1 2 3 Advances in analyzing viral-induced alterations of host-cell splicing 4 5 Usama Ashraf ^{1,2,3}, Clara Benoit-Pilven ^{4,5}, Vincent Lacroix ⁵, Vincent Navratil ^{6,7}, Nadia 6 Naffakh 1,2,3 * 7 8 9 10 ¹ Institut Pasteur, Unité de Génétique Moléculaire des Virus à ARN, Département de Virologie, F-75015 Paris, France 11 ² CNRS, UMR3569, F-75015 Paris, France 12 ³ Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, EA302, F-75015 Paris, France 13 ⁴ INSERM, U1028; CNRS, UMR5292; Lyon Neuroscience Research Center, Genetic of Neuro-14 development anomalies Team, F-69000 Lyon, France 15 ⁵ Université de Lyon, F-69000, Lyon; Université Lyon 1; CNRS, UMR5558, Laboratoire de 16 17 Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, F-69622 Villeurbanne, EPI ERABLE - INRIA Grenoble, Rhône-Alpes, France 18 ⁶ PRABI, Rhône Alpes Bioinformatics Center, UCBL, Lyon 1, Université de Lyon, F-69000 Lyon, 19 20 France. ⁷ European Virus Bioinformatics Center, Leutragraben 1, D-07743 Jena, Germany. 21 22 23 * correspondence: nadia.naffakh@pasteur.fr (N. Naffakh) 24 25 26 27 28 29 **Keywords** 30 Alternative splicing 31 Virus-host interaction 32 Genome-wide transcriptomics 33 Systems biology 34

Abstract

Alteration of host cell splicing is a common feature of many viral infections which is underappreciated because of the complexity and technical difficulty of studying alternative splicing regulation. Recent advances in RNA sequencing technologies revealed that up to several hundreds of host genes can show altered mRNA splicing upon viral infection. The observed changes in alternative splicing events can either be a direct consequence of viral manipulation of the host splicing machinery or result indirectly from the viral-induced innate immune response or cellular damages. Analysis at a higher resolution with single-cell RNAseq and a higher scale with the integration of multiple omics datasets in a systems biology perspective will be needed to further comprehend this complex facet of virus-host interactions.

Alteration of cellular splicing: a complex facet of virus-host interactions

In higher eukaryotic cells most genes are transcribed as precursor messenger RNAs (premRNAs) that undergo splicing, a maturation process through which RNA sequences (introns) are removed and the remaining sequences (exons) are ligated together. Splicing occurs in the nucleus and is catalyzed by the spliceosome, a large and highly dynamic ribonucleoprotein complex [1, 2]. Most mammalian pre-mRNAs are subject to alternative splicing (AS), and human genes contain on average 8.8 exons and 7.8 introns per gene, giving rise to an average of 3.4 alternatively spliced isoforms [3, 4]. The most common types of AS events are the use of alternative donor and acceptor splice sites, exon skipping, alternative use of mutually exclusive exons, and intron retention. Alternative splicing expands the diversity of proteins that can be expressed from a given gene, and can also modify cisregulatory elements that govern the stability and translation of mRNAs. In recent years, head-to-tail back-splicing events that result in the formation of non-coding circular RNAs (circRNAs) have also been observed to play key regulatory roles in a variety of biological processes, including antiviral immunity [5, 6]. Splicing is tightly coupled to transcription, and is controlled by cis- and trans-acting elements as well as through chromatin structure and signalling pathways [3, 5, 7]. The advent of high-throughput RNA sequencing technologies (RNAseq) has opened up a new era in studying how AS is regulated and shapes the cellular proteome in response to changes in environmental conditions (e.g. [8]).

Viruses modulate host gene expression in order to favor viral replication and evade antiviral responses. They have evolved mechanisms to affect cellular transcription, mRNA processing and nuclear export, mRNA decay, and translation [9-11]. RNAseq, proteomic and interactomic studies are now beginning to provide a global view of viral-induced alterations of cellular splicing and insights into how they may impact viral pathogenesis. Herein, we review the recent developments in the field, we discuss how current limitations could be overcome in the future, and what advances may be expected from the integration of splicing isoforms datasets into a systems biology perspective.

7576

77

78

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

Viral manipulation of the host splicing machinery

Viruses that replicate in the nucleus of infected cells and gain access to the splicing machinery (e.g. adenoviruses, herpesviruses, and influenza viruses) have evolved an

expansion of their coding capacity by producing spliced viral mRNAs. However, manipulation of the host splicing machinery is not exclusive to nuclear viruses and has also been observed with viruses that replicate in the cytoplasm such as picornaviruses or flaviviruses (Table 1). This can be accounted for by the nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling of some viral proteins (e.g. the dengue virus NS5 protein [12]) and splicing factors (e.g. SR proteins [13]), increased nuclear permeability upon viral infection [14] or signaling pathways triggered by viral infection [15]. Components of the splicing machinery commonly targeted across different virus families are the small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs), serine/arginine-rich (SR) proteins, and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs). The U1 to U6 snRNPs are core components of the spliceosome, whereas SR and hnRNP proteins are involved in the regulation of constitutive and alternative splicing. Different viruses appear to induce similar types of alterations, *i.e.* changes in the level of expression, protein-protein or protein-RNA interaction pattern, localization, phosphorylation and/or intrinsic activity of splicing factors (Table 1).

Among the genes that appear differentially expressed upon viral infections in transcriptomic and proteomic datasets, the overall enrichment of splicing-related genes is generally not

and proteomic datasets, the overall enrichment of splicing-related genes is generally not reported. However, particular splicing factors can undergo significant changes in expression upon viral infection. As an example, expression of EFTUD2, a U5-snRNP associated factor, was found to be decreased upon HCV infection of cultured cells and in liver samples from HCV-infected patients; downregulation of EFTUD2 impairs the splicing of RIG-I and MDA5 pre-mRNAs and therefore enables the virus to circumvent the innate antiviral response [16]. Phosphoproteomic profiling provides an additional layer of information by revealing viralphosphorylation status of host proteins. induced changes in the Dynamic phosphorylation/dephosphorylation is known to regulate the function of splicing factors, most notably SR proteins [17]. HIV-1 entry triggers early changes in the phosphorylation of five SR proteins, including SRRM2 which regulates the splicing of HIV-1 transcripts [18]. Later in infection there is evidence that the HIV-1 protein Vpr modulates the activity of SR proteinspecific kinases and the phosphorylation of SRRM2 [19]. In the pre-omics era, the herpesvirus ICP27, adenovirus E4-ORF4 and papillomavirus E1^E4 proteins have also been reported to regulate SR proteins phosphorylation in order to facilitate their replication [2022] (Table 1). Altogether these findings point to changes in SR phosphorylation as a mechanism commonly triggered by multiple viruses to co-opt the splicing machinery.

Interrogation of the VirHostNet 2.0 public database dedicated to virus-host protein-protein interactions (PPIs) [23] reveals that a large proportion of known splicing factors have at least one reported interaction with a viral protein, pointing to the spliceosome as a frequent viral target (Figure 1A and 1B). Most of the data derive from a yeast two-hybrid or affinity-purification mass spectrometry screen with no systematic experimental validation of the interactions and therefore cannot be assumed *a priori* as high confidence data [14]. However data integration helps reveal splicing factors which are found to be associated with multiple viral species and represent potentially relevant targets for onward studies, e.g. DDX5 and FUS (Figure 1C). Some PPIs have been identified in low throughput studies and are well documented such as the recently identified interaction between the recovirus protein μ 2 and the SR protein SRSF2, which alters SRSF2 function and determines the virus ability to counteract the interferon response [24]. Additional PPIs and associated effects on the host splicing machinery are listed in Table 1. Furthermore, viral RNAs can act on the splicing machinery, as exemplified by the changes in AS events observed upon sequestration of the HuR protein by the 3'UTR of Sindbis virus [25], (Table 1).

Peering into viral-induced alterations of host alternative splicing events

To date there are only a few studies that performed transcriptome-wide micro-array or RNAseq analysis of cellular AS events in virus-infected cells (Table 2). They concern herpesviruses [26-28], reoviruses [24, 29], dengue viruses (DENV) [12, 30], Zika virus [31] and influenza viruses [32], and reveal several hundreds of host genes that show altered mRNA splicing upon infection. When examined, no correlation was found between changes in AS events and changes in mRNA expression levels. Validation by RT-PCR or RT-qPCR of a selected subset of the predicted differential AS events is compulsory and usually performed to sort out and confirm RNAseq findings. Validation rates can only be determined if a large enough number of AS events is assayed, and are likely to depend on the metrics and statistical analysis that are used. In the few studies which provide GO terms enrichment analysis on the list of differentially spliced genes in virus-infected cells, an enrichment in genes related to cell cycle, gene expression and/or RNA metabolism was reported [24, 27,

30-32]. Consistently with previous reports that the AS landscape varies between human tissues [33], neural progenitor cells infected with the human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) exhibited fewer AS changes compared to fibroblasts and only half of altered exon-skipping overlapped in both cell types [26]. Exon-skipping, which is most frequently detected in the human transcriptome [33] accounts for the largest share of infection-altered AS events in all except the DENV5 study [12]. Interestingly intron retention (IR) which, although identified early on in spliced viral mRNAs, was until recently considered a rare event in mammalian cells, was found to represent a substantial proportion (> 20%) of infection-altered AS events in herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) and DENV5-infected cells [12, 27]. These observations align with recent findings that IR is actually a common AS event in mammalian cells, although the fate of intron-retaining mRNAs regarding nucleo-cytoplasmic export or nonsense mediated decay is not fully understood [34]. Taken together with a recent report that influenza virus NS1 protein primarily binds intronic sequences [35], they suggest that some viruses may have evolved specific mechanisms to alter host cell expression through increased IR. Finally, within the last couple of years the first reports of viral-induced alterations of cirRNAs were published. Notably, cirRNAs were found to be expressed and associated with the NF90/NF110 factor at lower levels upon Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV) infection, which results in increased NF90/NF110 binding to viral mRNAs in the cytoplasm and thereby likely contributes to the antiviral immune response [36]. A global dysregulation of circRNAs was observed upon infection with HSV-1, which could potentially modulate the cellular transcriptional responses through the miRNA sponge function of circRNAs [37]. Splicing alterations observed upon infection potentially result from the combination of two types of mechanisms: i) they can be caused by a viral manipulation of the splicing machinery, as described above; or ii) they can be related to viral-induced cellular damages or innate immune responses (Figure 2). Indeed, there is increasing evidence that AS is a mechanism to

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

types of mechanisms: i) they can be caused by a viral manipulation of the splicing machinery, as described above; or ii) they can be related to viral-induced cellular damages or innate immune responses (Figure 2). Indeed, there is increasing evidence that AS is a mechanism to regulate the immune responses to pathogens [38-40] (Box 1), as well as apoptosis [41], DNA damage response [42] and endoplasmic reticulum stress response [43]. Integration of multi-omics data will probably be key to distinguishing between direct and indirect effects of viral infection on the cellular splicing machinery and uncovering of the complex mechanisms by which the host-cell splicing landscape is modified (see below).

In some instances, differences in viral-induced splicing changes were reported when viral variants were compared. Reovirus strain T1L, compared to strain T3D which differs in that its μ2 protein does not localize to nuclear speckles, triggered more splicing changes i.e. 369 compared to 142, with an overlap of only 35 changes. The cellular processes which were most affected by T1L infection, i.e. gene expression and RNA post-transcriptional modification, were not strongly affected by T3D infection [24]. Comparison of a subset of AS events upon infection with DENV2 and DENV4 revealed differences, suggesting a possible serotype specificity in AS alteration [12]. However the molecular mechanisms that lead to specific alterations of the splicing landscape remain largely unknown. The only evidence for a sequence recognition mechanism comes from Tang et al. who showed that the ICP27 protein of HSV-1 mediates splicing alterations in genes that are GC-rich, with suboptimal splicing sites and cytosine-rich sequences close to the 5' splice site [44]. The presence of a conserved 41 nucleotide motif was observed in 93 out of 240 AS events that were dysregulated upon infection with the reovirus strain T3D [29], however this motif does not correspond to a predicted RNA regulatory motif and the significance of this observation remains to be demonstrated.

Importantly, transcriptomics studies revealed that not only splicing but also other features of cellular mRNAs can be altered upon viral infection. For instance, DENV1 was found to induce alterations in the relative usage of transcriptional start sites, in addition to splicing changes [30]. Infection with herpesviruses was shown to trigger widespread disruption of transcription termination of cellular mRNAs [26-28], which in the case of HCMV infection was attributed to a strong induction of the host RNA-binding protein CPEB1 [26]. Transcription extends over thousands of nucleotides beyond poly(A) sites and into downstream genes, it interferes with the analysis of transcriptional and splicing regulation of the downstream gene and can generate novel intergenic splicing between exons of two neighbouring genes [28]. Defective transcription termination of cellular mRNAs was also very recently reported in influenza virus-infected cells [45-47]. While most studies provide information about the steady-state levels of mRNA isoforms, a few of them rely on the NET-Seq method [45] or on 4sU tagging of mRNAs [28] to provide a real-time view of viral-induced changes in co-transcriptional RNA processing. As these methods allow to detect actively or newly transcribed mRNAs, they can also help avoid biaises due to isoform-specific

differences in the stability of mRNA. Transcriptomic analysis and mechanistic understanding of how viral infection impacts cellular mRNA co- and post-transcriptional processing is an expanding field, constantly evolving in response to progress in cellular biology (e.g. the recent findings on the biogenesis and function of circRNAs) and in technologies (as discussed below).

Towards a more accurate view: methodological challenges

RNAseq transcriptomic analysis of AS is a challenging issue, and even more so when performed on a virus-host system. Accurate quantification of isoform abundance requires a high read number (about 50 millions paired-end reads of at least 75 bp are recommended for the human transcriptome), which to date can only be provided by the Illumina technology. However a serious limitation of Illumina RNAseq is that it relies on short reads, so that the resolution of exon connectivity and full-length isoform structure cannot be achieved (Box 2). Upon viral infection the reads mapping to viral mRNAs may represent 5-75% of the total number of reads (Table 2), therefore the sequencing depth of virus- and mock-infected samples must be adjusted accordingly. The degree of variability of AS viral-induced perturbations also need to be taken into account when setting the sequencing depth and the number of biological replicates, in order to differentiate biologically relevant changes from transcriptional noise.

Many viruses induce host-cell transcription shut-off [10] although specific genes (e.g. IFN-stimulated genes, cytokines) can escape this shut-off and be up-regulated. Marked

stimulated genes, cytokines) can escape this shut-off and be up-regulated. Marked differences between the gene expression profiles of mock- and virus-infected cells poses a challenge for accurate comparison of isoform abundance. Commonly used RNAseq normalization methods [48] make the assumption that a core set of genes is not differentially expressed and mainly correct for sequencing depth. Normalization methods taking into account other variability factors (e.g. the average amount of cellular RNA per cell) besides sequencing depth for host-pathogen dual RNAseq experiments need to be developed [49].

Another methodological challenge of viral-host RNAseq lies in the ability to deal with cell-to-cell heterogeneity during infection. Usually high multiplicities of infection are used and it is verified or assumed that almost all cells are infected. However, single-cell RNAseq

(scRNAseq) experiments revealed extensive variability from one individual cell to another in terms of production of intracellular viral RNAs and cellular responses [50-52]. RNAseq experiments on polyadenylated mRNAs or circRNAs extracted from bulk cell cultures or tissues are providing an average measure of isoform abundance; they are potentially masking heterogeneity that occurs in the dynamics of infection and/or specific transcriptomic profiles in a subset of cells which could be relevant to the viral phenotype. Single cell RNAseq can be used for transcriptome-wide differential splicing isoform quantification, however this requires specific bioinformatics tools and normalization procedures to be developed, to cope with the low reads counts, the heterogeneity and noise in the datasets [53-55].

So far, most RNAseq analyses of splicing events in virus-infected cells have been conducted with cancer cell lines and/or viral laboratory strains. Such experimental conditions have practical advantages (ready availability, ability to infect almost 100% of the cells, and higher reproducibility), however they may not accurately reflect physiological infection. The development of scRNAseq, which allows discrimination between infected and uninfected cells, will probably encourage the use of field viral isolates and more relevant cellular systems such as primary cells or tissue explants. Novel perspectives will be opened by the use of induced human pluripotent cells (iPS) which upon differentiation can serve as convenient surrogates for primary cells that are difficult to isolate and culture [56], and 3D organoid cultures which can provide an accurate model for the micro-anatomy of an organ [57].

Integration of isoforms datasets in a systems biology perspective

The rapid advancement of high-throughput technologies has led to the development of the systems biology field, which aims at modeling the properties of complex biological systems, and predicting their response to biological or chemical perturbations. The commonly used "top down" systems biology approach turns RNAseq measurement of expression levels into a variable which can be included in a mathematical model, such as a generalized linear model or a multivariate analysis (Principal Component Analysis, Singular Value Decomposition, Partial Least Square). The variance across genes or isoforms, samples and conditions is computed to identify statistically significant transcriptomics signatures, *i.e.*

particular combinations of thousands of genes or isoform expression levels. The mechanistic interpretation of these signatures and the prioritization of candidate genes for downstream experimental validation remain challenging tasks. However, the use of transcriptomic signatures has already allowed genetically close viral strains to be robustly distinguished [58], species-specific responses to infection outlined [59] and the outcome of viral infections predicted [60]. It can also pave the way towards the discovery of relevant biomarkers [61]. Transcriptomic signature analysis has become a routine at the gene level, but little has been done so far at the isoform-level. Recent methodological developments - such as splicing signature comparison workflows for the discovery of candidate splicing regulatory elements - have been applied to psoriasis studies [62, 63] and could be transferred to the analysis of infected-cells in the future. Further progress in the field will also be enhanced by the accessibility and the integration of published RNAseq datasets within open access knowledge bases (e.g. ArrayExpress, Gene Expression Omnibus), which allows meta-analyses to be conducted.

The integration of RNAseq datasets with other omics datasets, so far mostly proteomics, interactomics and metabolomics datasets, has become a new way to rationalize the deconvolution of the transcriptomics signal, and has been applied to virus-infected cells [64-66] or patients [67]. To facilitate this integration step, network-based methods such as correlation network (e.g. WGCNA) or probabilistic models (e.g. MERLIN) are currently being investigated (reviewed in [68]). The main objective is to infer the transcriptional gene regulatory network and to prioritize a set of candidate genes, transcriptional factors or functional modules that are involved in viral infection. A growing number of studies combine newly generated experimental data with published datasets available in open access databases to identify the most relevant molecular pathways. For example, by combining proteomics and RNA-seq experimental data along with available gene-regulatory and protein-protein interaction networks Sychev *et al.* successfully implemented a computational model based on the Prize-Collecting Steiner Forest algorithm, which highlighted peroxisome lipid metabolism as an important function involved in KSHV (Kaposi's sarcoma associated herpesvirus) latent infection [65].

One should stress that integration is for now only performed at the gene-level. Gene annotation knowledge bases (GO, Interpro, and KEGG) are exploited to investigate

enrichment of specific biological or molecular functions (GSEA). Although they are continuously being improved, gene annotations are still far from being complete [69], and even more so at the isoform level and for non-model organisms. Gene annotations often relate to a "reference isoform" which is assumed to be the predominant one, whereas the relative proportion of splicing isoforms may differ from one tissue to another. Alternative splicing may lead to the gain or loss of functional domains, catalytic sites and/or protein-protein interfaces. However, the full set of alternative isoforms that effectively contribute to proteomic diversity and represent "functional alloforms" remains to be characterized [70, 71]. Available Exon Ontology resources can be used to readily identify enriched functions of gene isoform subsets but they restrict the analysis at the exon-level [72]. The systematic characterization of AS isoforms function is a challenging task and might be accelerated through bioinformatics prediction [72-74] or experimentally by using interactomics approaches [75, 76].

As molecular approaches to study virus-host interactions at a high level of mechanistic detail are also making steady progress, the "bottom up" systems biology approach also seem promising. In this approach the knowledge generated by the molecular and biochemical characterization of a subsystem and its response to perturbations is used to generate specific sub-networks, which can subsequently be integrated together with high-throughput data into larger molecular interaction networks [77-79]. In the case of the spliceosome machinery, subnetwork modeling is making progress through Bayesian probability modeling [80], food-web modeling [81], or deep-learning approaches [82], which offers advanced tools for studying its involvement and vulnerability upon viral infection. In return, research on the virus-spliceosome interplay will likely contribute to a better definition of the complex set of rules that can predict the splicing pattern of each isoform based on a comprehensive catalogue of cis-regulatory elements and their functional molecular interactions in various physiological and pathological conditions.

Concluding remarks

The importance of characterizing the transcriptome landscape of virus-infected cells at the splicing level is highlighted by recent studies, which reveal significant AS alterations in response to viral infections. The observed changes in AS events, which are regulated through

a very complex protein-RNA interaction network, can either be a direct consequence of viral manipulation of the host splicing machinery or result indirectly from viral-induced immune responses or cellular damages. Integration of multiple omics datasets in a systems biology perspective will be needed to comprehend this complex facet of virus-host interactions. Beyond proteomics and interactomics, which have been most commonly combined with RNAseq analysis so far, epigenomics and epitranscriptomics would also be relevant. Indeed, viral infections may induce epigenetic [83, 84] or epitranscriptomic [85] modifications, and both types of modifications may in turn affect splicing [86, 87]. Genetic mutations that affect AS, and consequently may determine phenotypic variability and individual susceptibility to viral diseases, is also an interesting direction for future research [88]. Species-dependent AS patterns of cellular genes could possibly be determinant for viral host-range, as suggested recently for influenza viruses [89]. As technological advances in RNA sequencing and RNA genomics will allow to study the interplay between cellular AS and viral infections at an increasing scale and resolution, major challenges in terms of computational analysis and storage of the corresponding datasets will need to be addressed, and the building of pluridisciplinary research teams along the lines of the European Virus Bioinformatics Center (http://evbc.uni-jena.de) [90] will be paramount. Integration of splicing isoform datasets with other omics data may well contribute to the development of personalized prognosis and management of infectious diseases and lead to therapeutic innovations.

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

Acknowledgements

U.A. is part of the Pasteur - Paris University (PPU) International PhD Program, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 665807, and from the Institut Carnot Pasteur Microbes & Santé. C.B.P. has received funding from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale. This study was supported by the ANR ASTER (Grant No ANR-16-CE23-0001) and the LabEx IBEID (Grant No 10-LABX-0062).

Box 1 : Role of alternative splicing in shaping immune responses.

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

In the recent years there has been growing evidence for a role of AS in shaping both innate and adaptative immune responses [38-40]. Different isoforms have been found for key players of the antiviral innate immunity, including pattern-recognition receptors (e.g. TLRs, RIG-I, and MDA5), downstream signaling proteins (e.g. MyD88, MAVS, STING, TBK1, and IRF3), and effectors (IFN Type I, IFNAR, cytokines and chemokines). The splicing factors involved are known in a few cases: EFTUD2 regulates the splicing of RIG-I, MDA5 and MyD88 [91], while SF3A1 affects the splicing of several genes of the TLR signaling pathway including MyD88 [92]. In several instances, splice variants exert a negative feedback loop on the signaling pathway, thereby probably controlling the intensity and duration of the antiviral and inflammatory responses. Notably, RIG-I and STING splice variants whose expression are up-regulated upon viral infection strongly inhibit RIG-I and STING signaling pathways, respectively [93, 94]. A viral-induced, alternatively spliced isoform of TPK-1 disrupts the interaction between RIG-I and MAVS and inhibits IFN-beta signaling [95]. Short isoforms of MAVS negatively regulate TLR3-mediated nucleic acid sensing [96], and limit selfaggregation of the full-length MAVS protein thereby preventing accidental antiviral innate immune signaling [97]. The contribution of AS to the regulation of humoral and cellular adaptative immune responses is also clearly recognized, however the mechanisms involved remain largely unknown. In B cells two mechanisms were recently uncovered : the HuR protein, by regulating mRNA splicing upon B cell activation, is essential for antibody response to a variety of antigens [98], while the ZFP318 factor regulates the AS-dependent balance between IgM and IgD immunoglobulins [99]. In T cells, one of the best documented examples is the AS of CD45 in response to antigen receptor-mediated signaling, which is differentially regulated depending on the T cell lineage and the stage of activation (reviewed in [39, 40]).

Box 2: Resolving alternative splicing by short- or long-reads sequencing technologies.

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408 409

Currently Illumina is the most commonly used RNAseg technology for transcriptome-wide analysis of AS. However Third Generation Sequencing (TGS) technologies, such as the Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) and Oxford Nanopore (ON) technologies, are emerging as alternative platforms for AS analysis [100]. The major advantage of Illumina over the TGS technologies is its higher sequencing depth (up to 400 million reads instead of 1 million for TGS), even more so in the case of dual RNAseg when both the viral and host transcriptome need to be sequenced. High depth is needed for the detection of minor isoforms and the robust quantification and statistical analysis of AS events [101]. Another advantage of Illumina resides in its higher sequencing accuracy (about 0.1% error rates instead of 10-15% for TGS), which is particularly advantageous when working on poorly annotated genomes of non-model organisms. However, a major limitation of Illumina is that it generates short reads (75-300 bp) which provides only local information about AS events and entails a challenging computational reconstruction of full-length isoforms. The strength of TGS is their read length (1-100 kb), which allows the direct characterisation of full-length transcripts with information not only on alternative splicing and the coordination of distant exons [102] but also on alternative transcription start and termination sites [103]. Moreover long reads allow to resolve repetitive sequences, that are posing a major challenge for sequence assembly or alignment from short-read datasets. Future advances may lie in the Hybrid-Seq approach which combines short and long-read technologies [101], the Synthetic Long-Read technology which exploits the assets of Illumina with a short-read barcoding system to reconstruct full-length transcripts [104], or the very swift evolution of long-read technologies. Particularly promising is the perspective of direct RNA sequencing proposed by ON, which would avoid reverse-transcription- and amplification-related biases in isoforms quantification [105].

Table 1. Viral targeting of the splicing machinery.

Virus	Viral factor	Cellular Target	Associated cellular changes ^a	References
HSV-1	ICP27	Binding to, relocalisation and inhibition of SRPK1	Altered phosphorylation of SR proteins	[22]
HSV-1	ICP27	Binding to SF3B2*	ND	[106]
HIV-1	Vpr	Binding to SF32B*	Altered splicing of pre-mRNAs	[107]
EBV	SM	Binding to SRSF3	Altered splicing of STAT1 pre-mRNA	[108]
HPV1	E1^E4	Binding to and inhibition of SRPK1	Altered phosphorylation of SR proteins	[21]
HPV16	E2	Transactivation of SRSF1-3 promoters	ND	[109]
Adenovirus	E4-ORF4	Binding to SRSF1, SRSF9	Modulation of pre-mRNA splicing	[20]
Influenza V	NS1	Binding to U6snRNA	Inhibition of pre-mRNA splicing	[110]
Influenza V	NS1	Relocalisation of SRSF2	ND	[111]
Influenza V	NS1	Binding to and relocalisation of NS1-BP	Altered splicing of some pre-mRNAs regulated by NS1-BP	[112, 113]
Poliovirus	2A	Relocalisation of HuR, TIA-1, TIAR	Modulation of Fas6 pre-mRNA splicing	[114]
Poliovirus	2A	Relocalisation of SRSF3	ND	[115]
EV-71	3D (Pol)	Binding to and inhibition of PRPF8**	Blockage of pre-mRNA splicing and mRNA synthesis	[116]
FMDV	3C (Pro)	Cleavage and relocalisation of Sam68	ND	[117]
Reovirus	μ2	Binding to and relocalisation of SRSF2	Altered splicing of pre-mRNAs	[24]
Rotavirus	NSP2, NSP5	Association with and relocalisation of hnRNPs and HuR	ND	[118]
Sindbis V	nsP2	Binding to hnRNPK	ND	[119]
Alphaviruses	3' UTR	Binding to and relocalisation of HuR	Altered stability, splicing and polyadenylation of mRNAs	[25, 120]
HCV	3'UTR	Binding to and relocalisation of HuR	ND	[121]
DENV-1	NS5 protein	Binding to CD2BP2 DDX23**	Altered pre-mRNA splicing in vitro	[12]
VSV	M	Relocalisation of hnRNPH	ND	[122]
a			. 6.1	

 $^{^{\}mathrm{a}}$ ND: not determined, * component of the U2 snRNP, ** component of the U5 snRNP,

Table 2. Transcriptome-wide analyses of AS in virus-infected cells.

	Rutkowski et al. 2015 [28]	Hu et al. 2016 [27]	Batra et al. 2016 [26]	Boudreault et al. 2016 [29]	Rivera-S et al. 2017 [24]	Sessions et al. 2013 [30]	De Maio et al. 2016 [12]	Hu et al. 2017 [31]	Fabozzi et al. 2018 [32]
Virus	HSV-1	HSV-1	HCMV	Reovirus	Reovirus	Dengue V	Dengue V	Zika V	Influenza V
Host-cell model ^a	Human Fibroblasts	Human Fibroblasts	Human Fibroblasts & NPC	Murine L929 cells	Murine L929 cells	Human HuH7 cells	Human A549 cells	Human NPC	Human BEAS-2B cells
Number of replicates	n=2	n=3 pooled	n=1	n=3	n>1 pooled	n=3	n=3	n=2	n=3
Sequencing library	ribo- depleted	polyA+	polyA+	polyA+	polyA+	polyA+	polyA+	polyA+	ribo- depleted
Sequencing platform	HiSeq 2500	HiSeq 2000	HiSeq	HiSeq 2000	NextSeq 500	HiSeq 2000/ GA II x	HiSeq 4000	NextSeq 500	HiSeq 2000
Reads features ^a	2 x 101 nt	2 x 90 nt	1 x 101 nt	2 x 100 nt	2 x 50 nt	2 x 75 nt	2 x 90 nt	2 x 75 nt	2 x 50 nt
Sequencing depth	> 25 x 10 ⁶ reads	~ 26 x 10 ⁶ reads*	~ 130-230 x 10 ⁶ reads	> 40 x 10 ⁶ reads	NA	~ 20-100 x 10 ⁶ reads	> 20 x 10 ⁶ reads	~ 7 x 10 ⁶ reads	~ 30 x 10 ⁶ reads
Fraction of viral reads ^b	27%	30%	22-68%	NA	NA	NA	30-40%	NA	~5-75%**
Mapping	Context Map	TopHat2	GSNAP	Bowtie2	TopHat	TopHat	TopHat2	TopHat2	TopHat2
Gene expression analysis	RPKM	Cufflinks	RPKM	RSEM	NA	Cufflinks	NA	Cufflinks	DESeq2
Alternative splicing analysis	Home- made scripts	Cufflinks ASD DaPars	Olego Quantas MISO	RSEM	MISO	Cufflinks MISO	ASpli edgeR	Cufflinks ASD	MISO
Data availability ^b	GSE59717	NA	GSE74250	GSE81017	NA	NA	GSE84285	GSE78711	GSE61517

417 418

419

424 425

^a NPC: Neural Progenitor Cells

⁴²⁰ b nt: nucleotide

^{421 °} NA: not available 422 * number of reads 423

^{*} number of reads for the pooled samples, ** depending on the viral strain and time-point

Figure legends.

Figure 1. Virus-spliceosome protein-protein interaction network.

A. Summary of virus-spliceosome protein-protein interactions, as recorded in the VirHostNet database, January release 2018 [23]. For each viral family, the number of viral species (as defined by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses and the National Center for Biological Information or NCBI) and viral proteins (as defined by the NCBI Reference Sequence and the UniProt databases) reported to interact with at least one cellular spliceosomal factor (among the list of 244 factors defined in [123]) is indicated. The number of viral proteins obtained upon merging of homologous proteins derived from different strains or isolates of a single viral species, grouped thereafter under the name "viral proteins types" and represented as a single node in B, are indicated into brackets.

B and C. Interaction network between viral proteins (B) or viral species (C) and cellular spliceosomal proteins. The network was built with Cytoscape (version 3.2.1). Nodes and edges between nodes represent protein and protein-protein interactions, respectively. Core and regulatory spliceosomal factors are represented by square and triangular black nodes, respectively. **B.** Viral proteins types, as defined in A, are represented by colored nodes (the color code is according to the Table in A). The size of the viral protein nodes is proportional to their degree of connectivity (i.e. the number of interacting partners of a protein) and the layout is done according to their centrality in the network. **C.** Viral species are represented by colored nodes (the color code is according to the Table in A). The size of the spliceosomal factor nodes is proportional to their degree of connectivity and the layout is done according to their centrality. The five spliceosomal factors showing the highest degree of connectivity (interaction with 11 to 15 distinct viral species) are indicated with a white star and edges representing their protein-protein interactions with viral species are highlighted in red.

Figure 2. Direct and indirect mechanisms for viral-induced splicing alterations.

Red arrows represent viral manipulation of the splicing machinery and the resulting AS changes, which have in turn the potential to modulate innate immunity [16, 95] or apoptosis [124]. Blue arrows represent viral-induced cell damages and innate immune responses and the subsequent AS changes in the infected cell [40-43]. Grey arrows represent viral-induced

B- and T-cell immune responses, which are subject to AS-mediated regulation [38-40]. UPR:
Unfolded Protein Response. DDR: DNA Damage Response.
459
460
461

References

- 464 1. Fica SM, Nagai K. Cryo-electron microscopy snapshots of the spliceosome: structural insights
- into a dynamic ribonucleoprotein machine. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2017;24(10):791-9. Epub
- 466 2017/10/06. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.3463. PubMed PMID: 28981077.
- 467 2. Papasaikas P, Valcarcel J. The Spliceosome: The Ultimate RNA Chaperone and Sculptor.
- Trends Biochem Sci. 2016;41(1):33-45. Epub 2015/12/20. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2015.11.003. PubMed
- 469 PMID: 26682498.
- 470 3. Lee Y, Rio DC. Mechanisms and Regulation of Alternative Pre-mRNA Splicing. Annu Rev
- 471 Biochem. 2015;84:291-323. Epub 2015/03/19. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-034316.
- 472 PubMed PMID: 25784052; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4526142.
- 473 4. Sakharkar MK, Chow VT, Kangueane P. Distributions of exons and introns in the human
- 474 genome. In Silico Biol. 2004;4(4):387-93. Epub 2004/06/26. PubMed PMID: 15217358.
- 475 5. Li X, Yang L, Chen LL. The Biogenesis, Functions, and Challenges of Circular RNAs. Mol Cell.
- 476 2018;71(3):428-42. Epub 2018/07/31. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.06.034. PubMed PMID: 30057200.
- 477 6. Wang M, Yu F, Wu W, Zhang Y, Chang W, Ponnusamy M, et al. Circular RNAs: A novel type of
- 478 non-coding RNA and their potential implications in antiviral immunity. Int J Biol Sci.
- 479 2017;13(12):1497-506. Epub 2017/12/13. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.22531. PubMed PMID: 29230098;
- 480 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5723916.
- 481 7. Kornblihtt AR, Schor IE, Allo M, Dujardin G, Petrillo E, Munoz MJ. Alternative splicing: a
- 482 pivotal step between eukaryotic transcription and translation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013;14(3):153-
- 483 65. Epub 2013/02/07. doi: 10.1038/nrm3525. PubMed PMID: 23385723.
- 484 8. Richards AL, Watza D, Findley A, Alazizi A, Wen X, Pai AA, et al. Environmental perturbations
- lead to extensive directional shifts in RNA processing. PLoS Genet. 2017;13(10):e1006995. Epub
- 486 2017/10/13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006995. PubMed PMID: 29023442; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 487 PMCPMC5667937.
- 488 9. Harwig A, Landick R, Berkhout B. The Battle of RNA Synthesis: Virus versus Host. Viruses.
- 489 2017;9(10). Epub 2017/10/27. doi: 10.3390/v9100309. PubMed PMID: 29065472; PubMed Central
- 490 PMCID: PMCPMC5691660.
- 491 10. Herbert KM, Nag A. A Tale of Two RNAs during Viral Infection: How Viruses Antagonize
- 492 mRNAs and Small Non-Coding RNAs in The Host Cell. Viruses. 2016;8(6). Epub 2016/06/09. doi:
- 493 10.3390/v8060154. PubMed PMID: 27271653; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4926174.
- 494 11. Rivas HG, Schmaling SK, Gaglia MM. Shutoff of Host Gene Expression in Influenza A Virus and
- Herpesviruses: Similar Mechanisms and Common Themes. Viruses. 2016;8(4):102. Epub 2016/04/20.
- doi: 10.3390/v8040102. PubMed PMID: 27092522; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4848596.
- 497 12. De Maio FA, Risso G, Iglesias NG, Shah P, Pozzi B, Gebhard LG, et al. The Dengue Virus NS5
- 498 Protein Intrudes in the Cellular Spliceosome and Modulates Splicing. PLoS Pathog.
- 499 2016;12(8):e1005841. Epub 2016/08/31. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005841. PubMed PMID:
- 500 27575636; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5004807.
- 501 13. Twyffels L, Gueydan C, Kruys V. Shuttling SR proteins: more than splicing factors. FEBS J.
- 502 2011;278(18):3246-55. Epub 2011/07/29. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2011.08274.x. PubMed PMID:
- 503 21794093.
- 504 14. Cohen S, Etingov I, Pante N. Effect of viral infection on the nuclear envelope and nuclear pore
- 505 complex. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol. 2012;299:117-59. Epub 2012/09/11. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-394310-
- 506 1.00003-5. PubMed PMID: 22959302.
- 507 15. Avota E, Harms H, Schneider-Schaulies S. Measles virus induces expression of SIP110, a
- 508 constitutively membrane clustered lipid phosphatase, which inhibits T cell proliferation. Cell
- 509 Microbiol. 2006;8(11):1826-39. Epub 2006/07/11. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00752.x. PubMed
- 510 PMID: 16824039.

- 511 16. Zhu C, Xiao F, Hong J, Wang K, Liu X, Cai D, et al. EFTUD2 Is a Novel Innate Immune Regulator
- 512 Restricting Hepatitis C Virus Infection through the RIG-I/MDA5 Pathway. J Virol. 2015;89(13):6608-
- 18. Epub 2015/04/17. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00364-15. PubMed PMID: 25878102; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 514 PMCPMC4468487.
- 515 17. Howard JM, Sanford JR. The RNAissance family: SR proteins as multifaceted regulators of
- 516 gene expression. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2015;6(1):93-110. Epub 2014/08/27. doi:
- 517 10.1002/wrna.1260. PubMed PMID: 25155147; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4268343.
- 518 18. Wojcechowskyj JA, Didigu CA, Lee JY, Parrish NF, Sinha R, Hahn BH, et al. Quantitative
- 519 phosphoproteomics reveals extensive cellular reprogramming during HIV-1 entry. Cell Host Microbe.
- 520 2013;13(5):613-23. Epub 2013/05/21. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.04.011. PubMed PMID: 23684312;
- 521 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4104530.
- 522 19. Lapek JD, Jr., Lewinski MK, Wozniak JM, Guatelli J, Gonzalez DJ. Quantitative Temporal
- 523 Viromics of an Inducible HIV-1 Model Yields Insight to Global Host Targets and Phospho-Dynamics
- 524 Associated with Protein Vpr. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2017;16(8):1447-61. Epub 2017/06/14. doi:
- 525 10.1074/mcp.M116.066019. PubMed PMID: 28606917; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5546197.
- 526 20. Estmer Nilsson C, Petersen-Mahrt S, Durot C, Shtrichman R, Krainer AR, Kleinberger T, et al.
- 527 The adenovirus E4-ORF4 splicing enhancer protein interacts with a subset of phosphorylated SR
- 528 proteins. EMBO J. 2001;20(4):864-71. Epub 2001/02/17. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.4.864. PubMed
- 529 PMID: 11179230; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC145406.
- 530 21. Prescott EL, Brimacombe CL, Hartley M, Bell I, Graham S, Roberts S. Human papillomavirus
- 531 type 1 E1^E4 protein is a potent inhibitor of the serine-arginine (SR) protein kinase SRPK1 and
- 532 inhibits phosphorylation of host SR proteins and of the viral transcription and replication regulator
- 533 E2. J Virol. 2014;88(21):12599-611. Epub 2014/08/22. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02029-14. PubMed PMID:
- 534 25142587; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4248925.
- 535 22. Sciabica KS, Dai QJ, Sandri-Goldin RM. ICP27 interacts with SRPK1 to mediate HSV splicing
- 536 inhibition by altering SR protein phosphorylation. EMBO J. 2003;22(7):1608-19. Epub 2003/03/28.
- doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg166. PubMed PMID: 12660167; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC152910.
- 538 23. Guirimand T, Delmotte S, Navratil V. VirHostNet 2.0: surfing on the web of virus/host
- molecular interactions data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(Database issue):D583-7. Epub 2014/11/14.
- 540 doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1121. PubMed PMID: 25392406; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4383936.
- 541 24. Rivera-Serrano EE, Fritch EJ, Scholl EH, Sherry B. A Cytoplasmic RNA Virus Alters the Function
- of the Cell Splicing Protein SRSF2. J Virol. 2017;91(7). Epub 2017/01/13. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02488-16.
- PubMed PMID: 28077658; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5355601.
- 544 25. Barnhart MD, Moon SL, Emch AW, Wilusz CJ, Wilusz J. Changes in cellular mRNA stability,
- splicing, and polyadenylation through HuR protein sequestration by a cytoplasmic RNA virus. Cell
- 546 Rep. 2013;5(4):909-17. Epub 2013/11/12. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.10.012. PubMed PMID:
- 547 24210824; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3849337.
- 548 26. Batra R, Stark TJ, Clark E, Belzile JP, Wheeler EC, Yee BA, et al. RNA-binding protein CPEB1
- remodels host and viral RNA landscapes. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2016;23(12):1101-10. Epub
- 550 2016/11/01. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.3310. PubMed PMID: 27775709; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 551 PMCPMC5140759.
- 552 27. Hu B, Li X, Huo Y, Yu Y, Zhang Q, Chen G, et al. Cellular responses to HSV-1 infection are
- linked to specific types of alterations in the host transcriptome. Sci Rep. 2016;6:28075. Epub
- 554 2016/06/30. doi: 10.1038/srep28075. PubMed PMID: 27354008; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 555 PMCPMC4926211.
- 556 28. Rutkowski AJ, Erhard F, L'Hernault A, Bonfert T, Schilhabel M, Crump C, et al. Widespread
- disruption of host transcription termination in HSV-1 infection. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7126. Epub
- 558 2015/05/21. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8126. PubMed PMID: 25989971; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 559 PMCPMC4441252.

- 560 29. Boudreault S, Martenon-Brodeur C, Caron M, Garant JM, Tremblay MP, Armero VE, et al.
- 561 Global Profiling of the Cellular Alternative RNA Splicing Landscape during Virus-Host Interactions.
- 562 PLoS One. 2016;11(9):e0161914. Epub 2016/09/07. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161914. PubMed
- 563 PMID: 27598998; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5012649.
- 564 30. Sessions OM, Tan Y, Goh KC, Liu Y, Tan P, Rozen S, et al. Host cell transcriptome profile during
- wild-type and attenuated dengue virus infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(3):e2107. Epub
- 566 2013/03/22. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002107. PubMed PMID: 23516652; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 567 PMCPMC3597485.
- Hu B, Huo Y, Yang L, Chen G, Luo M, Yang J, et al. ZIKV infection effects changes in gene
- splicing, isoform composition and lncRNA expression in human neural progenitor cells. Virol J.
- 570 2017;14(1):217. Epub 2017/11/09. doi: 10.1186/s12985-017-0882-6. PubMed PMID: 29116029;
- 571 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5688814.
- 572 32. Fabozzi G, Oler AJ, Liu P, Chen Y, Mindaye S, Dolan MA, et al. Strand-Specific Dual RNA
- 573 Sequencing of Bronchial Epithelial Cells Infected with Influenza A/H3N2 Viruses Reveals Splicing of
- 574 Gene Segment 6 and Novel Host-Virus Interactions. J Virol. 2018;92(17). Epub 2018/07/07. doi:
- 575 10.1128/JVI.00518-18. PubMed PMID: 29976658; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6096831.
- 576 33. Wang ET, Sandberg R, Luo S, Khrebtukova I, Zhang L, Mayr C, et al. Alternative isoform
- 577 regulation in human tissue transcriptomes. Nature. 2008;456(7221):470-6. Epub 2008/11/04. doi:
- 578 10.1038/nature07509. PubMed PMID: 18978772; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2593745.
- 579 34. Rekosh D, Hammarskjold ML. Intron retention in viruses and cellular genes: Detention,
- border controls and passports. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2018;9(3):e1470. Epub 2018/03/07. doi:
- 581 10.1002/wrna.1470. PubMed PMID: 29508942; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5910242.
- 582 35. Zhang L, Wang J, Munoz-Moreno R, Kim M, Sakthivel R, Mo W, et al. Influenza Virus NS1
- 583 Protein RNA-Interactome Reveals Intron Targeting, J Virol. 2018. Epub 2018/09/28. doi:
- 584 10.1128/JVI.01634-18. PubMed PMID: 30258002.
- 36. Li X, Liu CX, Xue W, Zhang Y, Jiang S, Yin QF, et al. Coordinated circRNA Biogenesis and
- 586 Function with NF90/NF110 in Viral Infection. Mol Cell. 2017;67(2):214-27 e7. Epub 2017/06/20. doi:
- 587 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.05.023. PubMed PMID: 28625552.
- 588 37. Shi J, Hu N, Mo L, Zeng Z, Sun J, Hu Y. Deep RNA Sequencing Reveals a Repertoire of Human
- 589 Fibroblast Circular RNAs Associated with Cellular Responses to Herpes Simplex Virus 1 Infection. Cell
- 590 Physiol Biochem. 2018;47(5):2031-45. Epub 2018/07/05. doi: 10.1159/000491471. PubMed PMID:
- 591 29972822.
- 592 38. Chang MX, Zhang J. Alternative Pre-mRNA Splicing in Mammals and Teleost Fish: A Effective
- 593 Strategy for the Regulation of Immune Responses Against Pathogen Infection. Int J Mol Sci.
- 594 2017;18(7). Epub 2017/07/18. doi: 10.3390/ijms18071530. PubMed PMID: 28714877; PubMed
- 595 Central PMCID: PMCPMC5536018.
- 39. Martinez NM, Lynch KW. Control of alternative splicing in immune responses: many
- regulators, many predictions, much still to learn. Immunol Rev. 2013;253(1):216-36. Epub
- 598 2013/04/05. doi: 10.1111/imr.12047. PubMed PMID: 23550649; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 599 PMCPMC3621013.
- 600 40. Schaub A, Glasmacher E. Splicing in immune cells-mechanistic insights and emerging topics.
- 601 Int Immunol. 2017;29(4):173-81. Epub 2017/05/13. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxx026. PubMed PMID:
- 602 28498981; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5890895.
- 603 41. Paronetto MP, Passacantilli I, Sette C. Alternative splicing and cell survival: from tissue
- 604 homeostasis to disease. Cell Death Differ. 2016;23(12):1919-29. Epub 2016/10/01. doi:
- 605 10.1038/cdd.2016.91. PubMed PMID: 27689872; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5136496.
- 606 42. Shkreta L, Chabot B. The RNA Splicing Response to DNA Damage. Biomolecules.
- 607 2015;5(4):2935-77. Epub 2015/11/04. doi: 10.3390/biom5042935. PubMed PMID: 26529031;
- 608 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4693264.

- 609 43. Tsalikis J, Pan Q, Tattoli I, Maisonneuve C, Blencowe BJ, Philpott DJ, et al. The transcriptional
- and splicing landscape of intestinal organoids undergoing nutrient starvation or endoplasmic
- 611 reticulum stress. BMC Genomics. 2016;17:680. Epub 2016/08/27. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-2999-1.
- PubMed PMID: 27561422; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5000506.
- 613 44. Tang S, Patel A, Krause PR. Herpes simplex virus ICP27 regulates alternative pre-mRNA
- 614 polyadenylation and splicing in a sequence-dependent manner. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
- 615 2016;113(43):12256-61. Epub 2016/10/30. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1609695113. PubMed PMID:
- 616 27791013; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5087043.
- 617 45. Bauer DLV, Tellier M, Martinez-Alonso M, Nojima T, Proudfoot NJ, Murphy S, et al. Influenza
- 618 Virus Mounts a Two-Pronged Attack on Host RNA Polymerase II Transcription. Cell Rep.
- 619 2018;23(7):2119-29 e3. Epub 2018/05/17. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.04.047. PubMed PMID:
- 620 29768209; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5972227.
- 46. Heinz S, Texari L, Hayes MGB, Urbanowski M, Chang MW, Givarkes N, et al. Transcription
- 622 Elongation Can Affect Genome 3D Structure. Cell. 2018;174(6):1522-36 e22. Epub 2018/08/28. doi:
- 10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.047. PubMed PMID: 30146161; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6130916.
- 47. Zhao N, Sebastiano V, Moshkina N, Mena N, Hultquist J, Jimenez-Morales D, et al. Influenza
- virus infection causes global RNAPII termination defects. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2018;25(9):885-93.
- 626 Epub 2018/09/05. doi: 10.1038/s41594-018-0124-7. PubMed PMID: 30177761.
- 627 48. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
- 528 seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550. Epub 2014/12/18. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-
- 629 0550-8. PubMed PMID: 25516281; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4302049.
- 630 49. Westermann AJ, Barquist L, Vogel J. Resolving host-pathogen interactions by dual RNA-seq.
- 631 PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(2):e1006033. Epub 2017/02/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006033. PubMed
- 632 PMID: 28207848; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5313147.
- 633 50. Cristinelli S, Ciuffi A. The use of single-cell RNA-Seq to understand virus-host interactions.
- 634 Curr Opin Virol. 2018;29:39-50. Epub 2018/03/21. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2018.03.001. PubMed PMID:
- 635 29558678
- 636 51. Russell AB, Trapnell C, Bloom JD. Extreme heterogeneity of influenza virus infection in single
- cells. Elife. 2018;7. Epub 2018/02/17. doi: 10.7554/eLife.32303. PubMed PMID: 29451492; PubMed
- 638 Central PMCID: PMCPMC5826275.
- 639 52. Steuerman Y, Cohen M, Peshes-Yaloz N, Valadarsky L, Cohn O, David E, et al. Dissection of
- Influenza Infection In Vivo by Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. Cell Syst. 2018;6(6):679-91 e4. Epub
- 2018/06/11. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2018.05.008. PubMed PMID: 29886109.
- 642 53. Huang Y, Sanguinetti G. BRIE: transcriptome-wide splicing quantification in single cells.
- Genome Biol. 2017;18(1):123. Epub 2017/06/29. doi: 10.1186/s13059-017-1248-5. PubMed PMID:
- 28655331; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5488362.
- 645 54. Song Y, Botvinnik OB, Lovci MT, Kakaradov B, Liu P, Xu JL, et al. Single-Cell Alternative Splicing
- Analysis with Expedition Reveals Splicing Dynamics during Neuron Differentiation. Mol Cell.
- 647 2017;67(1):148-61 e5. Epub 2017/07/05. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.06.003. PubMed PMID:
- 648 28673540; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5540791.
- 649 55. Vallejos CA, Risso D, Scialdone A, Dudoit S, Marioni JC. Normalizing single-cell RNA
- 650 sequencing data: challenges and opportunities. Nat Methods. 2017;14(6):565-71. Epub 2017/05/16.
- doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4292. PubMed PMID: 28504683; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5549838.
- 652 56. Schobel A, Rosch K, Herker E. Functional innate immunity restricts Hepatitis C Virus infection
- in induced pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocytes. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):3893. Epub 2018/03/03. doi:
- 654 10.1038/s41598-018-22243-7. PubMed PMID: 29497123; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 655 PMCPMC5832748.
- 656 57. Ramani S, Crawford SE, Blutt SE, Estes MK. Human organoid cultures: transformative new
- tools for human virus studies. Curr Opin Virol. 2018;29:79-86. Epub 2018/04/16. doi:
- 658 10.1016/j.coviro.2018.04.001. PubMed PMID: 29656244; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5944856.

- 659 58. Ljungberg K, McBrayer A, Camp JV, Chu YK, Tapp R, Noah DL, et al. Host gene expression
- signatures discriminate between ferrets infected with genetically similar H1N1 strains. PLoS One.
- 661 2012;7(7):e40743. Epub 2012/07/19. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040743. PubMed PMID: 22808249;
- PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3396591.
- 663 59. Holzer M, Krahling V, Amman F, Barth E, Bernhart SH, Carmelo VA, et al. Differential
- transcriptional responses to Ebola and Marburg virus infection in bat and human cells. Sci Rep.
- 665 2016;6:34589. Epub 2016/10/08. doi: 10.1038/srep34589. PubMed PMID: 27713552; PubMed
- 666 Central PMCID: PMCPMC5054393.
- 667 60. Liu X, Speranza E, Munoz-Fontela C, Haldenby S, Rickett NY, Garcia-Dorival I, et al.
- 668 Transcriptomic signatures differentiate survival from fatal outcomes in humans infected with Ebola
- 669 virus. Genome Biol. 2017;18(1):4. Epub 2017/01/20. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1137-3. PubMed
- 670 PMID: 28100256; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5244546.
- 671 61. Gliddon HD, Herberg JA, Levin M, Kaforou M. Genome-wide host RNA signatures of infectious
- diseases: discovery and clinical translation. Immunology. 2018;153(2):171-8. Epub 2017/09/19. doi:
- 673 10.1111/imm.12841. PubMed PMID: 28921535; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5765383.
- 674 62. Badr E, ElHefnawi M, Heath LS. Computational Identification of Tissue-Specific Splicing
- Regulatory Elements in Human Genes from RNA-Seq Data. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0166978. Epub
- 676 2016/11/20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166978. PubMed PMID: 27861625; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 677 PMCPMC5115852.
- 678 63. Li J, Yu P. Genome-wide transcriptome analysis identifies alternative splicing regulatory
- network and key splicing factors in mouse and human psoriasis. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):4124. Epub
- 2018/03/09. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-22284-y. PubMed PMID: 29515135; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 681 PMCPMC5841439.
- 682 64. Chasman D, Walters KB, Lopes TJ, Eisfeld AJ, Kawaoka Y, Roy S. Integrating Transcriptomic
- and Proteomic Data Using Predictive Regulatory Network Models of Host Response to Pathogens.
- 684 PLoS Comput Biol. 2016;12(7):e1005013. Epub 2016/07/13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005013.
- PubMed PMID: 27403523; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4942116.
- 686 65. Sychev ZE, Hu A, DiMaio TA, Gitter A, Camp ND, Noble WS, et al. Integrated systems biology
- 687 analysis of KSHV latent infection reveals viral induction and reliance on peroxisome mediated lipid
- 688 metabolism. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(3):e1006256. Epub 2017/03/04. doi:
- 689 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006256. PubMed PMID: 28257516; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 690 PMCPMC5352148.
- 691 66. Tisoncik-Go J, Gasper DJ, Kyle JE, Eisfeld AJ, Selinger C, Hatta M, et al. Integrated Omics
- 692 Analysis of Pathogenic Host Responses during Pandemic H1N1 Influenza Virus Infection: The Crucial
- 693 Role of Lipid Metabolism. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19(2):254-66. Epub 2016/02/13. doi:
- 694 10.1016/j.chom.2016.01.002. PubMed PMID: 26867183; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5271177.
- 695 67. Eisfeld AJ, Halfmann PJ, Wendler JP, Kyle JE, Burnum-Johnson KE, Peralta Z, et al. Multi-
- 696 platform 'Omics Analysis of Human Ebola Virus Disease Pathogenesis. Cell Host Microbe.
- 697 2017;22(6):817-29 e8. Epub 2017/11/21. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2017.10.011. PubMed PMID:
- 698 29154144; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5730472.
- 699 68. van Kampen AH, Moerland PD. Taking Bioinformatics to Systems Medicine. Methods Mol
- 700 Biol. 2016;1386:17-41. Epub 2015/12/18. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3283-2 2. PubMed PMID:
- 701 26677177.
- 702 69. Haynes WA, Tomczak A, Khatri P. Gene annotation bias impedes biomedical research. Sci
- 703 Rep. 2018;8(1):1362. Epub 2018/01/24. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-19333-x. PubMed PMID:
- 704 29358745; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5778030.
- 705 70. Liu Y, Gonzalez-Porta M, Santos S, Brazma A, Marioni JC, Aebersold R, et al. Impact of
- 706 Alternative Splicing on the Human Proteome. Cell Rep. 2017;20(5):1229-41. Epub 2017/08/03. doi:
- 707 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.07.025. PubMed PMID: 28768205; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5554779.

- 708 71. Tress ML, Abascal F, Valencia A. Alternative Splicing May Not Be the Key to Proteome
- 709 Complexity. Trends Biochem Sci. 2017;42(2):98-110. Epub 2016/10/08. doi:
- 710 10.1016/j.tibs.2016.08.008. PubMed PMID: 27712956.
- 711 72. Tranchevent LC, Aube F, Dulaurier L, Benoit-Pilven C, Rey A, Poret A, et al. Identification of
- 712 protein features encoded by alternative exons using Exon Ontology. Genome Res. 2017;27(6):1087-
- 713 97. Epub 2017/04/20. doi: 10.1101/gr.212696.116. PubMed PMID: 28420690; PubMed Central
- 714 PMCID: PMCPMC5453322.
- 715 73. Li HD, Menon R, Omenn GS, Guan Y. The emerging era of genomic data integration for
- 716 analyzing splice isoform function. Trends Genet. 2014;30(8):340-7. Epub 2014/06/22. doi:
- 717 10.1016/j.tig.2014.05.005. PubMed PMID: 24951248; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4112133.
- 718 74. Li W, Liu CC, Kang S, Li JR, Tseng YT, Zhou XJ. Pushing the annotation of cellular activities to a
- higher resolution: Predicting functions at the isoform level. Methods. 2016;93:110-8. Epub
- 720 2015/08/05. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.07.016. PubMed PMID: 26238263.
- 721 75. Corominas R, Yang X, Lin GN, Kang S, Shen Y, Ghamsari L, et al. Protein interaction network of
- 722 alternatively spliced isoforms from brain links genetic risk factors for autism. Nat Commun.
- 723 2014;5:3650. Epub 2014/04/12. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4650. PubMed PMID: 24722188; PubMed
- 724 Central PMCID: PMCPMC3996537.
- 725 76. Yang X, Coulombe-Huntington J, Kang S, Sheynkman GM, Hao T, Richardson A, et al.
- 726 Widespread Expansion of Protein Interaction Capabilities by Alternative Splicing. Cell.
- 727 2016;164(4):805-17. Epub 2016/02/13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.029. PubMed PMID: 26871637;
- 728 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4882190.
- 729 77. Diner BA, Li T, Greco TM, Crow MS, Fuesler JA, Wang J, et al. The functional interactome of
- 730 PYHIN immune regulators reveals IFIX is a sensor of viral DNA. Mol Syst Biol. 2015;11(1):787. Epub
- 731 2015/02/11. doi: 10.15252/msb.20145808. PubMed PMID: 25665578; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 732 PMCPMC4358659.
- 733 78. Ghosh S, Kumar GV, Basu A, Banerjee A. Graph theoretic network analysis reveals protein
- 734 pathways underlying cell death following neurotropic viral infection. Sci Rep. 2015;5:14438. Epub
- 735 2015/09/26. doi: 10.1038/srep14438. PubMed PMID: 26404759; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 736 PMCPMC4585883.
- 737 79. Gregoire IP, Richetta C, Meyniel-Schicklin L, Borel S, Pradezynski F, Diaz O, et al. IRGM is a
- 738 common target of RNA viruses that subvert the autophagy network. PLoS Pathog.
- 739 2011;7(12):e1002422. Epub 2011/12/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002422. PubMed PMID:
- 740 22174682; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3234227.
- 741 80. Akerman M, Fregoso OI, Das S, Ruse C, Jensen MA, Pappin DJ, et al. Differential connectivity
- of splicing activators and repressors to the human spliceosome. Genome Biol. 2015;16:119. Epub
- 743 2015/06/07. doi: 10.1186/s13059-015-0682-5. PubMed PMID: 26047612; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 744 PMCPMC4502471.
- 745 81. Pires MM, Cantor M, Guimaraes PR, de Aguiar MA, Dos Reis SF, Coltri PP. The network
- organization of protein interactions in the spliceosome is reproduced by the simple rules of food-web
- 747 models. Sci Rep. 2015;5:14865. Epub 2015/10/08. doi: 10.1038/srep14865. PubMed PMID:
- 748 26443080; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4595644.
- 749 82. Jha A, Gazzara MR, Barash Y. Integrative deep models for alternative splicing. Bioinformatics.
- 750 2017;33(14):i274-i82. Epub 2017/09/09. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx268. PubMed PMID:
- 751 28882000; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5870723.
- 752 83. Marazzi I, Garcia-Sastre A. Interference of viral effector proteins with chromatin,
- 753 transcription, and the epigenome. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2015;26:123-9. Epub 2015/08/02. doi:
- 754 10.1016/j.mib.2015.06.009. PubMed PMID: 26232586.
- 755 84. Menachery VD, Schafer A, Burnum-Johnson KE, Mitchell HD, Eisfeld AJ, Walters KB, et al.
- 756 MERS-CoV and H5N1 influenza virus antagonize antigen presentation by altering the epigenetic

- 757 landscape. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(5):E1012-E21. Epub 2018/01/18. doi:
- 758 10.1073/pnas.1706928115. PubMed PMID: 29339515; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5798318.
- 759 85. Gonzales-van Horn SR, Sarnow P. Making the Mark: The Role of Adenosine Modifications in
- 760 the Life Cycle of RNA Viruses. Cell Host Microbe. 2017;21(6):661-9. Epub 2017/06/16. doi:
- 761 10.1016/j.chom.2017.05.008. PubMed PMID: 28618265; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5555051.
- 762 86. Knuckles P, Buhler M. Adenosine methylation as a molecular imprint defining the fate of
- 763 RNA. FEBS Lett. 2018. Epub 2018/05/22. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.13107. PubMed PMID: 29782652.
- 764 87. Naftelberg S, Schor IE, Ast G, Kornblihtt AR. Regulation of alternative splicing through
- 765 coupling with transcription and chromatin structure. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015;84:165-98. Epub
- 766 2015/06/04. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-034242. PubMed PMID: 26034889.
- 767 88. Park E, Pan Z, Zhang Z, Lin L, Xing Y. The Expanding Landscape of Alternative Splicing
- 768 Variation in Human Populations. Am J Hum Genet. 2018;102(1):11-26. Epub 2018/01/06. doi:
- 769 10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.11.002. PubMed PMID: 29304370; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5777382.
- 770 89. Baker SF, Ledwith MP, Mehle A. Differential Splicing of ANP32A in Birds Alters Its Ability to
- 771 Stimulate RNA Synthesis by Restricted Influenza Polymerase. Cell Rep. 2018;24(10):2581-8 e4. Epub
- 772 2018/09/06. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.08.012. PubMed PMID: 30184493; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 773 PMCPMC6157632.
- 774 90. Ibrahim B, McMahon DP, Hufsky F, Beer M, Deng L, Mercier PL, et al. A new era of virus
- 775 bioinformatics. Virus Res. 2018;251:86-90. Epub 2018/05/12. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2018.05.009.
- 776 PubMed PMID: 29751021.
- 777 91. De Arras L, Laws R, Leach SM, Pontis K, Freedman JH, Schwartz DA, et al. Comparative
- 778 genomics RNAi screen identifies Eftud2 as a novel regulator of innate immunity. Genetics.
- 779 2014;197(2):485-96. Epub 2013/12/24. doi: 10.1534/genetics.113.160499. PubMed PMID: 24361939;
- 780 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4063909.
- 781 92. O'Connor BP, Danhorn T, De Arras L, Flatley BR, Marcus RA, Farias-Hesson E, et al. Regulation
- 782 of toll-like receptor signaling by the SF3a mRNA splicing complex. PLoS Genet. 2015;11(2):e1004932.
- 783 Epub 2015/02/07. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004932. PubMed PMID: 25658809; PubMed Central
- 784 PMCID: PMCPMC4450051.
- 785 93. Gack MU, Kirchhofer A, Shin YC, Inn KS, Liang C, Cui S, et al. Roles of RIG-I N-terminal tandem
- 786 CARD and splice variant in TRIM25-mediated antiviral signal transduction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
- 787 2008;105(43):16743-8. Epub 2008/10/25. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0804947105. PubMed PMID: 18948594;
- 788 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2575490.
- 789 94. Wang PH, Fung SY, Gao WW, Deng JJ, Cheng Y, Chaudhary V, et al. A novel transcript isoform
- 790 of STING that sequesters cGAMP and dominantly inhibits innate nucleic acid sensing. Nucleic Acids
- 791 Res. 2018;46(8):4054-71. Epub 2018/03/17. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky186. PubMed PMID: 29547894;
- 792 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5934658.
- 793 95. Deng W, Shi M, Han M, Zhong J, Li Z, Li W, et al. Negative regulation of virus-triggered IFN-
- beta signaling pathway by alternative splicing of TBK1. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(51):35590-7. Epub
- 795 2008/11/04. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M805775200. PubMed PMID: 18977754.
- 796 96. Lakhdari O, McAllister CS, Wang M, Minev I, Prince LS, Eckmann L, et al. TLR3 signaling is
- downregulated by a MAVS isoform in epithelial cells. Cell Immunol. 2016;310:205-10. Epub
- 798 2016/09/07. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2016.08.010. PubMed PMID: 27593154; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 799 PMCPMC5125873
- 800 97. Qi N, Shi Y, Zhang R, Zhu W, Yuan B, Li X, et al. Multiple truncated isoforms of MAVS prevent
- 801 its spontaneous aggregation in antiviral innate immune signalling. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15676. Epub
- 802 2017/06/14. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15676. PubMed PMID: 28607490; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 803 PMCPMC5474743.
- 98. Diaz-Munoz MD, Bell SE, Fairfax K, Monzon-Casanova E, Cunningham AF, Gonzalez-Porta M,
- 805 et al. The RNA-binding protein HuR is essential for the B cell antibody response. Nat Immunol.

- 806 2015;16(4):415-25. Epub 2015/02/24. doi: 10.1038/ni.3115. PubMed PMID: 25706746; PubMed
- 807 Central PMCID: PMCPMC4479220.
- 808 99. Enders A, Short A, Miosge LA, Bergmann H, Sontani Y, Bertram EM, et al. Zinc-finger protein
- 809 ZFP318 is essential for expression of IgD, the alternatively spliced Igh product made by mature B
- 810 lymphocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(12):4513-8. Epub 2014/03/13. doi:
- 811 10.1073/pnas.1402739111. PubMed PMID: 24616512; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3970522.
- 812 100. van Dijk EL, Jaszczyszyn Y, Naquin D, Thermes C. The Third Revolution in Sequencing
- 813 Technology. Trends Genet. 2018. Epub 2018/06/27. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2018.05.008. PubMed PMID:
- 814 29941292.
- 815 101. Weirather JL, de Cesare M, Wang Y, Piazza P, Sebastiano V, Wang XJ, et al. Comprehensive
- 816 comparison of Pacific Biosciences and Oxford Nanopore Technologies and their applications to
- 817 transcriptome analysis. F1000Res. 2017;6:100. Epub 2017/09/07. doi:
- 818 10.12688/f1000research.10571.2. PubMed PMID: 28868132; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 819 PMCPMC5553090.
- 820 102. Anvar SY, Allard G, Tseng E, Sheynkman GM, de Klerk E, Vermaat M, et al. Full-length mRNA
- 821 sequencing uncovers a widespread coupling between transcription initiation and mRNA processing.
- 822 Genome Biol. 2018;19(1):46. Epub 2018/03/31. doi: 10.1186/s13059-018-1418-0. PubMed PMID:
- 823 29598823; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5877393.
- 824 103. Oikonomopoulos S, Wang YC, Djambazian H, Badescu D, Ragoussis J. Benchmarking of the
- 825 Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencing for quantitative and qualitative assessment of cDNA
- 826 populations. Sci Rep. 2016;6:31602. Epub 2016/08/25. doi: 10.1038/srep31602. PubMed PMID:
- 27554526; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4995519 and the MinION instrument and R7, R7.3
- 828 flowcells were received free of charge.
- 829 104. Levy SE, Myers RM. Advancements in Next-Generation Sequencing. Annu Rev Genomics Hum
- 830 Genet. 2016;17:95-115. Epub 2016/07/01. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-083115-022413. PubMed
- 831 PMID: 27362342.
- 832 105. Garalde DR, Snell EA, Jachimowicz D, Sipos B, Lloyd JH, Bruce M, et al. Highly parallel direct
- RNA sequencing on an array of nanopores. Nat Methods. 2018;15(3):201-6. Epub 2018/01/16. doi:
- 834 10.1038/nmeth.4577. PubMed PMID: 29334379.
- 835 106. Bryant HE, Wadd SE, Lamond AI, Silverstein SJ, Clements JB. Herpes simplex virus IE63 (ICP27)
- protein interacts with spliceosome-associated protein 145 and inhibits splicing prior to the first
- 837 catalytic step. J Virol. 2001;75(9):4376-85. Epub 2001/04/05. doi: 10.1128/JVI.75.9.4376-4385.2001.
- PubMed PMID: 11287586; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC114182.
- 839 107. Hashizume C, Kuramitsu M, Zhang X, Kurosawa T, Kamata M, Aida Y. Human
- 840 immunodeficiency virus type 1 Vpr interacts with spliceosomal protein SAP145 to mediate cellular
- pre-mRNA splicing inhibition. Microbes Infect. 2007;9(4):490-7. Epub 2007/03/10. doi:
- 842 10.1016/j.micinf.2007.01.013. PubMed PMID: 17347016.
- 843 108. Verma D, Bais S, Gaillard M, Swaminathan S. Epstein-Barr Virus SM protein utilizes cellular
- splicing factor SRp20 to mediate alternative splicing. J Virol. 2010;84(22):11781-9. Epub 2010/09/03.
- doi: 10.1128/JVI.01359-10. PubMed PMID: 20810723; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2977868.
- 846 109. Klymenko T, Hernandez-Lopez H, MacDonald AI, Bodily JM, Graham SV. Human
- Papillomavirus E2 Regulates SRSF3 (SRp20) To Promote Capsid Protein Expression in Infected
- 848 Differentiated Keratinocytes. J Virol. 2016;90(10):5047-58. Epub 2016/03/11. doi: 10.1128/JVI.03073-
- 15. PubMed PMID: 26962216; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4859725.
- 850 110. Qiu Y, Nemeroff M, Krug RM. The influenza virus NS1 protein binds to a specific region in
- human U6 snRNA and inhibits U6-U2 and U6-U4 snRNA interactions during splicing. RNA.
- 852 1995;1(3):304-16. Epub 1995/05/01. PubMed PMID: 7489502; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 853 PMCPMC1369083.

- 854 111. Fortes P, Lamond AI, Ortin J. Influenza virus NS1 protein alters the subnuclear localization of
- 855 cellular splicing components. J Gen Virol. 1995;76 (Pt 4):1001-7. Epub 1995/04/01. doi:
- 856 10.1099/0022-1317-76-4-1001. PubMed PMID: 9049349.
- 857 112. Mor A, White A, Zhang K, Thompson M, Esparza M, Munoz-Moreno R, et al. Influenza virus
- mRNA trafficking through host nuclear speckles. Nat Microbiol. 2016;1(7):16069. Epub 2016/08/31.
- doi: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.69. PubMed PMID: 27572970; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 860 PMCPMC4917225.
- 113. Thompson MG, Munoz-Moreno R, Bhat P, Roytenberg R, Lindberg J, Gazzara MR, et al. Co-
- 862 regulatory activity of hnRNP K and NS1-BP in influenza and human mRNA splicing. Nat Commun.
- 863 2018;9(1):2407. Epub 2018/06/21. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04779-4. PubMed PMID: 29921878;
- 864 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6008300.
- 865 114. Fitzgerald KD, Chase AJ, Cathcart AL, Tran GP, Semler BL. Viral proteinase requirements for
- the nucleocytoplasmic relocalization of cellular splicing factor SRp20 during picornavirus infections. J
- 867 Virol. 2013;87(5):2390-400. Epub 2012/12/21. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02396-12. PubMed PMID: 23255796;
- 868 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3571363.
- 869 115. Alvarez E, Castello A, Carrasco L, Izquierdo JM. Poliovirus 2A protease triggers a selective
- 870 nucleo-cytoplasmic redistribution of splicing factors to regulate alternative pre-mRNA splicing. PLoS
- 871 One. 2013;8(9):e73723. Epub 2013/09/26. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073723. PubMed PMID:
- 872 24066065; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3774746.
- 873 116. Liu YC, Kuo RL, Lin JY, Huang PN, Huang Y, Liu H, et al. Cytoplasmic viral RNA-dependent RNA
- polymerase disrupts the intracellular splicing machinery by entering the nucleus and interfering with
- 875 Prp8. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10(6):e1004199. Epub 2014/06/27. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004199.
- PubMed PMID: 24968230; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4072778.
- 117. Lawrence P, Schafer EA, Rieder E. The nuclear protein Sam68 is cleaved by the FMDV 3C
- 878 protease redistributing Sam68 to the cytoplasm during FMDV infection of host cells. Virology.
- 879 2012;425(1):40-52. Epub 2012/01/28. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2011.12.019. PubMed PMID: 22280896.
- 880 118. Dhillon P, Tandra VN, Chorghade SG, Namsa ND, Sahoo L, Rao CD. Cytoplasmic Relocalization
- and Colocalization with Viroplasms of Host Cell Proteins, and Their Role in Rotavirus Infection. J Virol.
- 882 2018;92(15). Epub 2018/05/18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00612-18. PubMed PMID: 29769336; PubMed
- 883 Central PMCID: PMCPMC6052293.
- 884 119. Burnham AJ, Gong L, Hardy RW. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonuclear protein K interacts with
- 885 Sindbis virus nonstructural proteins and viral subgenomic mRNA. Virology. 2007;367(1):212-21. Epub
- 886 2007/06/15. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2007.05.008. PubMed PMID: 17561226.
- 120. Dickson AM, Anderson JR, Barnhart MD, Sokoloski KJ, Oko L, Opyrchal M, et al.
- 888 Dephosphorylation of HuR protein during alphavirus infection is associated with HuR relocalization to
- the cytoplasm. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(43):36229-38. Epub 2012/08/24. doi:
- 890 10.1074/jbc.M112.371203. PubMed PMID: 22915590; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3476290.
- 891 121. Shwetha S, Kumar A, Mullick R, Vasudevan D, Mukherjee N, Das S. HuR Displaces
- 892 Polypyrimidine Tract Binding Protein To Facilitate La Binding to the 3' Untranslated Region and
- 893 Enhances Hepatitis C Virus Replication. J Virol. 2015;89(22):11356-71. Epub 2015/09/05. doi:
- 894 10.1128/JVI.01714-15. PubMed PMID: 26339049; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4645635.
- 895 122. Redondo N, Madan V, Alvarez E, Carrasco L. Impact of Vesicular Stomatitis Virus M Proteins
- 896 on Different Cellular Functions. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):e0131137. Epub 2015/06/20. doi:
- 897 10.1371/journal.pone.0131137. PubMed PMID: 26091335; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 898 PMCPMC4474437.
- 899 123. Hegele A, Kamburov A, Grossmann A, Sourlis C, Wowro S, Weimann M, et al. Dynamic
- protein-protein interaction wiring of the human spliceosome. Mol Cell. 2012;45(4):567-80. Epub
- 901 2012/03/01. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.12.034. PubMed PMID: 22365833.

124. Liu W, Lin YT, Yan XL, Ding YL, Wu YL, Chen WN, et al. Hepatitis B virus core protein inhibits
 Fas-mediated apoptosis of hepatoma cells via regulation of mFas/FasL and sFas expression. FASEB J.
 2015;29(3):1113-23. Epub 2014/12/04. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-263822. PubMed PMID: 25466893.

1	Λ
ı	٦,

Viral ™ family [®]	Viral2 species2	Viral☑ proteins⑰ (non-redundant)☑
Papillomaviridae 2	9 2	34(34)2
Retroviridae?	8 ?	17(17) ②
Herpesviridae [®]	5?	49(36)2
Flaviviridae?	4?	9(4)₪
Togaviridae?	3?	3(3)🛚
Paramyxoviridae 2	27	4(3)2
Polyomaviridae?	27	3(3)🛚
Coronaviridae?	2?	2(2)🛭
Parvoviridae?	2?	2(2)2
Rhabdoviridae ²	2?	2(2)?
Orthomyxoviridae 2	17	27(11)2
Poxviridae [®]	1?	13(12)?
Filoviridae 2	12	2(2)?
Pneumoviridae ²	1?	2(2)?
Adenoviridae?	1?	1(1)2
Circoviridae2	1?	1(1)2
Hepadnaviridae?	1?	1(1)2
Peribunyaviridae2	1?	1(1)2
Phenuiviridae 2	1?	1(1)2
Reoviridae2	12	1(1)?
Total2	49 ?	175(139)2
?		· ·

В

