

# **Evolution of sex-biased gene expression in a dioecious plant**

N. Zemp, R. Tavares, A. Muyle, D. Charlesworth, Gabriel Marais, A. Widmer

# **To cite this version:**

N. Zemp, R. Tavares, A. Muyle, D. Charlesworth, Gabriel Marais, et al.. Evolution of sex-biased gene expression in a dioecious plant. Nature Plants,  $2016$ , 2, pp.16168.  $10.1038/\text{nplants}.2016.168$ . hal-02049902

# **HAL Id: hal-02049902 <https://univ-lyon1.hal.science/hal-02049902v1>**

Submitted on 30 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

# **Evolution of sex-biased gene expression in a dioecious plant**

**Citation for published version:**

Charlesworth, D, Zemp, N, Tavares, R, Marais, GAB & Widmer, A 2016, 'Evolution of sex-biased gene expression in a dioecious plant', Nature Plants, vol. 2, 16168.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2016.168>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):** [10.1038/nplants.2016.168](https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2016.168)

**Link:** [Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](https://www.research.ed.ac.uk/en/publications/99918606-9f1b-430a-92c8-2eef9f4f1028)

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

**Published In:** Nature Plants

#### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### **Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



- **Evolution of sex-biased gene expression in a dioecious plant**
- 
- 
- 4 Niklaus Zemp<sup>1</sup>, Raquel Tavares<sup>2</sup>, Aline Muyle<sup>2</sup>, Deborah Charlesworth<sup>3</sup>,
- 5 Gabriel A. B. Marais<sup>2</sup> & Alex Widmer<sup>\*1</sup>
- 
- *ETH Zurich, Institute of Integrative Biology, Universitätstrasse 16, 8092*
- *Zürich, Switzerland*
- *Université Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5558, Laboratoire de Biométrie et*
- *Biologie Evolutive, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France*
- *<sup>3</sup>University of Edinburgh, Institute of Evolutionary Biology, Edinburgh*
- *EH9 3JT, Midlothian, Scotland*
- *\* corresponding author*
- 

### **Abstract**

 Separate sexes and sex-biased gene expression have repeatedly evolved in animals and plants, but the underlying changes in gene expression remain unknown. Here we studied a pair of plant species, one in which separate sexes and sex chromosomes evolved recently and one which maintained hermaphrodite flowers resembling the ancestral state, to reconstruct expression changes associated with the evolution of dioecy. We find that sex-biased gene expression has evolved in autosomal and sex-linked genes in the dioecious species. Most expression changes relative to hermaphrodite flowers occurred in females rather than males, with higher and lower expression in females leading to female-biased and male-biased expression, respectively. Expression changes were commoner in genes located on the sex chromosomes than the 28 autosomes and led to feminisation of the X and masculinisation of the Y chromosome. Our results support a scenario in which sex-biased gene expression evolved during the evolution of dioecy to resolve intralocus sexual conflicts over the allocation of resources.

 Females and males of many plant and animal species differ in morphological, physiological and ecological characteristics, despite their 35 overall genetic similarity  $1,2$ . Such sexual dimorphism can evolve through sex-limited or sex-biased expression of genes that are present in both sexes, or through complete sex-linkage, when a gene or allele is 38 restricted to the genome of just one sex  $1-5$ . Sex-biased and sex-limited gene expression, and enrichment of such genes in fully sex-linked 40 regions, are well documented in animals  $1,6-8$  $1,6-8$ , including humans  $9$ , and 41 have recently been studied also in plants and algae  $10-13$ , but the evolutionary changes that actually led to expression differences between the sexes remain unknown.

 Sex-biased gene expression can evolve through changes in expression in either one or both sexes. For example, female-biased expression may evolve by increased expression in females, decreased expression in males, or a combination of both (Fig. 1a, scenarios I-III). In many dioecious organisms, these evolutionary changes cannot be studied because separate sexes evolved too long ago. Species in which they evolved more recently, such as some plants, are therefore of great interest, because gene expression changes can be inferred from comparisons with related species without separate sexes, which should often represent the ancestral state (Fig. 1b). Such changes provide new information about the role of sex-linked and sexually antagonistic genes 55 in the evolution of separate sexes .

 Here, we study the evolution of sex-biased gene expression in dioecious *Silene latifolia* Poiret (White Campion), a plant model for sex

58 chromosome evolution <sup>[15-20](#page-22-6)</sup>. In the genus *Silene*, gynodioecy, the co- existence of hermaphrodites and females in the same population, represents the ancestral state, and dioecy (separate sexes) has evolved at least twice independently [21](#page-23-0) . *Silene vulgaris* (Moench) Garcke is 62 gynodioecious and closely related to *S. latifolia* <sup>[15](#page-22-6)</sup>, in which female and 63 male flowers and inflorescences are sexually dimorphic  $^{22}$  $^{22}$  $^{22}$  (Fig. 2a) and both fully and partially sex-linked quantitative trait loci affecting sexually 65 dimorphic traits have been inferred <sup>[23](#page-23-2)</sup>. Silene latifolia has an XY sex- determination system with heteromorphic sex chromosomes that have 67 evolved within the past 5-10 MY  $24,25$  $24,25$ . Its Y chromosome is much less 68 degenerated than in ancient animal sex chromosome systems  $17,18,26$  $17,18,26$ .

 We used comparative mRNA-seq transcriptome sequencing to assess gene expression differences between *S. latifolia* females and males and investigate evolutionary changes in gene expression in each sex from the likely ancestral state represented by flowers of *S. vulgaris*  hermaphrodites. We further test for differential representation of sex- biased genes on the sex chromosomes and autosomes, and assess allele-specific changes in the expression of sex-linked genes to test for feminisation and masculinisation of the X and Y chromosome, respectively. We find that the evolution of sex-biased expression in *S. latifolia* primarily involved changes in females, and that the different selective forces acting on the sex chromosomes have not yet led to accumulation of genes with female-biased and male-biased expression on the X and Y chromosome, respectively, but to subtler expression

 changes causing feminisation of the X and masculinisation of the Y chromosome.

**Results**

### **The extent of sex-biased gene expression.**

 We analysed mRNA-seq data from Illumina 100 bp paired-end reads obtained from developing flower buds and rosette leaves of female and male *S. latifolia*, and flower buds of hermaphrodite *S. vulgaris*. We obtained 145 Gb of RNA-seq from flower buds of seven female and seven male *S. latifolia* individuals, and 41 Gb from rosette leaves from four individuals of each sex. From the flower buds of five *S. vulgaris* hermaphrodites we obtained 33 Gb of transcriptome data. 58% and 57% of the *S. latifolia* reads from flower buds and rosette leaves, respectively, and 44% of the *S. vulgaris* reads, matched sequences in the *S. latifolia*  flower bud reference transcriptome (for details see Supplementary Table 1). The lower percentage for *S. vulgaris* probably reflects sequence 98 divergence between the two species .

 We used flower buds in our expression analyses because sexual dimorphism in *S. latifolia* is stronger for flower and inflorescence traits 101 than for other characters . However, some sex differences in gene expression in buds must be due simply to the presence or absence of the sex organs (referred to as "primary differences" in Fig. 1b). Therefore, before quantifying sex-bias in gene expression, or counting numbers of genes with evolved expression differences between the sexes, we

 excluded all 903 contigs exhibiting sex-limited expression in this data set (i.e. expressed in buds of only one sex in *S. latifolia*,). Among the remaining 11,366 *S. latifolia* contigs with at least some expression in buds of both sexes, many showed significant sex differences in expression (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2). The results are robust to different normalisation procedures and estimators of gene expression differences (Supplementary Fig. 2), and, for 16 genes tested, agree well with qRT-PCR results (Supplementary Fig. 3; Spearman correlation; ϱ=0.92; p<0.0001). GO analysis revealed several biological processes that are significantly over-represented among female-biased genes but under-represented among male-biased genes, or vice versa, suggesting that sex-biased expression has evolved to support contrasting biological functions in *S. latifolia* females and males. Specifically, female- biased contigs are enriched for transcription factors involved in cell-cycle and developmental functions, but depleted in genes involved in catabolism (Supplementary Table 3), while male-biased contigs are enriched in genes involved in carbohydrate, lipid, and secondary metabolite metabolism, transport, and responses to various stimuli, and depleted in genes involved in nucleic acid metabolism and protein synthesis and modification.

 We divided the contigs expressed in buds into autosomal, sex-linked (defined as contigs having both X- and Y-linked alleles) and X hemizygous contigs (sex-linked, but with an expressed copy on the X only). These categories were inferred from SNPs segregating in a full-sib family, using a probabilistic model [27](#page-23-7) . 2,142 *S. latifolia* bud-expressed

 autosomal contigs (16.8% of such contigs) had significantly sex-biased expression (Supplementary Table 2), 7.2% with female and 9.6% with male-biased expression (Fig. 2b). Sex-biased expression is commoner among the 936 fully sex-linked contigs (28.8% overall, with respectively 13.8% and 15.0% having female and male-biased expression; Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 2). Female-biased expression of sex-linked genes may reflect either higher expression in females or lower expression in males caused by reduced expression of the Y-linked allele if dosage compensation is absent or incomplete. These alternatives are notoriously 140 difficult to distinguish . Incomplete dosage compensation is widely 41 observed in animals <sup>1</sup>. In *S. latifolia*, evidence for dosage compensation 142 has been reported  $20,29$ , but not all genes are fully compensated  $16,17,26$  $16,17,26$  $16,17,26$ . Apparent female-biased expression due to incomplete dosage compensation should be displayed in both flower buds and vegetative 145 tissues . In order to exclude such genes, we therefore examined sex- biased expression also in rosette leaves (which show overall less sex- biased expression, see below). Of the 86 sex-linked contigs with female- biased expression in flower buds that were sufficiently expressed in rosette leaves, only 16 (18.6%) had female-biased expression (Supplementary Fig. 4). Excluding these from our analysis, the sex chromosomes still have a highly significant overrepresentation of contigs with sex-biased expression (Fisher's exact test, p < 0.0001), but no longer of contigs with female-biased expression (Fisher's exact test, p=0.7303). The apparent over-representation of female-biased genes on

 the sex chromosomes therefore probably reflects incomplete dosage compensation in *S. latifolia*.

 Many fewer genes showed sex-biased expression in rosette leaves than in flower buds (Fisher's exact test, p < 0.0001), consistent with sexual dimorphism in *S. latifolia* being stronger for flower and inflorescence traits 160 <sup>[22](#page-23-1)</sup>. This difference was observed for both autosomal and sex-linked contigs (18.7-fold and 3.84-fold lower, respectively, see Fig. 2b and 162 Supplementary Table 2). Similar findings in *Rumex hastatulus* <sup>[30](#page-23-11)</sup> suggest that sex bias may generally be low in plant vegetative tissues. As in buds, genes with sex-biased expression in rosette leaves were over- represented on the sex chromosomes (Fig. 2b). Among contigs expressed in rosette leaves, female-bias was commonest, with 0.6% of autosomal and 4.1% of sex-linked contigs showing female bias, and male bias being significantly rarer (0.3% of autosomal and 3.4% of sex-linked contigs, Supplementary Table 2, Fisher's exact test, p < 0.0001). The higher frequency of female-biased contigs in leaves contrasts with our finding of a higher proportion of contigs with male-biased expression in buds. In *Asparagus officinalis* flower buds, genes with higher male than 173 female expression also predominated , potentially reflecting sexual 174 selection acting on floral and inflorescence traits  $31,32$ .

### **Evolution of sex-biased gene expression**

 To investigate the evolutionary changes that have led to the observed sex-biased gene expression in *S. latifolia*, we also estimated gene expression in hermaphrodite flowers of gynodioecious *S. vulgaris*.

 Because few genes show sex-biased expression in vegetative tissues of *S. latifolia* we focus on expression changes in buds. Expression levels of genes with no sex bias in expression in *S. latifolia* (white bars in Fig. 3) are largely unchanged in females and males, relative to *S. vulgaris*  hermaphrodite flower buds, indicating that much of the gene expression changes between the two species relates to the evolution of separate sexes. Our results reveal fundamental changes in the transcriptome associated with the evolution of dioecy, resulting in both male- and female biases in expression (Fig. 1b), and revealing the changes that led to them. For both autosomal and sex-linked contigs in *S. latifolia* (Fig. 3), we found that the evolution of sex-biased expression mainly involves changes in females: female-biased expression (red bars in Fig. 3) is due primarily to higher expression in *S. latifolia* female buds, and the many genes with male-biased expression (blue bars in Fig. 3) primarily result from lower expression in females than in *S. vulgaris* hermaphrodites, implying many changes in autosomal and X-linked genes. For both autosomal and sex-linked contigs, gene expression changes in males are much smaller than those in females, although the variances are high for the more limited number of sex-linked contigs (Fig. 3). Similar patterns were also found for both X-hemizygous contigs and contigs whose genomic locations are unknown (called "undefined contigs" in Supplementary Fig. 5).

 For most contigs whose expression was studied, we inferred whether they are autosomal or sex-linked, which required expression in both sexes, and therefore genes with primary expression changes due simply

 to loss of sex organs and functions (see above) are not included. Secondary gene expression changes, including up- or down-regulation of genes following establishment of a unisexual type in a population (Fig. 1b), are of greater interest, and may often benefit the sex in which 208 expression is changed . Assuming that expression changes affect fitness and are under selection, rather than reflecting neutral divergence (evidence for selection is described below), three evolutionary scenarios are possible (Fig. 1a). When an expression change is advantageous only for one sex, increased expression of a gene may occur specifically in that sex, with the other sex retaining the ancestral expression level. For example, if the ancestral expression state is optimal for males but suboptimal for females, a female-specific increase will be advantageous, whereas selection favours retaining the ancestral expression state in males, as it is already optimal (Scenario I). Similarly, in scenario II, the ancestral expression state exceeds the optimum for males, favouring a male-specific reduction in expression. In scenario III, the expression level of a gene in the ancestral hermaphrodite (before dioecy evolved) was 221 non-optimal for both sexes, potentially because of trade-offs , and this was adjusted by evolutionary changes in both sexes after dioecy evolved. Large expression changes in opposite directions in both sexes suggest the evolution of changes in response to sexual antagonism at such genes, increasing their expression in the sex where high expression is advantageous, and reducing it in the other sex (Fig. 1a; scenario III). Patterns corresponding to scenarios I and II are also compatible with the hypothesis that sexual antagonisms underlie evolutionary changes in

 gene expression between the sexes. If the optimal expression for one sex is the same as the ancestral state, sex-biased gene expression can evolve during the evolution of unisexuality, when expression is optimisd in 232 the other sex to resolve ancestral antagonistic effects.

 We inferred the directions of changes in expression by comparing the expression of female- and male-biased genes in dioecious *S. latifolia* with expression levels in hermaphroditic flowers of *S. vulgaris* (Supplementary Fig. 6)*.* Approximately half of the genes with sex-biased expression could be assigned to scenarios I to III (Fig. 1a). Other changes leading to sex- biased expression are possible, such as increased (or decreased) expression in both sexes, relative to hermaphrodite flowers, but to different extents; these, however, cannot be distinguished from species- specific changes in expression between *S. latifolia* and *S. vulgaris* and are therefore not discussed. Of the autosomal contigs with male-biased expression, only a small proportion (14.9%) evolved through increased expression in males (Fig. 4a, I, blue bar), whereas 39.4% have undergone reduced expression in females (Fig. 4a, II, blue bar). In marked contrast, a large percentage (42.1%) of autosomal genes with female-biased expression in *S. latifolia* are more strongly expressed in *S. latifolia* females than in hermaphroditic *S. vulgaris* flowers (Fig. 4a, I, red bar), while only 11.2% evolved lower expression in males (Fig. 4a, II, red bar). The results are similar for the smaller number of sex-linked (Fig. 4a) and X-hemizygous contigs and contigs with undefined locations (Supplementary Fig. 7). Thus sex-biased expression in the dioecious *S. latifolia* evolved primarily through expression changes in females, rather

 than males: higher expression in females led to female-biased expression, of many genes, whereas male biases evolved mainly through reduced expression in females.

### **The role of selection in the evolution of sex-biased gene expression**

 We estimated the proportions of genes with evidence for directional selection underlying gene expression changes under scenarios I and II 260 above by computing  $\Delta X$  values <sup>[35,](#page-24-0)[36](#page-24-1)</sup>. Our results indicate that the great majority of expression changes in females, but not in males, have been driven by selection. Fig. 4 a-b shows the fractions of genes in the top 25% of ΔX values whose directions of change correspond to scenarios I and II. We estimate that only about 50% of these autosomal expression changes in males can be attributed to directional selection, whereas our estimates are much higher for expression changes in females (73% for up-regulations creating female-biased contigs, and 96% for down- regulations creating male-biased contigs). Expression changes in one sex, without change in the other, were much commoner than significant expression changes in opposite directions in the two sexes (scenario III in Fig. 1a); almost all of these genes are male-biased in *S. latifolia* (Fig. 4c). In animals, male-biased genes are also often commoner than female- biased genes, and tend to evolve more rapidly, possibly because sexually 274 antagonistic selection is stronger in males . Consistently, male-biased expression in *Drosophila* was inferred to result primarily from adaptive 276 changes in the male transcriptome <sup>[37](#page-24-2)</sup>. In *S. latifolia*, although there are many male-biased genes, these do not indicate stronger sexually

 antagonistic selection in males, because they evolved through reduced expression in females.

#### **Sex-biased expression on sex chromosomes**

 In dioecious species, tertiary changes in gene expression may follow the evolution of sex chromosomes with non-recombining regions (Fig. 1b, 283 Step iii) and include expression changes that are specific to the X and Y chromosome. Overall, the expression changes inferred for sex-linked contigs are consistent with those for autosomal contigs, but the proportion of genes with changes in males was slightly higher than for autosomal contigs (Fig. 4). Evidence for a selective advantage of expression 288 changes on the sex chromosomes was again strongest for changes in females (82% and 100% of contigs with higher and lower expression in 290 females, respectively, are in the top 25% of  $\Delta$ X values; Fig. 4b), and higher proportions of contigs were inferred to have decreased expression as a consequence of selection than to have undergone increases (Fig. 4b), suggesting that selection may be strong to reduce fitness costs at loci on the sex chromosomes that have fixed sexually antagonistic alleles.

295 In animals such as *Drosophila*<sup>[7](#page-22-11)</sup> and mice <sup>[6](#page-22-2)</sup> with evolutionarily much older sex chromosomes, most functional Y-linked genes have been lost as a consequence of Y chromosome degeneration, rendering the majority of X-linked genes hemizygous in males. Dosage compensation systems have evolved in these species, compensating for low expression from degenerated Y-linked genes and X chromosomes have evolved an 301 overrepresentation of genes with female-biased expression . Such a

 feminisation of the X is predicted by theory for hemizygous loci (reviewed in <sup>[1](#page-22-0)</sup>) whereas the Y chromosomes are enriched for genes with male-304 biased expression among their few remaining genes (masculinization) [39-](#page-24-4) 305 <sup>42</sup>. In *S. latifolia*, up to 45% of Y-linked genes are not expressed <sup>[20](#page-23-9)</sup>. Nevertheless, Y chromosome degeneration is much less extensive than in old animal sex chromosomes, and X-hemizygous genes studied are 308 apparently not dosage compensated  $16,17,26$  $16,17,26$  $16,17,26$ . Together, these differences prevent direct comparison with the much older animal sex chromosomes.

 To assess whether subtler gene expression changes have evolved on the *S. latifolia* X and Y chromosome, we examined sex-linked genes with copies expressed from both the X and Y chromosome. Expression ratios of these genes (per X-linked allele, see Supplementary Methods) revealed that genes with equal expression in both sexes express their X- linked alleles with equal intensity in females and males (Fig. 5a). However, the expression per X-linked allele is significantly higher for contigs with female-biased expression, and lower when the gene is male- biased (Fig. 5a; Wilcoxon-test, p < 0.0001 for both comparisons), suggesting subtle feminisation and de-masculinisation of the *S. latifolia* X chromosome.

 To assess whether the Y chromosome has similarly evolved a degree of masculinisation, a simple comparison of expression ratios of Y-linked versus X-linked alleles may be inappropriate if dosage compensation in *S. latifolia* <sup>[20,](#page-23-9)[29](#page-23-10)</sup> is achieved through increased X/Y expression in males. Instead, therefore, we compared the expression of Y-linked alleles in *S. latifolia* with that of the homologous genes in hermaphrodite *S. vulgaris*

 (not sex-linked). Compared with contigs without sex bias in expression, contigs with male-biased expression in *S. latifolia* indeed had higher Y/*S. vulgaris* allele expression ratios (Y/0.5\*AA in Fig. 5b; Wilcoxon-test, p < 0.01), and Y-linked alleles of contigs with female-biased expression had lower ratios (Wilcoxon-test, p < 0.001). Consistent patterns were seen for Y/X expression ratios in males (Supplementary Fig. 8; the ratios are 333 correlated; Spearman correlation,  $\rho = 0.598$ , p < 0.001; Supplementary Fig. 9). The weakly negative median expression ratio of contigs with unbiased expression is in agreement with other studies evidencing Y 336 chromosome degeneration in *S. latifolia* <sup>[17](#page-22-7)[,18,](#page-23-5)[20,](#page-23-9)[26,](#page-23-6)[29,](#page-23-10)[43](#page-24-5)[,44](#page-24-6)</sup> and the higher ratio of contigs with male-biased than female-biased expression supports a scenario in which degeneration of Y-linked genes is retarded by haploid selection acting on pollen-expressed genes [17](#page-22-7) . We conclude that the *S. latifolia* Y chromosome has undergone some masculinisation and thus contributes to male-biased expression of sex-linked genes.

### **Discussion**

 Our finding that sex-biased gene expression in a dioecious plant has most often evolved through decreased transcription, predominantly in females, is consistent with the occurrence of sexual antagonism in the hermaphrodite ancestor, specifically with intralocus sexual conflicts in which high expression levels of many genes benefitting male functions in 348 the hermaphrodite, are detrimental in females . Similarly, the smaller, but still substantial, number of genes that underwent expression changes only in males suggests that males benefit from changed expression of

 some genes that experienced trade-offs in the hermaphrodite, but were 352 fixed for female beneficial/male detrimental alleles . Together, our results suggest that conflict over gene expression in hermaphrodite flowers of *S. vulgaris* led to an outcome closer to the optimum for male than female functions, and that sex-biased gene expression may have been involved in re-allocating resources during the evolution of dioecy in *S. latifolia*, resolving such conflicts. Such resource reallocation was apparently more important for females than for males, compatible with 359 female plants often being resource limited  $14,45$ , and with Darwin's  $46$  idea that resource compensation is a major factor in the evolution of dioecy.

 While our results support the hypothesis that sex-biased expression has evolved to reduce intralocus sexual conflict, it remains unknown what fraction of genes with sex-biased expression evolved through conflict resolution, as sex-biased expression alone does not necessarily imply the 365 past existence of sexual antagonism . All three scenarios in Fig. 1a are compatible with intralocus sexual conflict, and these patterns apply to approximately 50% of contigs with female-biased expression (53.7% for autosomal contigs and 51.0% for sex-linked ones), and approximately 60% of contigs with male-biased expression (60.4% for autosomal and 64.2% for sex-linked contigs) (Supplementary Fig. 6). The remaining genes with male or female-biased expression may have evolved sex- biased expression under other selective forces acting during the evolution of separate sexes, for example to compensate for negative pleiotropic fitness effects of the sterility mutations involved, and of primary expression changes, or because upstream regulatory elements causing

 sex-biased expression may affect other genes where the change is maladaptive.

 We conclude that the sex chromosomes, as in animals, contribute to sexual dimorphism in *S. latifolia*, as genes with sex-biased expression are over-represented on these chromosomes. However, in contrast to 381 animals with evolutionarily much older sex chromosomes  $6,7,40,48$  $6,7,40,48$  $6,7,40,48$ , our analysis of the contribution of X and Y-linked alleles to sex-biased gene expression in this plant detected no accumulation of genes with female- biased expression on the X chromosome, or male-biased expression on the Y. Instead, we find evidence for opposing selective forces acting on the *S. latifolia* X and Y chromosome, leading to tertiary expression differences between X- and Y-linked alleles, a subtle form of feminisation of the X chromosome, and masculinisation of the Y. These expression changes may represent a hitherto unknown early stage of sex chromosome evolution that precedes the accumulation of genes with expression biased to one sex or the other.

 Our study demonstrates considerable expression changes in this recently evolved dioecious species and illustrates the value of studying closely related species, only one of which has evolved separate sexes and sex chromosomes. Including a close relative with hermaphrodite flowers allowed us to infer that sex-biased gene expression in dioecious *S. latifolia* has evolved primarily through secondary expression changes of many genes in females. Our results support the long-standing hypothesis that the evolution of sex-biased gene expression reduces the detrimental effects of sexually antagonistic alleles present in the ancestral

 hermaphrodite that became fixed at autosomal, and particularly at sex- linked, loci in the dioecious descendant. This resolution often reduces expression in the disadvantaged sex, but sometimes increases expression in the sex in which a derived allele was favoured in the dioecious descendant. Our comparative approach can be used to compare expression changes in genes that became fully sex linked at different times during the evolution of a sex chromosome system, and can be applied to studying other plant and animal lineages in which separate sexes have evolved recently.

### **Methods**

# **Transcriptome sequencing, assembly and identification of sex-linked genes**

 Multiple females and males of *S. latifolia* and hermaphrodite individuals of *S. vulgaris* were used in this study (Supplementary Table 1). High quality RNA was extracted from small flower buds at developmental stages B1- 418 B2<sup>[49](#page-24-12)</sup> and from fully developed rosette leaves. Individually tagged RNA- seq libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq2000 machines using 100bp paired-end reads.

 Illumina short reads and Roche 454 Genome Sequencer FLX reads derived from multiple tissues of *S. latifolia* were combined to assemble *de novo* a reference transcriptome encompassing 46,178 contigs (for details 424 see Supplementary Methods). We used SEX-DETector to classify

 contigs as autosomal, sex-linked, X-hemizygous or undefined based on SNPs segregating in one *S. latifolia* population.

#### **Analyses of sex-biased expression**

 For gene expression analysis, RNA-seq reads derived from flower buds and rosette leaves of *S. latifolia* and *S. vulgaris* were individually mapped 430 to the reference transcriptome. Numbers of mapped reads were extracted per contig and sample and significantly differentially expressed contigs between female and male *S. latifolia* individuals were identified in both flower buds and rosette leaves.

 Apparent sex-biased gene expression in flower buds of female and male plants may arise trivially, when genes with sex-limited expression (i.e. genes that are expressed in one sex only) are not expressed in the sex that does not form the corresponding tissue (for example, apparent male- biased gene expression may occur in *S. latifolia* for anther-specific genes, simply because no anthers are formed in female flowers and the corresponding genes are not expressed), or when genes are expressed at similar levels in both female and male organs, and thus have reduced expression when the organs are not developed in one sex. These contigs representing primary expression changes (Fig. 1b) were excluded from further analyses. They encompassed 839 contigs that were expressed exclusively in males, and 64 contigs expressed exclusively in females.

 To assess differences in the prevalence of contigs with sex-biased versus unbiased expression, and female-biased versus male-biased expression between autosomal and sex-linked contigs, we used Fisher's exact tests.

#### **Expression divergence between** *S. vulgaris* **and** *S. latifolia.*

 To test whether directional selection has affected expression levels, we 451 used the  $\Delta X$  approach <sup>[35](#page-24-0)[,36](#page-24-1)</sup>.  $\Delta X$  was calculated as  $\Delta X$  = mean(X<sub>SL females or</sub> 452 males)-mean(Xsv)/sd(XsL females or males).

 Expression differences between *S. latifolia* females or males and *S. vulgaris* hermaphrodites were divided by the standard deviation for all contigs, estimated separately for the two sexes in *S. latifolia*. For categories I and II of Fig. 1a, we computed the percentages of contigs displaying outlier expression divergence values between the two species 458 (defined as  $\Delta X \ge 75$  percentile across all contigs).

### **Allelic expression estimates of sex-linked contigs**

 Allelic contribution of the X and Y chromosomes to gene expression of sex-linked genes were calculated using sex linked SNPs and were compared to the autosomal ancestral stage in *S. vulgaris* (Supplementary Methods).

#### **Data availability**

 RNA-seq data and the reference transcriptome have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession number PRJEB14171. Further data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# **References**

<span id="page-22-11"></span><span id="page-22-10"></span><span id="page-22-9"></span><span id="page-22-8"></span><span id="page-22-7"></span><span id="page-22-6"></span><span id="page-22-5"></span><span id="page-22-4"></span><span id="page-22-3"></span><span id="page-22-2"></span><span id="page-22-1"></span><span id="page-22-0"></span>

<span id="page-23-15"></span><span id="page-23-14"></span><span id="page-23-13"></span><span id="page-23-12"></span><span id="page-23-11"></span><span id="page-23-10"></span><span id="page-23-9"></span><span id="page-23-8"></span><span id="page-23-7"></span><span id="page-23-6"></span><span id="page-23-5"></span><span id="page-23-4"></span><span id="page-23-3"></span><span id="page-23-2"></span><span id="page-23-1"></span><span id="page-23-0"></span>

<span id="page-24-13"></span><span id="page-24-12"></span><span id="page-24-11"></span><span id="page-24-10"></span><span id="page-24-9"></span><span id="page-24-8"></span><span id="page-24-7"></span><span id="page-24-6"></span><span id="page-24-5"></span><span id="page-24-4"></span><span id="page-24-3"></span><span id="page-24-2"></span><span id="page-24-1"></span><span id="page-24-0"></span>

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.W.

# **Acknowledgements**

- This work was funded through SNF projects 141260 and 160123 to A.W.
- and the French National Research Agency ANR-11-BSV7-013-03 to
- G.A.B.M.

 We thank C. Michel for laboratory support, S. Zoller from the ETH Zurich Genetic Diversity Centre (GDC) for assembling the reference transcriptome and members of the Plant Ecological Genetics group for insightful discussions. We thank M.C. Fischer for providing pictures of *S. latifolia* and M. Frei for taking care of all plants. Data produced and analysed in this paper were generated in collaboration with the GDC.

# **Author contributions**

- Designed the experiments and formulated predictions: N.Z., A.W.,
- G.A.B.M., D.C.; Performed the experiments: N.Z.; Analysed the data N.Z.,
- R.T., A.M.; Wrote the manuscript: N.Z., A.W., D.C., G.A.B.M., R.T., A.M.
- **Competing interests**
- The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 
- 
- 

### **Figures**

**Fig. 1**

### **Hypothetical scenarios for the evolution of sex-biased gene**

- **expression**. **a**, Evolution of female-biased expression from a
- hermaphroditic ancestral state. I: expression increased in females, II:
- expression decreased in males, III: expression increased in females and
- decreased in males. **b**, Evolutionary changes in gene expression
- associated with the transition from hermaphroditism to dioecy and the
- evolution of non-recombining sex chromosomes. Primary mutations lead
- 637 to gynodioecy (or androdioecy) and subsequently to dioecy . Secondary
- expression changes lead to sex-biased gene expression. Tertiary
- expression changes on sex chromosomes cause feminisation or
- masculinisation of X and Y (or Z and W) chromosomes.

**Fig. 2**

### **Sexual dimorphism and sex-biased gene expression in** *S. latifolia***. a**,

- Sexual dimorphism in female and male flowers. **b**, Proportions of contigs
- with female-biased (red), male-biased (blue) and unbiased (white)
- expression for 12,708 contigs with inferred autosomal inheritance and
- 936 fully sex-linked contigs in flower buds and rosette leaves.

**Fig. 3**

### **Expression changes in genes with sex-biased expression in** *S.*

- *latifolia***. a-b**, Expression differences (median with 95% confidence
- intervals) in (**a**) autosomal and (**b**), sex-linked contigs between *S. latifolia*
- females and males relative to *S. vulgaris* hermaphrodites for contigs with
- female-biased (red), male-biased (blue), and unbiased (white) expression
- in flower buds. Positive values correspond to genes with higher
- expression than in *S. vulgaris* hermaphrodites.

- **Fig. 4**
- **Evolutionary changes leading to sex-biased gene expression in** *S.*
- *latifolia***. a-b,** Autosomal (**a**) and sex-linked (**b**) contigs with elevated
- expression in the sex with higher expression (scenario I in Fig. 1a) and
- reduced expression in the sex with lower expression (scenario II of Fig.
- 1a) relative to *S. vulgaris* hermaphrodites for female- (red) and male-
- 664 biased (blue) contigs.  $\Delta$ X values indicate percentages of contigs that are
- outliers for expression divergence. **c**, Contigs with significant expression
- changes in opposite directions in the two sexes (scenario III in Fig. 1a).
- Numbers in parentheses correspond to numbers of contigs in each
- category.

### **Fig. 5: Tertiary expression changes on** *S. latifolia* **sex chromosomes.**

 **a**, X female/male expression ratios in flower buds for contigs with female- biased (red), male-biased (blue), and unbiased expression (white). This ratio is significantly larger for contigs with female- than male-biased or unbiased expression (Wilcoxon-test, p ≤ 0.0001). **b**, Expression in males as the ratios of Y expression to that in the cosexual ancestor for the same three expression bias categories (colours as in a). Compared with contigs with unbiased expression, ratios are significantly larger for male-biased 677 and smaller for female-biased contigs (Wilcoxon-test,  $p \le 0.01$  and  $p \le$ 0.0001, respectively).





**a**





