different configurations in a laboratory flume. To investigate the role of spatial configuration and reciprocal species effects on nutrient uptake, both the species upstream and the relative location of the species downstream were varied. We discuss the implications of multi-specific spatial patchiness on facilitation and aquatic ecosystem functions, such as nitrogen retention.

137 Materials and methods

138 Plant material

139 We tested the effect of macrophyte patch species and configuration on ammonium uptake rates using 140 two submerged macrophytes species, Callitriche platycarpa and Groenlandia densa. Both species were 141 collected in February 2015 from a wetland on the Ain River, France (5.2825° E, 45.9855 N). Plants were stored in plastic bags and transported to the laboratory in NIOZ Yerseke (The Netherlands) within 142 143 24 hours from collection. Until installation in the flume, the two macrophyte species were stored in a 144 green house, in tanks filled with freshwater that was continuously aerated, and exposed to natural light. 145 The macrophytes were allowed to recover for two days in the green house before starting the 146 experiments. In order to be used for the experimental setup, individual plants were transplanted in 147 stainless steel trays $(30 \times 29.5 \times 5 \text{ cm})$. The trays were filled with a bottom layer of river sand (4.5 cm) and a top layer (0.5 cm) of fine gravel (0.2 cm grain size). A false bottom in the flume allowed the trays 148 149 to be inserted with the soil surface at the same level as the flume bed. Based on the naturally occurring densities of the two species in the field, we constructed patches of 97 ± 28 g DW m⁻² (mean \pm SD) for 150 Groenlandia ('sparse' species) and 318 ± 67 g DW m⁻² for Callitriche ('dense' species) (Figure 1; 151 152 Table 1). The biomass of the constructed patches was estimated by collecting all plant material within 153 randomly selected 0.1×0.1 m quadrants (n = 3). The mean patch biomass for *Callitriche* is close to the

154	range of 208 – 256 g DW m ⁻² reported in Sand-Jensen and Vindbœk Madsen (1992). The mean patch
155	biomass for <i>Groenlandia</i> corresponded to ca. 500 shoots m ⁻² , which represents a relatively low shoot
156	density in natural plant beds (Sheldon and Boylen 1977). We used a different patch length for each
157	species to resemble the typical lengths observed in the field, i.e. 2.7 m and 1.2 m on average for
158	Groenlandia and Callitriche respectively. These values are representative of average patch sizes
159	observed in field conditions (2.5 ± 1.7 m for <i>Groenlandia</i> , $n = 20$; 1.4 ± 0.8 m for <i>Callitriche</i> , $n = 20$;
160	Supporting Information Fig. S1). We used a total of 9 trays for Groenlandia, for a total patch coverage
161	of 2.7 × 0.3 m. For <i>Callitriche</i> , plants were rooted in two trays ($0.6 \times 0.3 \times 0.05$ m). When <i>Callitriche</i>
162	was placed upstream, three trays (filled with the same soil as the plant trays) were placed between the
163	two patches, to account for the presence of the typical overhanging canopy for this species. That is,
164	when the flume was running, a total coverage of $1.20 \times 0.3 \text{ m}^2$ was observed due to shoots bending;
165	this region was considered as part of the Callitriche patch (see Figure 2). A distance of one tray (0.3 m)
166	between the two patches was used for the configurations in which Groenlandia was in the upstream
167	position. The flume section next to each patch was left open (without plants, but filled with the same
168	soil substrate used in the plant trays) in all configurations. Thus, the patches occupied one half of the
169	flume, rather than extending across the width of the flume. As patches in the field do not span the
170	whole channel, this configuration is representative of the typical distribution of vegetation patches in
171	streams, with an empty (unvegetated) zone next to the patch into which water flow is deflected and
172	accelerated around the patch (Fonseca et al. 1983; Gambi et al. 1990; Bouma et al. 2007; Follett and
173	Nepf 2012). The canopies of both species were fully submerged during the experiments. The relative
174	depth of submergence (H/h, ratio of water depth to maximum canopy height; Nepf (2012)) was
175	relatively constant along the Groenlandia patch due to its uniform height. Values of H/h ranged
176	between 8.75 and 4.3, corresponding to shallow submerged (H/h < 5). Instead, canopy height along the
177	<i>Callitriche</i> patch varied from H/h = 17.5 at the leading edge (i.e. deeply submerged, H/h > 10) to 3.0 in

the middle of the patch (indicating shallow submergence (H/h < 5), and even 1.30 at the downstream end of the patch, which is very close to emergent conditions (H/h = 1).

180 Flume setup and experimental configurations

All experiments were performed within a unidirectional racetrack flume using a water depth of 0.35 m 181 and with a cross-sectionally-averaged velocity of 0.24 ± 0.03 m s⁻¹. This is a moderate flow velocity, 182 representative of the summer flow conditions in streams typically colonized by *Callitriche* and 183 Groenlandia $(0.21 \pm 0.01 \text{ m s}^{-1})$, based on our field measurements in 2014 and 2015; Cornacchia et al. 184 (2018)). For a more detailed description of the flume, see Bouma et al. (2005). To test for the effects of 185 patch spatial configuration on ammonium uptake rates, the two patches were arranged one downstream 186 187 of the other, either on the same side of the flume ('aligned' configurations), or on opposite sides ('staggered' configurations) (Figure 2). These different spatial configurations are both commonly 188 189 observed in natural streams, where patches grow downstream of other patches, or in a staggered 190 arrangement (L. Cornacchia, pers. obs.). Moreover, patches of different species in the field can be 191 found co-occurring at very short distances from each other, at the scale of 0.5 m (Cornacchia et al. 192 2018). To test for interactions between the two species, in terms of reciprocal effects on ammonium uptake rates, we also switched the species located upstream for each of these configurations ('Sparse-193 194 Dense' or 'Dense-Sparse' configurations).

195 Measuring spatial patterns in ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ uptake rates and canopy hydrodynamics

To determine spatial patterns of ammonium uptake rates by the macrophyte species, we measured uptake rates at selected locations within the patches (Figure 2). Nutrient uptake rates were determined inside the two patches at 10%, 50% and 90% of the patch length (0.27, 1.45 and 2.43 m from the leading edge in *Groenlandia*; 0.12, 0.6 and 1 m from the leading edge in *Callitriche*) and, for each location along the patch length, at 0.15 and 0.25 m of the patch width. For each incubation experiment,

macrophyte individuals were randomly selected from the tanks where they were kept with freshwater 201 202 and were transplanted into plastic pots (5 shoots per pot). Before transplantation in the flume, roots were removed from the plants at the selected test locations, to prevent ammonium uptake by that means 203 204 from the labeled water that inevitably penetrated into the sand (following Bal et al. (2013)). Since the 205 sediment was not changed in between treatments (as that would have meant destroying and recreating 206 the patches), this avoided an effect of treatment order on uptake rates. While some nutrients are 207 obtained through roots in field conditions, the nutrient demands of many macrophyte species can be 208 satisfied by shoot nutrient uptake alone (Madsen and Cedergreen 2002). Although root removal may 209 have potentially affected the plant response to the flow, it was unlikely to affect their ability to resist 210 the flow during a short-term experiment (6 hours). Each plastic pot was then placed in one of the patch locations described above, and inserted in the travs so that their upper part was in line with the 211 212 sediment level to avoid scouring effects. The pots were replaced after each incubation experiment and 213 new plants were transplanted. The renewal of plants between each run allowed us to avoid an effect of treatment order on uptake rates, due to plants being exposed to the labeled water for a longer time. 214 Moreover, it provided natural variability in plant structure, while maintaining a constant patch structure 215 by keeping the trays forming the plant patches in the same positions between runs. The treatments were 216 217 run in the order shown in Figure 1.

In the incubation experiments, ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ was added to the water creating a 20 to 30 μ mol-NH₄⁺L⁻¹ solution, with 30% of the N as ¹⁵N abundance, following Bal et al. (2013). This range of values is representative of nutrient concentrations found in natural ecosystems: in summer 2015, average ammonium levels recorded in the studied region were 24.5 ± 28.54 μ mol-NH₄⁺L⁻¹, with a maximum value of 79.6 μ mol-NH₄⁺L⁻¹. Such a high enrichment (30%) was chosen to minimize dilution effects of the ¹⁵N source pool over the course of the experiment. At the start and end of the experiment, three replicate water samples were taken to measure NH₄⁺ concentration in the water. The

same labeled water was used to perform four experiments, before replacing it with freshwater and a 225 226 new label for the next runs (based on Bal et al. (2013)). Incubations were performed under artificial light conditions. Lamps were mounted above the flume tank throughout the test section to provide 14 h 227 d^{-1} of light (photosynthetic photon flux density of 550 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; measured 35 cm above the 228 sediment surface). The stable isotope was added near the paddles that drive the flow in the flume to 229 230 ensure mixing. Each incubation experiment lasted for 6 hours, and two replicate runs were performed for each configuration. At the end of the 6 hours, macrophytes were collected from the test positions, 231 rinsed with tap water to remove excess isotope from the plant surface, and folded into aluminum foil. 232 In addition to the samples collected (n = 30 for each species), five specimens per species were 233 randomly selected during the experiments from our species stock, to determine the background ¹⁵N 234 signal. The plants were dried in the oven for 48 hours at 60° C, and individual biomass was weighed. 235 Dried macrophytes were ground to a fine powder using a ball mill (MM 2000, Retsch, Haan, 236 Germany). A subsample of about 3 mg of powder per plant was sent to the laboratory for mass 237 spectrometry analysis of the isotope ratio. The samples were analysed for total N content and ¹⁵N-238 atomic percentage (as $(^{15}N/\text{total N}) \times 100$) with an Elemental Analyser (Thermo Electron FlashEA) 239 240 1112) and subsequent isotope ratio mass spectrometry (Thermo Delta V - IRMS). For recent guidelines 241 on stable isotope notations, see Coplen (2011).

242 The ¹⁵N atomic fraction of the dissolved N source pool in the water column $(x(^{15}N)_{aq.N})$ was 243 calculated according to Morris et al. (2013):

$$x({}^{15}N)_{aq.N} = \frac{([NH_4^+]_{tracer}x({}^{15}N)_{tracer}) + ([NH_4^+]_{water}x({}^{15}N)_{initial})}{[NH_4^+]_{tracer} + [NH_4^+]_{water}}$$
(1)

where $x(^{15}N)_{tracer}$ is the atomic fraction of the added tracer (0.98), $[NH_4^+]_{water}$ is $20.7 \pm 3.1 \mu mol-$ NH₄⁺L⁻¹ (mean ± SE), and $x(^{15}N)_{initial}$ is the atomic fraction of the initial water column (assumed to reflect ¹⁵N of atmospheric N, 3.7×10^{-3}). To provide an estimate of the change in ¹⁵NH4⁺ (µmol-NH4⁺L⁻¹) concentrations between runs (i.e., [NH4⁺]_{tracer}), mean ¹⁵NH4⁺ uptake rates (µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) for each species were multiplied by their total estimated biomass (g DM m⁻²) and by the incubation time (6 hours). Given the large volume of the flume water, the estimated ¹⁵NH4⁺ tracer concentrations remained high, between 8.0 and 8.8 µmol-NH4⁺L⁻¹, corresponding to an increase in total water column [NH4⁺] of between 27.5% and 29.7%.

To calculate the NH₄⁺ uptake rate (V in µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) of each sample, we followed the equation in Morris et al. (2013):

$$V = [N]_{DM_sample} \cdot \frac{\left(x^{E}({}^{15}N)_{DM_sample}\right)}{\left(x({}^{15}N)_{aq.N} \cdot \Delta t\right)}$$
(2)

where $x^{E}(^{15}N)_{DM_sample}$ is the ¹⁵N excess atom fraction, calculated as the difference between the atomic fraction measured in the biomass of the sample after incubation ($x(^{15}N)_{DM_sample}$) and the background ¹⁵N abundance $x(^{15}N)_{DM_nat.ab}$ measured on five background specimens for each species ((3.70 ± 0.008) × 10⁻³ for *Callitriche*, (3.69 ± 0.018) × 10⁻³ for *Groenlandia*); Δt (h) is the incubation time (6 h) and [N]_{DM_sample} is the N content of the dry biomass (µmol g⁻¹ (dry mass (DM)) of each sample.

259 <u>Hydrodynamic measurements</u>

To test the relationship between hydrodynamic parameters and nutrient uptake, vertical profiles of velocity were measured with a 3D acoustic Doppler velocimeter (ADV, Nortek) over 30 s at 10 Hz. Within each profile, velocity was measured at seven vertical locations at 2, 5, 10, 12, 15, 17 and 27 cm above the channel bed. The profiles were measured in the same streamwise and lateral locations as the plant samples collected for nutrient uptake estimation, i.e. at 10%, 50% and 90% of the length of each patch in the streamwise (x) direction, and at 0.15 and 0.25 m of the patch width in the spanwise (y)

direction (Figure 2). To minimize interference by vegetation structures within the sampling volume of 266 267 the ADV probe, the probe started at the lowest measuring point for each vertical profile within the vegetation. This prevented the canopy from being compressed as the probe moved towards the bed. To 268 ensure that measurements were based on reliable data points, spikes and low-quality data points (i.e., 269 270 correlation below the standard quality threshold of 70%) were removed during post-processing. The height of the vegetation canopy in each location was measured with a ruler in cm. The canopy height 271 272 (h) was 0.17 ± 0.08 m for Callitriche, and 0.07 ± 0.01 m for Groenlandia (Table 1). Freshwater macrophytes are flexible, mesh-like structures (Sand-Jensen 2005), often with highly branched stems 273 274 that get entangled in each other. Their flexibility and complex morphology make it very challenging to measure individual plant parameters like shoot height, frontal area, shoot density or average distance 275 between shoots. Thus, the frontal area per water volume (a, m^{-1}) and frontal area per bed area (ah, ah)276 dimensionless) for the two species were calculated from water depth (H) and canopy length (l), width 277 (y) and height (h) measurements (Table 1) through the following equations: 278

$$a = \frac{h y}{H y l} = \frac{h}{H l}$$
(3)

$$ah = \frac{h y}{y l} = \frac{h}{l} \tag{4}$$

As the frontal area of flexible submerged macrophytes is highly variable (i.e. their shape and canopy height change with flow velocity; Sand-Jensen (2005)), the values are indicative for the incoming flow velocity of 0.24 m s⁻¹ used in the experiments.

The instantaneous velocity (u(t), v(t), w(t)) measured in the streamwise, lateral, and vertical directions, respectively, were separated into time-averages $(\overline{U}, \overline{V}, \overline{W})$, and instantaneous turbulent fluctuations (u'(t), v'(t), w'(t)), e.g. as $u'(t) = u(t) - \overline{U}$, and similarly for *v* and *w*. The vertical average of the time-averaged velocity in the streamwise direction was used to calculate the depthaveraged velocity ($\langle \overline{U} \rangle$, m s⁻¹) at each profile position. The Total Kinetic Energy (Total KE) per unit mass is defined from the instantaneous velocities (*u*, *v*, *w*), defined as:

$$Total KE = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{u^2} + \overline{v^2} + \overline{w^2} \right) = Turbulent KE + Mean KE$$
(5)

which can be partitioned into turbulent kinetic energy (Turbulent KE) and mean kinetic energy (Mean
KE), defined as (e.g. Kundu et al. (2004)):

Turbulent
$$KE = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{u'^2} + \overline{v'^2} + \overline{w'^2} \right)$$
 (6)

$$Mean \, KE = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{U}^2 + \overline{V}^2 + \overline{W}^2 \right) \tag{7}$$

The Total Kinetic Energy (m² s⁻²) provides a better metric for the instantaneous velocity, because it reflects both the time-mean and turbulent fluctuations, and as such it is more relevant to boundary layer dynamics, especially in cases with low time-mean velocity but high Turbulent KE. Specifically, previous studies have suggested that strong instantaneous velocity and/or plant motion can periodically strip away the diffusive sub-layer, which, if frequent enough, will enhance flux to the plant surface (Koch 1994; Stevens and Hurd 1997; Huang et al. 2011).

296 Reynolds shear stress (τ_{xz} , Pa) at the top of the canopy at each location was calculated as:

$$\tau_{xz} = -\rho \overline{u'(t)w'(t)} \tag{8}$$

297 in which $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ is the density of the flume water.

298 Volumetric flow rate of water through the patches (Q_c, m³ s⁻¹) was calculated as:

$$Q_c = \sum_{0}^{h} Q_i$$
 and $Q_i = y(h_i - h_{i-1})\bar{u}_{h_i}$ (9)

in which *h* is the canopy height, Q_i the volumetric flow rate of water through the layer $(h_i - h_{i-1})$, *y* is the patch width (0.3 m) and \bar{u}_{h_i} the double-averaged *u* component (i.e., averaged in time and spatially averaged in the two lateral positions) of the velocity at depth h_i .

302 Measuring channel-scale patterns of ammonium uptake

303 To investigate how the relationship between hydrodynamic parameters and ammonium uptake develops at the scale of a whole channel, we tested the correlation between the total in-patch NH₄⁺ 304 uptake rates and in-patch average hydrodynamic parameters (mean velocity, Turbulent KE and Total 305 306 KE). This allowed us to test whether spatial patch configurations that generated higher mean flow 307 velocity, Total KE or Turbulent KE levels within the canopies promoted higher uptake at the channel scale. The total in-patch NH₄⁺ uptake rate for each configuration was calculated as the sum of the 308 309 uptake rates estimated in all sampling points (n = 6 per species; Figure 2). This total uptake was used as 310 an estimate of channel-scale uptake, but is not necessarily a measure of total ammonium uptake rates per biomass or aerial cover. 311

312 Statistical analyses

The flume incubation experiments yielded n = 30 samples per experimental run (i.e. from 3 x-positions 313 \times 2 *y*-positions \times 5 shoots per position) for each species, and two replicate runs were performed for 314 315 each configuration. As we were interested in differences in uptake rates among positions within patches, the average uptake rates of the 5 shoots per each position were used in subsequent analyses. 316 Friedman's rank-sum test was used to test for the presence of trends in NH₄⁺ uptake rates from the 317 upstream to downstream positions along the patches in all replicate runs. The tests were run separately 318 319 for each species, both for the centerline and for the edge measurement points. To account for the fact that within-patch measurements were not independent from each other, statistical differences in NH4⁺ 320 uptake rates for each species under four flume spatial configurations were tested using nested ANOVA 321

322 (with replicate run as a nested factor within the configuration treatment). As Friedman's rank-sum tests 323 showed no significant trend in ammonium uptake neither along the centerline nor along the edge positions within patches, measurement position was considered a random effect in the model. The data 324 were log-transformed to meet the ANOVA test assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance. 325 Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to test for significant correlation between NH₄⁺ uptake rates 326 (umol g^{-1} (DM) h^{-1}) and hydrodynamic parameters (depth-averaged velocity $\langle \overline{U} \rangle$ (m s^{-1}); Reynolds 327 shear stress τ_{xz} (Pa); Turbulent KE (m² s⁻²); Total KE (m² s⁻²); and volumetric flow rate, O_c (m³ s⁻¹), 328 and between channel total NH4⁺ uptake rates and average hydrodynamic parameters within both 329 species patches (mean velocity, Turbulent KE and Total KE). P values of less than or equal to 0.05 330 331 were considered to be significant. All statistical analyses were performed in R 3.1.2 (R Core Team 2015). 332

333 **Results**

334 <u>Relationship between canopy hydrodynamic parameters and nutrient uptake</u>

We found that the two macrophyte species affected each other's ammonium uptake rates by altering 335 mean flow velocity (<Ū>) and turbulence (Turbulent KE). Ammonium uptake rates depended on either 336 mean flow velocity (Callitriche) or turbulence (Groenlandia), but Total Kinetic Energy (Total KE) was 337 338 the single best descriptor of uptake rates for both species (Figure 3; Table 2). Specifically, NH4⁺ uptake rates for the sparse *Groenlandia* were significantly correlated with Turbulent KE (r = 0.68, p < 0.001), 339 but not with mean flow velocity (r = -0.20, p = 0.35) (Figure 3; Table 2). The opposite was true for the 340 341 dense *Callitriche*: uptake rates were significantly correlated with mean flow velocity (r = 0.42, p =0.04), but not with Turbulent KE (r = 0.34, p = 0.1) (Figure 3; Table 2). However, Total KE, which is 342 more representative of the instantaneous velocity, described uptake for both species (r = 0.79, p < 0.001343

for *Groenlandia*; r = 0.45, p = 0.03 for *Callitriche*; r = 0.54, p < 0.001 for both species together)

(Figure 3A; Table 2). No significant relationship was found between ammonium uptake rates and either
Reynolds shear stress or Qc (Table 2).

347 *Effects of patch spatial configurations on nutrient uptake*

When located upstream, the dense *Callitriche* patch increased turbulence and thereby enhanced the 348 uptake of resources by the sparse Groenlandia patch located downstream. The ammonium uptake rates 349 were influenced by both macrophyte species and spatial patch configuration (order and alignment). 350 Importantly, the Dense-Sparse (D-S) configurations led to higher uptake rates for both species. The 351 NH₄⁺ uptake rates for the sparse *Groenlandia* were $2.63 \pm 1.33 \mu mol g^{-1}$ (DM) h⁻¹, almost double than 352 for the dense Callitriche (1.44 \pm 0.78 µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹). Testing for the presence of patterns in NH₄⁺ 353 354 uptake rates from upstream to downstream within the patches showed no significant trend in uptake rates along the patch centerline (*Friedman* $\chi_2^2 = 3$, p = 0.22 for *Callitriche*; *Friedman* $\chi_2^2 = 1$, p = 0.60355 for *Groenlandia*), nor along the patch edge (*Friedman* $\chi_2^2 = 0.25$, p = 0.88 for *Callitriche*; *Friedman* χ_2^2 356 = 3, p = 0.22 for *Groenlandia*). This indicates there was no significant pattern in stable isotope 357 concentration from upstream to downstream within patches. 358

359 The upstream-downstream order and spatial patch alignment of the species significantly affected uptake rates for both the sparse *Groenlandia* (nested ANOVA, $F_{3,4} = 6.87$, p = 0.04) and the 360 dense *Callitriche* (nested ANOVA, $F_{3,4}$ = 12.57, p = 0.017; Figure 4). We generally found that when 361 the denser species (Callitriche) was located upstream of the sparser one (Groenlandia), ammonium 362 uptake rates for both species increased significantly, compared to patch configurations in the Sparse-363 364 Dense order (Figure 4). This significant increase in uptake rates was related to the hydrodynamic effects of different configurations, and particularly the traits of *Callitriche* (i.e. density and canopy 365 height, which blocks a larger fraction of flow depth). When the dense patch of Callitriche was 366

367 upstream, it generated higher Turbulent KE that influenced the downstream patch of Groenlandia 368 (Figure 2), enhancing its uptake rates (Figure 3). Also, when the dense *Callitriche* was upstream, its leading edge was exposed to higher mean velocity compared to when it was trailing behind the sparse 369 370 patch (Figure 2), thereby increasing its uptake rates (Figure 3). Specifically, for the dense *Callitriche*, uptake rates within the Sparse-Dense order were higher in the staggered than in the aligned 371 configuration (Tukey's HSD, z = -2.66, p < 0.05). In the Dense-Sparse configurations, no significant 372 373 difference in uptake rates was found between the staggered or aligned arrangement (Tukey's HSD, z =-0.50, p > 0.05). However, uptake rates were significantly higher in the Dense-Sparse staggered 374 configuration than in both the S-D configurations (Tukey's HSD, z = -2.83, p < 0.05). For the sparse 375 376 Groenlandia, uptake rates within the Sparse-Dense aligned and staggered configuration were not significantly different from each other (Tukey's HSD, z = -1.92, p < 0.05). In the Dense-Sparse 377 configurations, no significant difference in uptake rates was found between the staggered or aligned 378 379 arrangement (Tukey's HSD, z = 0.51, p > 0.05). Uptake rates in the D-S aligned configuration were significantly higher than in the S-D aligned configuration (Tukey's HSD, z = -2.69, p < 0.05), but were 380 not significantly different from the S-D staggered case (Tukey's HSD, z = -0.77, p > 0.05). 381

We found that the vegetation distributions that generated higher Total Kinetic Energy levels within the patches promoted higher total uptake at the channel scale (Figure 5). Testing for the hydrodynamic parameter-uptake relationships at the channel scale revealed a significant positive relationship between the in-patch Total Kinetic Energy (average of both patches in each configuration) and the channel total ammonium uptake (r = 0.98, p = 0.01; Figure 5). Channel total ammonium uptake was also significantly related to in-patch Turbulent KE (r = 0.97, p = 0.03), but not to mean flow velocity (r = 0.93, p = 0.07).

389 **Discussion**

390 The interaction between vegetation and hydrodynamics regulates important ecological processes such 391 as nutrient delivery and uptake by aquatic plants, which are crucial for community primary productivity (Thomas et al. 2000; Cornelisen and Thomas 2006; Morris et al. 2008). We found that, by generating 392 393 turbulence, dense macrophyte patches facilitate resource uptake by neighboring sparse patches. Flume 394 measurements showed that the dense Callitriche had a strong hydrodynamic effect, creating high-395 turbulence regions in its wake that facilitated nutrient uptake by the sparse *Groenlandia*, which had a 396 weaker hydrodynamic effect. While the sparse vegetation benefited from the high turbulence generated 397 in the wake of a dense patch, the dense vegetation benefited from being located at a leading edge, 398 where it was exposed to higher mean velocity, compared to when it was located downstream of another 399 patch (Figure 2; Figure 6). We identified Total Kinetic Energy as the best descriptor of the nutrient removal capacity of streams, especially in heterogeneous multi-species communities. Overall, spatial 400 401 configurations that lead to higher Total Kinetic Energy within the patches were the ones that led to 402 higher total ammonium uptake. Hence, our results highlight the importance of turbulence as an agent of interaction between different species. Moreover, this study suggests that accounting for interactions 403 404 between heterogeneous, multi-specific patchy vegetation is crucial to understand aquatic ecosystem 405 functions such as nitrogen retention.

406 *Implications of resource uptake in mono- and multi-species communities*

Previous studies of macrophytes generally found that nutrient uptake rates increased with mean flow velocity (Cornelisen and Thomas 2006; Bal et al. 2013). Morris et al. (2008) found that Turbulent KE was associated with spatial variation in uptake, and volumetric flow rate explained differences in uptake between contrasting species. Yet, in our study, neither of these traditional hydrodynamic parameters could accurately describe uptake rates for both species. However, direct comparison with these previous studies is limited to some extent by differences in treatments. While previous

413 experiments tested the effect of changing bulk flow velocity on uptake rates, we tested a single bulk 414 flow velocity that represented the average flow conditions in the study sites where *Callitriche* and Groenlandia coexist. We thus focused on within-patch flow variability, and how the interaction 415 416 between the two species was determined by their spatial arrangement and flow modification. Using a 417 single bulk flow condition resulted in a relatively narrow range of velocities experienced by the sparse Groenlandia $(0.13 - 0.27 \text{ m s}^{-1})$, whereas the flow alteration ability of the dense Callitriche led to a 418 higher flow variability $(0.002 - 0.24 \text{ m s}^{-1})$. This factor may partly explain the lack of significant 419 420 correlation between flow velocity and uptake rates for *Groenlandia*. Further research is needed to test 421 how changes in mean flow conditions affect the interaction between the two species.

422 We identified Total Kinetic Energy as the parameter that explained most of the variability in uptake rates for both species. To our knowledge, this parameter has not been related before to nutrient 423 424 uptake rates by aquatic vegetation. Previous studies have suggested that Turbulent KE may influence 425 nutrient uptake (Anderson and Charters 1982; Koch 1994), and the total energy parameter captures this 426 influence. Specifically, when Turbulent KE is weak, flux is controlled by the time-mean diffusive sublayer thickness, which is a function of the time-mean velocity (e.g. Hansen et al. (2011); Rominger and 427 Nepf (2014); Lei and Nepf (2016)). However, when the Turbulent KE is high, periodic disturbances of 428 429 the diffusive sub-layer by the turbulence can create instantaneously higher concentration gradients at 430 the surface and, thus, higher flux (e.g. Stevens and Hurd (1997); Huang et al. (2011); Rominger and 431 Nepf (2014)). By reflecting the magnitude of both the time-mean velocity and Turbulent KE, the total 432 kinetic energy captures both regimes of flux. The Total Kinetic Energy is particularly suitable in 433 heterogeneous systems where upstream Turbulent KE generation (e.g. by larger, denser patches) can influence flux downstream, i.e. the Turbulent KE is not locally generated and thus uncorrelated with 434 435 the local time-mean velocity. In the dense Callitriche patches, the canopy is often too dense for 436 turbulence to form within the patch or to penetrate from the free stream. Under these low Turbulent KE 437 conditions, the flux is correlated by the local time-mean velocity, which sets the scale of the diffusive 438 sub-layer. For the sparse *Groenlandia*, time-mean flow velocity is relatively constant in the canopy and we found no correlation between within-patch flow variations and uptake rates. However, the within-439 440 patch Turbulent KE is elevated both by local stem generation and the penetration of turbulence 441 generated upstream. Under these high Turbulent KE conditions, the uptake rates have a high correlation with the Turbulent KE intensity. In landscapes made up of patches of different species, regions with 442 443 flux controlled by $\langle \overline{U} \rangle$ and regions controlled by Turbulent KE are heterogeneously distributed, so that neither $\langle \overline{U} \rangle$ nor Turbulent KE can capture the channel-scale nutrient uptake. Because it can describe 444 445 both regions of low Turbulent KE (uptake controlled by mean velocity) and high Turbulent KE (uptake 446 controlled by Turbulent KE intensity), we propose Total Kinetic Energy as a useful parameter to describe nutrient uptake capacity in heterogeneous landscapes, which could be used to estimate 447 448 ecosystem services of nutrient retention by vegetation.

449 In contrast to the findings of Morris et al. (2008) and Bal et al. (2013), we did not find a significant relationship between ammonium uptake rates and volumetric flow rate, likely because the 450 451 two species have different flexibility and density traits that affect patch compression (Table 1). The canopies of the two species, and the relative importance of flow velocity and turbulence within them, 452 are consistent with the sparse and dense canopy regimes described in Nepf (2012). As expected for a 453 454 dense canopy condition (ah > 0.1), canopy-scale turbulence in *Callitriche* is generated at the top of the 455 canopy and can be transported downstream, while stem-scale turbulence is much smaller. Instead, *Groenlandia* is representative of a sparse canopy condition (ah < 0.1), where stem-scale turbulence is 456 457 generated within the canopy, but the velocity profile remains logarithmic.

Our results reveal the important role of patch spatial configuration and the resulting
heterogeneity in influencing species interactions and the nutrient uptake capacity of the landscape. The
generation of turbulence by a *Callitriche* patch led to a 59% nutrient uptake enhancement effect on

Groenlandia, increasing it from an ammonium uptake rate of 2.03 ± 0.85 µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹ in the 461 462 Sparse-Dense configurations (where *Groenlandia* was not exposed to turbulence generation by *Callitriche*), to $3.23 \pm 0.37 \mu$ mol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹ in the Dense-Sparse configurations (where it was 463 exposed to turbulence generation by *Callitriche*). These findings are in line with a field study showing 464 that spatial heterogeneity, created by the interaction of canopy morphology, sediment topography and 465 hydrodynamics, controlled nutrient transport and uptake rates in a patchy seagrass landscape (Morris et 466 al. 2013). While we found clear effects of the upstream-downstream patch arrangement on ammonium 467 uptake rates, we only observed a significant effect of patch arrangement (staggered vs. aligned) in the 468 Sparse-Dense configurations. Vegetation patches in the flume experiment did not span the whole width 469 470 of the channel, leading to water flow deflection and acceleration around the lateral edges of patches. This flow acceleration effect around the patches is in general agreement with experimental evidence in 471 field and laboratory studies (Vandenbruwaene et al. 2011; Schoelynck et al. 2012; Bouma et al. 2013), 472 473 suggesting that the conclusions of this work could be generally applied to field conditions. In addition to the role of spatial configuration, it is likely that the distance between the patches governs the 474 intensity of the interaction between them. The stronger interactions between patches likely occur when 475 476 the distance between them is less than the wake length of the upstream patch (Folkard 2005). It might 477 be expected that the wake length is in turn related to patch density, because density determines at what 478 distances the patch effects will dissipate (Zong and Nepf 2012). Further studies should be undertaken to investigate the detailed hydrodynamic consequences of different spatial patch configurations, testing 479 for the effects of a wider range of distances and its interactive effect with patch density. 480

481 <u>Turbulence-mediated species interactions: implications for species distributions and nutrient load</u> 482 <u>reduction</u>

483 The study of turbulence-mediated interactions between macrophyte species suggests a possible 484 mechanism behind the co-occurrence of *Groenlandia* patches around *Callitriche* in the field. Recently, it has been shown that Groenlandia shoots grow better around Callitriche patches than on bare, 485 unvegetated sediment (Cornacchia et al. 2018). The wake of the Callitriche patches is both a high-486 487 turbulence and low-velocity region (Sand-Jensen 1998). Thus, a combination of enhanced resource 488 uptake by turbulence, and reduced biomass losses by flow velocity, might be the conditions behind the improved growth rates of Groenlandia plants around Callitriche patches. As the sparse Groenlandia 489 tends to surround the dense *Callitriche* patches in regularly spaced aggregations every 8 m (Cornacchia 490 491 et al. 2018), the interaction between the two species might enhance the overall nutrient removal 492 capacity of the river. The facilitative effect of Callitriche on Groenlandia could switch to competition, 493 as the high biomass *Callitriche* might have a competitive advantage by reducing resource availability 494 for the sparser species (*Groenlandia*). On the other hand, competition could be lessened through root 495 uptake from the nutrient pool in the sediment. The balance between facilitation and competition can be clarified by considering additional variables such as root uptake and nutrient availability in the water 496 497 column and in sediment. Moreover, care must be taken when upscaling the relationship between 498 hydrodynamics and resource uptake at the channel scale. In our incubations, we focused only on uptake 499 rates of a single nutrient (ammonium), which is energetically less costly, but some species might invest 500 in nitrate uptake. This is an interesting aspect that should be explored in future studies of channel-scale nitrogen uptake by vegetation. As a future perspective, we might be able to use the knowledge on these 501 types of species interactions as tools to enhance restoration success of degraded (eutrophic) sites. 502

503

504 **References**

505	Adhitya, A. and others 2014. Comparison of the influence of patch-scale and meadow-scale
506	characteristics on flow within seagrass meadows: a flume study. Marine Ecology Progress
507	Series 516: 49-59.

- Anderson, S. M., and A. Charters. 1982. A fluid dynamics study of seawater flow through Gelidium
 nudifrons. Limnology and Oceanography 27: 399-412.
- Bal, K. D. and others 2013. Influence of hydraulics on the uptake of ammonium by two freshwater
 plants. Freshwater Biology 58: 2452-2463.
- Bouma, T. and others 2005. Trade-offs related to ecosystem engineering: A case study on stiffness of
 emerging macrophytes. Ecology 86: 2187-2199.
- 514 Bouma, T., M. Friedrichs, B. Van Wesenbeeck, S. Temmerman, G. Graf, and P. Herman. 2009.
- 515 Density-dependent linkage of scale-dependent feedbacks: A flume study on the intertidal 516 macrophyte Spartina anglica. Oikos **118:** 260-268.
- Bouma, T. and others 2013. Organism traits determine the strength of scale-dependent bio-geomorphic
 feedbacks: A flume study on three intertidal plant species. Geomorphology 180: 57-65.
- 519 Bouma, T. and others 2007. Spatial flow and sedimentation patterns within patches of epibenthic
- structures: Combining field, flume and modelling experiments. Continental Shelf Research 27:
 1020-1045.
- 522 Chen, Z., C. Jiang, and H. Nepf. 2013. Flow adjustment at the leading edge of a submerged aquatic
 523 canopy. Water Resources Research 49: 5537-5551.
- Chen, Z., A. Ortiz, L. Zong, and H. Nepf. 2012. The wake structure behind a porous obstruction and its
 implications for deposition near a finite patch of emergent vegetation. Water Resources
 Research 48.
- Coplen, T. B. 2011. Guidelines and recommended terms for expression of stable-isotope-ratio and gas ratio measurement results. Rapid communications in mass spectrometry 25: 2538-2560.

529	Corenblit, D. and others 2011. Feedbacks between geomorphology and biota controlling Earth surface
530	processes and landforms: a review of foundation concepts and current understandings. Earth-
531	Science Reviews 106: 307-331.

532 Cornacchia, L., J. van de Koppel, D. van der Wal, G. Wharton, S. Puijalon, and T. J. Bouma. 2018.

Landscapes of facilitation: how self-organized patchiness of aquatic macrophytes promotesdiversity in streams. Ecology.

- Cornelisen, C. D., and F. I. Thomas. 2004. Ammonium and nitrate uptake by leaves of the seagrass
 Thalassia testudinum: impact of hydrodynamic regime and epiphyte cover on uptake rates.
 Journal of Marine Systems 49: 177-194.
- 538 ---. 2006. Water flow enhances ammonium and nitrate uptake in a seagrass community. Marine
 539 Ecology Progress Series 312: 1-13.
- Cotton, J., G. Wharton, J. Bass, C. Heppell, and R. Wotton. 2006. The effects of seasonal changes to
 in-stream vegetation cover on patterns of flow and accumulation of sediment. Geomorphology
 77: 320-334.
- Dawson, F., and W. Robinson. 1984. Submerged macrophytes and the hydraulic roughness of a
 lowland chalkstream. Verhandlung Internationale Vereinigung Limnologie 22.
- 545 Dietrich, W. E., and J. T. Perron. 2006. The search for a topographic signature of life. Nature 439: 411546 418.
- Folkard, A. M. 2005. Hydrodynamics of model Posidonia oceanica patches in shallow water.
 Limnology and oceanography 50: 1592-1600.
- Follett, E. M., and H. M. Nepf. 2012. Sediment patterns near a model patch of reedy emergent
 vegetation. Geomorphology 179: 141-151.

551	Fonseca, M. S., J. C. Zieman, G. W. Thayer, and J. S. Fisher. 1983. The role of current velocity in
552	structuring eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) meadows. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 17:
553	367-380.

- Gambi, M. C., A. R. Nowell, and P. A. Jumars. 1990. Flume observations on flow dynamics in Zostera
 marina (eelgrass) beds. Marine ecology progress series: 159-169.
- Hansen, A. T., M. Hondzo, and C. L. Hurd. 2011. Photosynthetic oxygen flux by Macrocystis pyrifera:
 a mass transfer model with experimental validation. Marine Ecology Progress Series 434: 4555.
- Haynes, R., and K. M. Goh. 1978. Ammonium and nitrate nutrition of plants. Biological Reviews 53:
 465-510.
- Huang, I., J. Rominger, and H. Nepf. 2011. The motion of kelp blades and the surface renewal model.
 Limnology and Oceanography 56: 1453-1462.
- Järvelä, J. 2005. Effect of submerged flexible vegetation on flow structure and resistance. Journal of
 Hydrology **307:** 233-241.
- Jones, C. G., J. H. Lawton, and M. Shachak. 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers, p. 130-147.
 Ecosystem management. Springer.
- Koch, E. 1994. Hydrodynamics, diffusion-boundary layers and photosynthesis of the seagrasses
 Thalassia testudinum and Cymodocea nodosa. Marine Biology 118: 767-776.
- Kouwen, N., and T. E. Unny. 1973. Flexible roughness in open channels. Journal of the Hydraulics
 Division 99.
- Kundu, P. K., I. M. Cohen, and H. H. Hu. 2004. Fluid mechanics, 6th ed. ISBN: 978-0-12-405935-1.
 Elsevier Press, Boston.
- 573 Lei, J., and H. Nepf. 2016. Impact of current speed on mass flux to a model flexible seagrass blade.
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans **121**: 4763-4776.

- Leonard, L. A., and M. E. Luther. 1995. Flow hydrodynamics in tidal marsh canopies. Limnology and
 oceanography 40: 1474-1484.
- 577 Levi, P. S. and others 2015. Macrophyte complexity controls nutrient uptake in lowland streams.
 578 Ecosystems 18: 914-931.
- Madsen, J. D., P. A. Chambers, W. F. James, E. W. Koch, and D. F. Westlake. 2001. The interaction
 between water movement, sediment dynamics and submersed macrophytes. Hydrobiologia 444:
 71-84.
- Madsen, T. V., and N. Cedergreen. 2002. Sources of nutrients to rooted submerged macrophytes
 growing in a nutrient-rich stream. Freshwater Biology 47: 283-291.
- Meire, D. W., J. M. Kondziolka, and H. M. Nepf. 2014. Interaction between neighboring vegetation
 patches: Impact on flow and deposition. Water Resources Research 50: 3809-3825.
- 586 Morris, E. P., G. Peralta, F. G. Brun, L. Van Duren, T. J. Bouma, and J. L. Perez-Llorens. 2008.
- Interaction between hydrodynamics and seagrass canopy structure: Spatially explicit effects on
 ammonium uptake rates. Limnology and Oceanography 53: 1531-1539.
- 589 Morris, E. P. and others 2013. The role of hydrodynamics in structuring in situ ammonium uptake
- within a submerged macrophyte community. Limnology and Oceanography: Fluids and
 Environments 3: 210-224.
- Nepf, H. 1999. Drag, turbulence, and diffusion in flow through emergent vegetation. Water resources
 research 35: 479-489.
- Nepf, H., and E. Vivoni. 2000. Flow structure in depth-limited, vegetated flow. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Oceans 105: 28547-28557.
- Nepf, H. M. 2012. Flow and transport in regions with aquatic vegetation. Annual Review of Fluid
 Mechanics 44: 123-142.

598	Peralta, G., L. Van Duren, E. Morris, and T. Bouma. 2008. Consequences of shoot density and stiffness
599	for ecosystem engineering by benthic macrophytes in flow dominated areas: a hydrodynamic
600	flume study. Marine Ecology Progress Series 368: 103-115.

601 R Core Team. 2015. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (Version 3.1. 2): R

602 Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. URL <u>http://www.R-project.org</u>.

- Rominger, J. T., and H. M. Nepf. 2014. Effects of blade flexural rigidity on drag force and mass
 transfer rates in model blades. Limnology and Oceanography 59: 2028-2041.
- Sand-Jensen, K. 1998. Influence of submerged macrophytes on sediment composition and near-bed
 flow in lowland streams. Freshwater Biology **39:** 663-679.
- Sand-Jensen, K., and T. Vindbœk Madsen. 1992. Patch dynamics of the stream macrophyte, Callitriche
 cophocarpa. Freshwater Biology 27: 277-282.
- Sand-Jensen, K. 2005. Aquatic plants are open flexible structures–a reply to Sukhodolov. Freshwater
 Biology **50**: 196-198.
- Schoelynck, J., T. De Groote, K. Bal, W. Vandenbruwaene, P. Meire, and S. Temmerman. 2012. Self organised patchiness and scale-dependent bio-geomorphic feedbacks in aquatic river vegetation.
 Ecography 35: 760-768.
- 614 Schulz, M., H.-P. Kozerski, T. Pluntke, and K. Rinke. 2003. The influence of macrophytes on
- sedimentation and nutrient retention in the lower River Spree (Germany). Water Research 37:
 569-578.
- 617 Sheldon, R. B., and C. W. Boylen. 1977. Maximum depth inhabited by aquatic vascular plants.
 618 American midland naturalist: 248-254.
- 619 Stevens, C. L., and C. L. Hurd. 1997. Boundary-layers around bladed aquatic macrophytes.
- 620 Hydrobiologia **346:** 119-128.

621	Thomas, F. I., C. D. Cornelisen, and J. M. Zande. 2000. Effects of water velocity and canopy
622	morphology on ammonium uptake by seagrass communities. Ecology 81: 2704-2713.
623	Vandenbruwaene, W. and others 2011. Flow interaction with dynamic vegetation patches: Implications
624	for biogeomorphic evolution of a tidal landscape. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth
625	Surface 116.
626	Weitzman, J. S., R. B. Zeller, F. I. Thomas, and J. R. Koseff. 2015. The attenuation of current-and
627	wave-driven flow within submerged multispecific vegetative canopies. Limnology and
628	Oceanography 60: 1855-1874.
629	Wharton, G. and others 2006. Macrophytes and suspension-feeding invertebrates modify flows and fine
630	sediments in the Frome and Piddle catchments, Dorset (UK). Journal of Hydrology 330: 171-
631	184.
632	Zong, L., and H. Nepf. 2012. Vortex development behind a finite porous obstruction in a channel.
633	Journal of Fluid Mechanics 691: 368-391.

634 Acknowledgments

635 The authors gratefully acknowledge Bert Sinke, Lennart van IJzerloo, Lowie Haazen and Jeroen van 636 Dalen for their technical assistance in the flume. We thank Peter van Breugel and members of the 637 analytical lab of NIOZ-Yerseke for the stable isotope analyses. We thank Siebren Wezenberg for 638 helping during the experiments. This work was supported by the Research Executive Agency, through 639 the 7th Framework Programme of the European Union, Support for Training and Career Development 640 of Researchers (Marie Curie - FP7-PEOPLE-2012-ITN), which funded the Initial Training Network 641 (ITN) HYTECH 'Hydrodynamic Transport in Ecologically Critical Heterogeneous Interfaces', N.316546. Data associated with this study is available from 4TU.Centre for Research Data at: 642 643 10.4121/uuid:e4678efa-426f-483a-b74a-c6ab4df53354

644 Figure legends

649

Figure 1: (A) Natural patches of *Callitriche* and (B) *Groenlandia* in the field. (C, D) Lateral view of the
two patches, with the black outline indicating canopy height at increasing distance from the patch
leading edge.

Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the four spatial configurations of aquatic macrophytes in the test

650 indicates patches of *Callitriche* (dense canopy). Diagonal lines indicate the boxes in which plants were

section of the flume. Light green indicates patches of *Groenlandia* (sparse canopy), and dark green

651 rooted. Black circles are locations of plant specimens removed after the incubations experiments for

assessment of NH₄⁺ uptake rates, and of Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (ADV) profile measurements.

Numbers indicate mean (\pm SE) water velocity \overline{U} (m s⁻¹) and Turbulent Kinetic Energy (Turbulent KE)

 $(m^2 s^{-2})$ within each species patch.

Figure 3: Scatter plots of NH_4^+ uptake rates (µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) against Total Kinetic Energy (Total

656 KE) (m² s⁻²), depth-averaged velocity $\langle \overline{U} \rangle$ (m s⁻¹) and Turbulent Kinetic Energy (Turbulent KE) (m² s⁻²)

²) for the sparse *Groenlandia* (black circles) and the dense *Callitriche* (white diamonds). Black lines are linear regression lines for the *Groenlandia* (solid line) and *Callitriche* (dotted line) data separately

and represent significant relationships ($p \le 0.05$).

Figure 4: Boxplots of the distribution of NH_4^+ uptake rates (µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) within patches of the dense *Callitriche* (a) and the sparse *Groenlandia* (b) in each spatial configuration (S indicating sparse vegetation, D indicating dense vegetation, see Figure 2). Letters denote significant differences (Tukey's HSD, p < 0.05).

Figure 5: Scatter plots of channel total NH_4^+ uptake rates (µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) in each spatial

665 configuration against Total Kinetic Energy (m² s⁻²) averaged within patches of *Callitriche* and

666 *Groenlandia* in each spatial configuration (S indicating sparse vegetation, D indicating dense

vegetation, see Figure 2). Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

668 Figure 6: Schematized drawing of the effects of multispecific spatial patchiness on hydrodynamics and 669 nutrient uptake rates. In Sparse-Dense configurations (a), the sparse vegetation is exposed to high mean 670 flow but low turbulence, and does not benefit from being located at the leading edge. Similarly, the 671 dense vegetation is exposed to low mean flow speed due to sheltering by the patch upstream, and hence has lower uptake rates. Instead, in Dense-Sparse configurations (b), uptake rates of both species are 672 higher: the dense vegetation benefits from being at the leading edge and exposed to high mean flow 673 speed (which increases uptake rates); at the same time, the sparse vegetation benefits from the high 674 turbulence created in the wake of the dense patch. 675

676

677

679 Tables

- 680 **Table 1** Summary of patch characteristics [mean \pm SD (*n*)] of the two species at the incoming flow
- velocity of 0.24 m s⁻¹ used in the flume experiments: biomass (g DW m⁻²; measured), canopy height (h,
- 682 m; measured), frontal area per water volume (a, m⁻¹; calculated from Eq. 3), frontal area per bed area
- 683 (*ah*, dimensionless; calculated from Eq. 4).

	Biomass (g DW m ⁻²)	Canopy height (<i>h</i> , m)	Frontal area per water volume (a, m^{-1})	Frontal area per bed area (<i>ah</i> , dimensionless)
Groenlandia	97 ± 28 (3)	0.070 ± 0.010 (9)	0.090 ± 0.007 (2)	0.031 ± 0.003 (2)
Callitriche	318 ± 67 (3)	0.170 ± 0.080 (5)	0.571 ± 0.101 (2)	0.200 ± 0.035 (2)

Table 2 Pearson's correlation coefficients between NH₄⁺ uptake rates (µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) and canopy height (cm) or hydrodynamic parameters (depth-averaged velocity $\langle \bar{U} \rangle$ [m s⁻¹]; Reynolds shear stress τ_{xz} [Pa]; Turbulent Kinetic Energy (Turbulent KE) [m² s⁻²]; Total Kinetic Energy (Total KE) [m² s⁻²] and canopy water flow Q_c [m³ s⁻¹]) for *Groenlandia*, *Callitriche* and both species considered together. Correlation coefficients in bold are significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

	Groenlandia (n = 24)	Callitriche $(n = 24)$	All (n = 48)
Height	0.17	-0.18	-0.30
<Ū>	-0.20	0.42	0.40
τ _{xz}	0.01	-0.18	0.03
Turbulent KE	0.68	0.34	0.53
Total KE	0.79	0.45	0.54
Qc	-0.07	0.19	-0.09

690

692 Figures



Figure 1 (A) Natural patches of *Callitriche* and (B) *Groenlandia* in the field. (C, D) Lateral view of the
two patches, with the black outline indicating canopy height at increasing distance from the patch
leading edge.



697

Figure 2 Schematic diagram of the four spatial configurations of aquatic macrophytes in the test section of the flume. Light green indicates patches of *Groenlandia* (sparse canopy), and dark green indicates patches of *Callitriche* (dense canopy). Diagonal lines indicate the boxes in which plants were rooted. Black circles are locations of plant specimens removed after the incubations experiments for assessment of NH4⁺ uptake rates, and of Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (ADV) profile measurements. Numbers indicate mean (\pm SE) water velocity \overline{U} (m s⁻¹) and Turbulent Kinetic Energy (Turbulent KE) (m² s⁻²) within each species patch.



705

Figure 3 Scatter plots of NH4⁺ uptake rates (μ mol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) against Total Kinetic Energy (Total KE) (m² s⁻²), depth-averaged velocity $\langle \bar{U} \rangle$ (m s⁻¹) and Turbulent Kinetic Energy (Turbulent KE) (m² s⁻) for the sparse *Groenlandia* (black circles) and the dense *Callitriche* (white diamonds). Black lines are linear regression lines for the *Groenlandia* (solid line) and *Callitriche* (dotted line) data separately and represent significant relationships ($p \le 0.05$).



Figure 4 Boxplots of the distribution of NH₄⁺ uptake rates (μ mol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) within patches of the dense *Callitriche* (a) and the sparse *Groenlandia* (b) in each spatial configuration (S indicating sparse vegetation, D indicating dense vegetation, see Figure 2). Letters denote significant differences (Tukey's HSD, *p* < 0.05).

716



In-patch Total Kinetic Energy (m² s⁻²)

717

Figure 5 Scatter plots of channel total NH_4^+ uptake rates (µmol g⁻¹ (DM) h⁻¹) in each spatial configuration against Total Kinetic Energy (m² s⁻²) averaged within patches of *Callitriche* and *Groenlandia* in each spatial configuration (S indicating sparse vegetation, D indicating dense vegetation, see Figure 2). Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

(a) Sparse-Dense species configurations



723

Figure 6 Schematized drawing of the effects of multispecific spatial patchiness on hydrodynamics and 724 nutrient uptake rates. In Sparse-Dense configurations (a), the sparse vegetation is exposed to high mean 725 flow but low turbulence, and does not benefit from being located at the leading edge. Similarly, the 726 dense vegetation is exposed to low mean flow speed due to sheltering by the patch upstream, and hence 727 has lower uptake rates. Instead, in Dense-Sparse configurations (b), uptake rates of both species are 728 729 higher: the dense vegetation benefits from being at the leading edge and exposed to high mean flow speed (which increases uptake rates); at the same time, the sparse vegetation benefits from the high 730 731 turbulence created in the wake of the dense patch.

733 Supporting Information



Figure S1: Frequency distribution of patch length (m) for *Callitriche* and *Groenlandia* (n = 20) in field conditions.